

Civil Site Planning Environmental Engineering 133 Court Street Portsmouth, NH 03801-4413

December 18, 2015

Mr. Ridgley Mauck, PE NHDES Alteration of Terrain Program 29 Hazen Drive Concord, New Hampshire 03302-0095

Re: Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade 200 Peirce Island Road Portsmouth, NH P-4507

Dear Mr. Mauck:

The City of Portsmouth, the applicant, is upgrading its existing wastewater treatment facility (WWTF) on Peirce Island to bring it into compliance with the U.S. Environmental Protection agency requirements. The Peirce Island Wastewater Treatment Facility, built in 1964 and upgraded in 1991 and 2002, is designed to treat 4.8 million gallons per day. Major proposed WWTF additions include new headworks, a new gravity thickener, replacement of the existing Administration Building with a new Solids Building, a new two-stage Biological Aerated Filter (BAF) system, replacement of the existing Solids Processing Building with a new Operations/Lab Building and associated site improvements. The project has received two (2) NHDES Wetlands Permit approvals: file #2015-01866, dated October 6, 2015 and file #2015-01878, dated October 9, 2015.

An Alteration of Terrain pre-application meeting was held in Concord on December 18, 2014 to discuss the project background and the significant site constraints impacting the design. As noted at the meeting, the WWTF improvements are confined within the existing 3.7 acre fenced yard, however with slope stabilization and temporary construction staging needed outside the fence, the total site disturbance will be approximate 8.1 acres.

Enclosed for your review and approval are the following documents associated with the NHDES Alteration of Terrain Application submittal:

- Application fee check in the amount of \$2,250.00
- Set of project Site Plans (applicable drawings)
- Alteration of Terrain Permit Application including
 - Signed Application Form
 - Alteration of Terrain Permit Application Checklist
 - Drainage Analysis with pre- and post-development watershed plans
 - Additional supporting documents

Mr. Ridgley Mauck, PE December 18, 2015 Page 2

Please feel free to contact us directly if you have any questions or require any additional supporting documentation. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

ALTUS ENGINEERING, INC.

ĺų] Neus

Jeffrey K. Clifford, P.E. Vice President

RMB/jkc/4507-00.AoT.CoverLetter.doc

Enclosures

cc: Terry Desmarais, City of Portsmouth City Engineer, w/encl. Jon Pearson, AECOM, w/encl.

ALTERATION OF TERRAIN APPLICATION

FOR

Peirce Island Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade *Tax Map 308 Lot 1*

200 Peirce Island Road Portsmouth, New Hampshire

December 2015

Prepared For:

City of Portsmouth, Dept. of Public Works 680 Peverly Hill Road Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

Prepared By:

ALTUS ENGINEERING, INC.

133 Court Street Portsmouth, NH 03801-4413 Telephone: (603) 433-2335 Fax: (603) 433-4194



- Alteration of Terrain Permit Application with Checklist
- USGS map
- City of Portsmouth Tax Map 208
- Project Description
- Calculation Methods
- USGS map Review of Potential Blasting Impacts Around Facility
- OneStop Program Registered Water Wells
- OneStop Program Surface Water Impairments
- OneStop Program AoT screening layers
- NH Natural Heritage Bureau memorandum 6-11-2015
- NHDES Wetlands approval File #2015-01866, dated October 6, 2015
- NRCS Soil Map
- Aerial Map
- Site Photos
- BMP Worksheet
- Appendix A: Supporting Calculations
 - o Pre-Development
 - Post-Development
 - Erosion Control Calculations
- Appendix B: Hydrological Data
- Appendix C: Watershed Plans
- Appendix D: Site-Specific Soil Mapping Report
- Appendix E: SWPPP for Peirce Island Wastewater Treatment Plant
- Appendix F: Pre-Application Meeting Minutes

Attached full size drawings:

00 G-001, G-002 and G-005 00 C-001 through C-123 00 C-125 and C-126 99 C-501 through C-512 WS-1A and WS-2A (watershed plans)

NHDES-W-01-003



ALTERATION OF TERRAIN PERMIT APPLICATION

Water Division/ Alteration of Terrain Bureau/ Land Resources Management Check the Status of your Application: <u>http://des.nh.gov/onestop</u>



RSA/ Rule: RSA 485-A:17, Env-Wq 1500

| | | | | | | | File N | lumber: |
|---------------------------|------------|---|--|------------------------------|---|--------------|----------|----------------|
| Administrative | | Administrative Administra Use Use Only Only | | | Administra | tive | Chec | k No. |
| Only | | | | Amo | | ount: | | |
| | | | | | | | Initial | S: |
| 1. PROJECT LOCATION | | | | | | | | |
| PROJECT NAME: Peirce Is | land Wast | tewater Trea | atment Facility L | Ipgrad | le | | | |
| ADDRESS: 200 Peirce Isla | nd Road | | | | | | | |
| TOWN/CITY: Portsmouth | | | COUNTY: Roc | kingh | am | STATE: | NH Z | ZIPCODE:03801 |
| TAX MAP: 208 | | BLOCK: LOT NUMBER: 1 | | R: 1 | ι | JNIT: | | |
| LOCATION COORDINATES | S: 43-04'- | 24" N 70-44'-28" W 🛛 LATITUDE/LONGITUDE 🗌 UTM [| | ITM | | | | |
| 2. APPLICANT INFORMAT | ION (DES | | MIT HOLDER) | | | | | |
| APPLICANT NAME: City of | Portsmou | uth, Dept. of | Public Works | CON | ITACT NAME: | Mr. Terry D | esmarai | is |
| EMAIL: tldesmarais@cityof | portsmout | th.com | FAX:603-427-1 | 539 | | PHONE: 6 | 603-766- | -1421 |
| ADDRESS: 680 Peverly Hil | ll Road | | | | | | | |
| TOWN/CITY: Portsmouth | | | | | | STATE: N | Н | ZIPCODE: 03801 |
| 3. PROPERTY OWNER INF | ORMATI | ON (IF DIFF | ERENT FROM | APPL | ICANT) | - | | |
| PROPERTY OWNER: Same | | | CON | ITACT NAME: | | | | |
| EMAIL: | | FAX: | | | PHONE: | | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | | | | | |
| TOWN/CITY: | | | | | | STATE: | | ZIPCODE: |
| 4. AGENT INFORMATION | | | | | | 4 | | |
| ENGINEERING FIRM: AEC | COM | | | CON | CONTACT NAME: Jon R. Pearson, P.E | | E | |
| EMAIL: Jon.Pearson@aeco | om.com | om FAX: 978-905-2101 | | | PHONE: 978-905-3158 | | 3158 | |
| ADDRESS: 250 Apollo Driv | /e | · | | | | | | |
| TOWN/CITY: Chelmsford | | | | | | STATE: N | ΛA | ZIPCODE: 01864 |
| 5. PROJECT TYPE | | | | | | | | |
| | | ERCIAL | | | | | | |
| | NHD | ES Alteration | lge.Mauck@des.nh of Terrain Bureau, www.de | n.gov ol PO Bo es.nh.g | r (603) 271-2147 x 95, Concord, Nł ov | 1 03303-0095 | | |

| 6. BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION (PLEASE DO NOT REPLY "SEE ATTACHED") |
|--|
| Improvements and upgrades to the City's Peirce Island Wastewater Treatment Facility. |
| |
| |
| 7. IF APPLICABLE, DESCRIBE ANY WORK STARTED PRIOR TO RECEIVING PERMIT |
| N/A |
| 8. REQUIRED QUESTIONS (PLEASE DO NOT LEAVE FIELDS BLANK. IF NOT APPLICABLE, STATE "N/A") |
| A. Date a copy of the <i>complete</i> application was sent to the municipality ¹ : / / (Attach proof of delivery) |
| B. Total area of disturbance: <u>352,162</u> square feet |
| C. Additional impervious cover as a result of the project: <u>6,696</u> square feet (use the "-" symbol to indicate a net reduction in |
| Impervious coverage). Total Impervious cover: <u>93,023</u> square feet. within fenced area of facility. All temporary |
| D. Total undisturbed cover: <u>0</u> square feet |
| E. Number of lots proposed: 0 |
| F. Total length of roadway: <u>0</u> linear feet |
| G. Select plan type submitted: 🗌 Land Conversion 🖾 Detailed Development 🗌 Excavation, Grading & Reclamation 🗌 Steep Slope |
| H. Name of receiving waters: PISCATAQUA RIVER (TIDAL) |
| Using NHDES's Web GIS OneStop program (<u>www2.des.state.nh.us/gis/onestop/</u>), with the Surface Water Impairment layer turned on, list the impairments identified: <u>NITROGEN, ENTEROCOCCUS</u> (enter "NA" if no pollutants are listed). For more guidance see: <u>http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/tmdl/documents/onestop_gis_wgc_ref_guide.pdf</u> |
| I. This project is within ¼ mi of a <u>designated river</u> (River name:) AND I have notified the <u>Local River Management Advisory Committee</u> by providing them with a copy of the complete application¹, including all supporting materials, on Month: Day: Year: (Attach proof of delivery) M This project is not within ¼ mi of a designated river. |
| J. Name of species identified by the Natural Heritage Bureau as threatened or endangered or of concern: <u>Marsh Elder</u> |
| K. Cut volume <u>8</u> cubic feet and fill volume <u>255</u> cubic feet within the 100-year floodplain (enter "NA" if not within the floodplain) revetment work associated with riverbank stabilization. |
| L. Is the project within a Water Supply Intake Protection Area (WSIPA)? YES NO⊠ Is the project within a Groundwater Protection Area (GPA)? YES NO⊠ Are the well setbacks outlined in Env-Wq 1508.02 being met? YES NO□ Note: Guidance document titled "Using DES's OneStop WebGIS to Locate Protection Areas" is available online. For more |
| details on the restrictions in these areas, read Chapter 3.1 in Volume 2 of the NH Stormwater Manual. ¹ In accordance with Env-Wq 1503.05 (c)(4), <i>provide proof</i> that a completed application form, checklist, plans and all other supporting materials have been sent or delivered to the governing body of each municipality in which the project is proposed. Env-Wq 1503.05 (c)(4) also requires the applicant to provide proof that a completed application form, checklist, plans and all other supporting materials have been |

| 8. REQUIRED QUESTIONS CONTINUED | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| M. Is the project a High Load area in accor | dance with Env- | Wq 1502. | .26? YE | SX NO | | |
| If yes, specify type of high load land use | e or activity? <u>Wa</u> | stewater | Treatment | <u>Plant</u> | | |
| N. For each type of approval or permit, che the permit number / approval date. India issued. Check "No" to indicate that the if the permit or approval type is not requ | ck "Yes" if the p cate "Pending" if permit type is re ired for your pro | ermit or ap f the applic quired, bu ject. | pproval typ cation has it not yet be | be is required for your proje been filed, but the permit h een filed with the Departme | ct and indica as not yet be ent. Check "I | ite een N/A" |
| 1. Water Supply Approval | □ Y □N | ⊠N/A | Permit nu | mber: | Pending | |
| 2. Wetlands Permit | ⊠Y □N | □n/A | Permit nu 2015-018 | mber: 2015-01878 & 66 | Pending | |
| 3. Shoreland Permit | □Y □N | ⊠N/A | Permit nu | mber: | Pending | |
| 4. UIC Registration | □Y □N | ⊠N/A | Registration | on date: | Pending | |
| 5. Large/Small Community Well Approval | □Y □N | ⊠N/A | N/A Approval letter date: Pending | | | |
| 6. Large Groundwater Withdrawal Permit | □Y □N | ⊠N/A | A Permit number: Pending | | | |
| 7. Other: | □Y □N | ⊠N/A | Permit nu | mber: | Pending | |
| 9. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION | | | | | | |
| A. If you have had a pre-application meeting Attach a copy of the meeting minutes. | g with AoT staff, | state his | or her nam | ne(s): <u>RIDGELEY MAUCK</u> | | |
| B. Will blasting of bedrock be required? If yes, standard blasting BMP notes mus <u>http://des.nh.gov/organization/commission</u> If greater than 5,000 cubic yards of blast submitted to DES_Contact the AoT Burn | YES NO t be placed on th oner/pip/publicat rock will be gen | If yes, es he plans, a tions/wd/de herated, a al detail | stimated qu available a <u>ocuments/</u> groundwat | uantity of blast rock: <u>>5,00</u> t: Per One Stop F wd-10-12.pdf wells within 2,0 rer monitoring program mus | 00 cubic Program, there 00 feet of facili st be develop | yards. are no drinking ty(see Figure A bed and |
| C. Indicate if the project will withdraw from, "Yes", indicate its purpose: | or directly disch | arge to, a | ny of the fo | bllowing water sources positive | t-developme | nt and, if |
| 1. Stream or Wetland | | | | YES 🛛 Withdrawal 📋 D | Discharge 🖂 | |
| 2. Man-made pond created by impounding | ng a stream or w | vetland | ١ | /ES D Withdrawal D | ischarge 🗌 | |
| Purpose: | 9 | | | | у́т | |
| 3. Unlined pond dug into the water table YES Vithdrawal Discharge | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 10. CHECK ALL APPLICATION ATTACHN | IENTS THAT A | PPLY (SU | IBMIT WIT | H APPLICATION IN ORDI | ER LISTED) | |
| Signed application form: des.nh.gov/v Check for the application fee: des.nh.gov/v Color copy of a USGS map with the p A copy of the pre-application meeting BIND IN A REPORT IN THE FOLLOWING Copy of the signed application form 8 Copy of the check Copy of the USGS map with the prop Narrative of the project with a summa Web GIS printout with the "Surface W Web GIS printouts with the AoT screet NHB letter using DataCheck Tool – w The Web Soil Survey Map with project Aerial photograph (1" = 2,000' scale w Photographs representative of the sit Groundwater Recharge Volume calcudes.nh.gov/organization/divisions/wat BMP worksheets (one worksheet for des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/wat | organization/divi gov/organization property bounda minutes, if you ORDER: a application che erty boundaries rry table of the p /ater Impairmen ening layers turn ww.nhdfl.org/ab ct's watershed o with the site bou e ulations (one wo ter/aot/documen each treatment s | sions/wate n/divisions ries outline had a pre- ecklist (des outlined (beak discha ts" layer tu bed on - w out-forest utlined - w ndaries ou rksheet fo ts/bmp w system): | er/aot/inde s/water/aot ed (1" = 2,0 -application s.nh.gov/or arge rate for urned on - ww2.des.s ts-and-lanc websoilsum utlined) or each performer orksh.xls | <u>x.htm</u> (with attached proof(<u>fees.htm</u> 000' scale) n meeting with AoT staff. <u>rganization/divisions/water/</u> ' scale) or the off-site discharge poi <u>www2.des.state.nh.us/gis/o tate.nh.us/gis/onestop/</u> <u>ds/bureaus/natural-heritage</u> <u>vey.nrcs.usda.gov</u> mit application): | s) of delivery aot/index.htr ints <u>onestop/</u> -bureau/ | r) n) |
| | Ridge.Mauck@ | des.nh.gov | or (603) 271 | -2147 | | |

| 10. CHECK ALL APPLICATION ATTACHMENTS TH | AT APPLY (SUBMIT WITH APPLICATION IN | ORDER LISTED) |
|---|---|---|
| Drainage analysis, stamped by a professional e Riprap apron or other energy dissipation or state Site Specific Soil Survey report, stamped and w done in accordance with the Site Specific Soil SSSNNE Special Publication No. 3. n/a Infiltration Feasibility Report (example online) n/a Registration and Notification Form for Storm Wa systems only, including drywells and trenches, (http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/n/a Inspection and maintenance manual with, if a n/a Source control plan exempt per Env-Wq 1504.08 | engineer (see Application Checklist for details) bility calculations with a certification note prepared by the soil scie Mapping standards, <i>Site-Specific Soil Mapping</i> ater Infiltration to Groundwater (UIC Registratio): <u>dwgb/dwspp/gw_discharge</u>) upplicable, long term maintenance agreement 8, Industrial Multi-Sector General Permit #NHR051 upplicable, for Peirce Island Wastewater Treatment | ntist that the survey was <i>Standards for NH & VT,</i> n-for underground ts exempt per Env-Wq 1507-08(f) 3M26 (See Appendix E, at Plan) |
| PLANS: | | it i tanj |
| One set of design plans on 34 - 36" by 22 - 24" Pre & post-development color coded soil plans Pre & post-development drainage area plans or details) | white paper (see Application Checklist for detai on 11" x 17" (see Application Checklist for deta n 34 - 36" by 22 - 24" white paper (see Applicati | ls) ils) on Checklist for |
| 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN REPORT: | | |
| n/a All information required in Env-Wq 1503.09, sub | omitted as a separate report. | |
| REVIEW APPLICATION FOR COMPLETENESS & INCLUDED WITH SUBMITTAL. | CONFIRM INFORMATION LISTED ON THE | APPLICATION IS |
| 1. REQUIRED SIGNATURES | | |
| APPLICANT OR AGENT: | 1 st | 12 22 16 |
| | Terry L. Desmarais | 12 14/15 |
| SIGNATURE | PRINT NAME LEGIBLY | DATE |
| OWNER OR OWNER'S AGENT (IF DIFFERENT FROM APPLICANT): | | |
| | Ion R Pearson AECOM | |
| SIGNATURE | PRINT NAME LEGIBLY | DATE |
| y initialing here, I understand that in accordance with pproval, the applicant shall submit a copy of all approv | n Env-Wq 1503.20(e), within one week after peed documents to the department in PDF forma | permit J |
| D. | | |

ATTACHMENT A: ALTERATION OF TERRAIN PERMIT APPLICATION CHECKLIST

Check the box to indicate the item has been provided or provide an explanation why the item does not apply.

DESIGN PLANS

- Plans printed on 34 36" by 22 24" white paper
- PE stamp
- Wetland delineation
- \boxtimes Temporary erosion control measures
- Treatment for all stormwater runoff from impervious surfaces such as roadways (including gravel roadways), parking areas, and non-residential roof runoff. Guidance on treatment BMPs can be found in Volume 2, Chapter 4 of the NH Stormwater Management Manual.
- Pre-existing 2-foot contours
- Proposed 2-foot contours
- n/a Drainage easements protecting the drainage/treatment structures
 - Compliance with the Wetlands Bureau, RSA 482- A <u>http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wetlands/index.htm</u>. Note that artificial detention in wetlands is not allowed.
 - Compliance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B. <u>http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wetlands/cspa</u>
- n/a Denches. Benching is needed if you have more than 20 feet change in elevation on a 2:1 slope, 30 feet change in elevation on a 3:1 slope, 40 feet change in elevation on a 4:1 slope.
- n/a Check to see if any proposed ponds need state Dam permits. <u>http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/dam/documents/damdef.pdf</u>

DETAILS

- n/a Typical roadway x-section
- n/a Detention basin with inverts noted on the outlet structure
 - Stone berm level spreader
 - Outlet protection riprap aprons
 - A general installation detail for an erosion control blanket
 - \boxtimes Silt fences or mulch berm
 - Storm drain inlet protection. Note that since hay bales must be embedded 4 inches into the ground, they are not to be used on hard surfaces such as pavement.
 - Hay bale barriers

Ridge.Mauck@des.nh.gov or (603) 271-2147 NHDES Alteration of Terrain Bureau, PO Box 95, Concord, NH 03303-0095 n/a 🗌 Stone check dams

Gravel construction exit

The treatment BMP's proposed

n/a Any innovative BMP's proposed

CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE/EROSION CONTROL

- Note that the project is to be managed in a manner that meets the requirements and intent of RSA 430:53 and Chapter Agr 3800 relative to invasive species.
- Note that perimeter controls shall be installed prior to earth moving operations

Note that ponds and swales shall be installed early on in the construction sequence (before rough grading the site)

- Note that all ditches and swales shall be stabilized prior to directing runoff to them
- Note that all roadways and parking lots shall be stabilized within 72 hours of achieving finished grade
- Note that all cut and fill slopes shall be seeded/loamed within 72 hours of achieving finished grade
- Note that all erosion controls shall be inspected weekly AND after every half-inch of rainfall
- Note the limits on the open area allowed, see Env-Wq 1505.02 for detailed information

Example note: The smallest practical area shall be disturbed during construction, but in no case shall exceed 5 acres at any one time before disturbed areas are stabilized

Note the definition of the word "stable"

Example note: An area shall be considered stable if one of the following has occurred:

- Base course gravels have been installed in areas to be paved
- A minimum of 85 percent vegetated growth has been established
- A minimum of 3 inches of non-erosive material such stone or riprap has been installed
- Or, erosion control blankets have been properly installed.
- Note the limit of time an area may be exposed Example note: All areas shall be stabilized within 45 days of initial disturbance
- Provide temporary and permanent seeding specifications. (Reed canary grass is listed in the Green Book; however, this is a problematic species according to the Wetlands Bureau and therefore should not be specified)

 \boxtimes Provide winter construction notes that meet or exceed our standards.

Standard Winter Notes:

- All proposed vegetated areas that do not exhibit a minimum of 85 percent vegetative growth by October 15, or which are disturbed after October 15, shall be stabilized by seeding and installing erosion control blankets on slopes greater than 3:1, and seeding and placing 3 to 4 tons of mulch per acre, secured with anchored netting, elsewhere. The installation of erosion control blankets or mulch and netting shall not occur over accumulated snow or on frozen ground and shall be completed in advance of thaw or spring melt events.
- All ditches or swales which do not exhibit a minimum of 85 percent vegetative growth by October 15, or which are disturbed after October 15, shall be stabilized temporarily with stone or erosion control blankets appropriate for the design flow conditions.

Ridge.Mauck@des.nh.gov or (603) 271-2147 NHDES Alteration of Terrain Bureau, PO Box 95, Concord, NH 03303-0095 www.des.nh.gov

- After November 15, incomplete road or parking surfaces, where work has stopped for the winter season, shall be protected with a minimum of 3 inches of crushed gravel per NHDOT item 304.3.
- n/a Note at the end of the construction sequence that "Lot disturbance, other than that shown on the approved plans, shall not commence until after the roadway has the base course to design elevation and the associated drainage is complete and stable". This note is applicable to single/duplex family subdivisions, when lot development is not part of the permit.

DRAINAGE ANALYSES

- Please double-side 8 ½" x 11" sheets where possible but, **do not** reduce the text such that more than one page fits on one side.
- PE stamp
- Rainfall amount obtained from the Northeast Regional Climate Center-<u>http://precip.eas.cornell.edu/</u>. Include extreme precipitation table as obtained from the above referenced website.
- \boxtimes Drainage analyses, in the following order:
 - Pre-development analysis: Drainage diagram
 - Pre-development analysis: Area Listing and Soil Listing
 - Pre-development analysis: Node listing 1-year (if applicable), 2-year, 10-year and 50-year
 - Pre-development analysis: Full summary of the 10-year storm
 - Post-development analysis: Drainage diagram
 - Post-development analysis: Area Listing and Soil Listing
 - Post-development analysis: Node listing for the 2-year, 10-year and 50-year
 - Post-development analysis: Full summary of the 10-year storm

Review the Area Listing and Soil Listing reports

- Hydrologic soil groups (HSG) match the HSGs on the soil maps provided
- There is the same or less HSG A soil area after development (check for each HSG)
- There is the same or less "woods" cover in the post-development
- Undeveloped land was assumed to be in "good" condition
- The amount of impervious cover in the analyses is correct

Note: A good check is to subtract the total impervious area used in the pre analysis from the total impervious area used in the post-analysis. For residential projects without demolition occurring, a good check is to take this change in impervious area, subtract out the roadway and divide the remaining by the number of houses/units proposed. Do these numbers make sense?

- n/a Check the storage input used to model the ponds
- n/a Check to see if the artificial berms pass the 50-year storm, i.e., make sure the constructed berms on ponds are not overtopped
 - Check the outlet structure proposed and make sure it matches that modeled
 - Check to see if the total areas in the pre and post analyses are same
 - Confirm the correct NRCS storm type was modeled (Coos, Carroll & Grafton counties are Type II, all others Type III)

PRE AND POST-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE AREA PLANS

- Plans printed on 34 36" by 22 24" on white paper
- Submit these plans separate from the soil plans
- A north arrow
- \boxtimes A scale
- \boxtimes Labeled subcatchments, reaches and ponds
- 🛛 Tc lines
- A clear delineation of the subcatchment boundaries
- n/a 🗌 Roadway station numbers
 - Culverts and other conveyance structures

PRE AND POST-DEVELOPMENT COLOR-CODED SOIL PLANS

- 11" x 17"sheets suitable, as long as it is readable
- \boxtimes Submit these plans separate from the drainage area plans
- A north arrow
- 🛛 A scale
- Name of the soil scientist who performed the survey and date the soil survey took place
- 2-foot contours (5-foot contours if application is for a gravel pit) as well as other surveyed features
- \boxtimes Delineation of the soil boundaries and wetland boundaries
- Delineation of the subcatchment boundaries
- Soil series symbols (e.g., 26)
- A key or legend which identifies each soil series symbol and its associated soil series name (e.g., 26 = Windsor)
- The hydrologic soil group color coding (A = Green, B = yellow, C= orange, D=red, Water=blue, & Impervious = gray)

Please note that excavation projects (e.g., gravel pits) have similar requirements to that above, however the following are common exceptions/additions:

- n/a Drainage report is not needed if site does not have off-site flow.
- $n/a \square 5$ foot contours allowed rather than 2 foot.
- n/a I No PE stamp needed on the plans
- n/a Add a note to the plans that the applicant must submit to the Department of Environmental Services a written update of the project and revised plans documenting the project status every five years from the date of the Alteration of Terrain permit.
- n/a Add reclamation notes.

See NRCS publication titled: Vegetating New Hampshire Sand and Gravel Pits for a good resource, it is posted online at: <u>http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/aot/categories/publications</u>.

Ridge.Mauck@des.nh.gov or (603) 271-2147 NHDES Alteration of Terrain Bureau, PO Box 95, Concord, NH 03303-0095







PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project consists of upgrading the existing wastewater treatment facility (WWTF) on Peirce Island to bring it into compliance with the U.S. Environmental Protection agency requirements. Built in 1964 and upgraded in 1991 and 2002, the plant is designed to treat an average daily flow of 4.8 mgd. Due to changing regulatory standards, the EPA in 2007 declined to reissue a waiver allowing primary treatment and ordered the City to upgrade the WWTF to provide secondary treatment. The City is under a consent decree with EPA to upgrade the WWTF.

The project involves demolition of several buildings and construction of new facilities including a new headworks building; a new gravity thickener; replacement of the existing Administration Building with a new Solids Building; a new two-stage Biological Aerated Filter (BAF) system; demolition of the first floor of the existing Solids Processing Building and replacement with a new first floor superstructure of the Operations/Lab Building on the foundation of the former Solids Processing Building, and associated utility and site improvements within the fenced facility. Offsite improvements include a new water main and a new electric service from the pool to the WWTF with associated roadway repairs. Construction will take approximately three to four years and the facility will be operational throughout the duration of construction.

A pre-application meeting with NHDES was held in Concord on December 18, 2014 to discuss the project's complexities and the implementation of stormwater practices. The WWTF improvements are confined within existing 3.7 acre fenced yard in large part because of the well documented archeological site (former Fort Washington) outside the fence. Other site considerations include the heavy recreational use of Peirce Island and concerns from city residents about the height of the WWTF structures.

The total site disturbance during construction will be approximately 8.1 acres. There are (3) small areas that are planned for embankment stabilization. One area on the river side is eroding due to highly fractured bedrock and a second area will be impacted by construction of the new BAF. The third area facing southerly will be stabilized to facilitate equipment access around the new BAF. While the WWTF remains within the existing fence line, limited construction activities will take place outside. The Contractor will be required to implement and maintain perimeter controls for erosion and sediment control around these areas and comply with the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan prepared as part of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination Systems (NPDES) Construction General Permit (CGP). There will also be upgrades to the existing utilities within the entrance roadway. The roadway grades will remain unchanged with no change in impervious area. There are three (3) areas along the entrance road to the WWTF that will be impacted temporary for staging, laydown areas, construction vehicles, and trailers. Upon completion of the project, these areas will be revegetated inkind with non-invasive plant species. The east end of Peirce Island is planned to be closed to the public during the construction period. An Invasive Species Management Plan is included in the NHDES Standard Dredge and Fill Wetlands Application filed for the WWTF upgrade portion of the project.

The existing stormwater system within the WWTF discharges stormwater to tidal waters of the Piscataqua River via two (2) outfalls. One outfall discharges toward the deep river channel on the northeast side of the WWTF and the other discharges southerly towards Shapleigh Island via a swale and wetlands onto a shallow intertidal area. The stormwater system will be modified to address the WWTF upgrades. Because the BAF Facility and Solids Building will be connected, the site's stormwater subcatchments are being somewhat altered. The amount of stormwater that is discharged to the main flow of the Piscataqua River will increase from the existing conditions, while the discharge to the southerly side will decrease (thereby reducing impacts to the more sensitive intertidal zone). To further address water quality, the proposed stormwater management upgrades includes a level spreader, a rain garden and a 5,000 gallon water quality inlet (WQI). The WQI was

designed with the first and second chambers having 400 cubic feet of storage per acre of contributing impervious area.

The addition of buildings, tanks and pavement areas for the project will result in a total increase of impervious area of 21,270 square feet; however the total includes 14,575 square feet of new open-topped tanks that will capture rainfall (which becomes part of the wastewater flow). Therefore, the total post –construction watershed generating stormwater runoff is being reduced, resulting in a net decrease of stormwater runoff from the site.

The site is composed mostly of ledge and fills. It is estimated that the project will require blasting of more than 5,000 cubic yards of ledge. A review of the NHDES One Stop Program did not reveal any drinking wells within 2,000 feet of the facility; therefore groundwater monitoring will not be required for the project.

Historically, Peirce Island is best known as the site of Fort Washington, a star-shaped fort constructed at the eastern end of the island in 1775 as part of an extensive defense system of Portsmouth Harbor against the British in both the Revolutionary War and the War of 1812. Fort Washington was dismantled in 1815. The "Peirce Island Sewage Treatment Plant" was constructed in 1965 in the location of the old fort at the east end of the island. A number of archaeological investigations have been done at Peirce Island, most recently by Independent Archaeological Consultants. Significant precautions are being taken to preserve remaining archaeological resources on the island.

Due to the constrained Peirce Island WWTF site and the magnitude of the planned upgrade project, the construction contractor will require space for construction trailers, parking, staging, and storage outside of the existing fence line throughout the duration of the construction project. A Traffic Control Plan showing the mandatory construction access truck routes and staging plans defining the contractor's construction staging locations will be part of the final submittal. These items are being finalized and will be reviewed by City departments. It is anticipated a review by the Portsmouth Parking and Traffic Safety Committee will be completed.

CALCULATION METHODS

The drainage study was completed using HydroCAD. The program generates runoff hydrographs for specified storm distributions, and performs reservoir routing using the storage indication method. The criteria used for this drainage analysis is the 2-, 10-, and 50-year 24-hour Type III frequency storm events. The City of Portsmouth requires an analysis of a 2-, 10-, 25- and 50-year storm events. Conveyance devices are sized for the 10-year event.

Proposed erosion control measures are based upon recommended best management practices in the *New Hampshire Stormwater Management Manual* prepared by the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services, dated December 2008, and as amended.

Curve number computations are based on guidelines for high intensity soil surveys presented in the technical report titled: *Environmental Planning for Onsite Wastewater Treatment in New Hampshire*.

The following modeling parameters and assumptions were incorporated into the analysis:

- Project area Hydrological Soil Groups are based on Site Specific Soil Mapping prepared by James H. Long, NHCSS #15.
- Routing technique is Dynamic-Storage-Indication method
- Model based on extreme precipitation values published by Cornell/UNH.
- Used Tc of 6 minutes for all subcatchments, since each measured Tc was less than 6 minutes. SCS TR-55 Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds indicates that the minimum Tc should be 0.1 hour or 6 minutes. The Federal Highway Administration <u>Hydraulic Engineering</u> and NHDOT <u>Drainage Design for Highways</u> states that minimum time of concentration (Tc) for urbanized areas should not be less than 5-minutes. Extremely short Tc times can lead to improbable runoff values and are not appropriate for design.

Altus Engineering notes that stormwater modeling is limited in its capacity to precisely predict peak flow rates and flood elevations. Results should not be considered absolute due to the number of variables and assumptions involved in the modeling effort. Surface roughness coefficients (n), entrance loss coefficients (ke), velocity factors (kv), time of concentration (Tc), and tail water conditions are based on subjective field observations and engineering judgment. For design purposes, curve numbers (CN) describe the <u>average</u> conditions. However, curve numbers will vary from storm to storm depending on the antecedent runoff conditions (ARC). Modeling to simulate an actual storm event requires measurement of the pre-storm ARC to adjust the CN for the event. Also, higher flood elevations than predicted by modeling could occur if drainage channels and culverts are not maintained and become blocked by debris before or during the storm event. Siltation, blockage or damage to culverts or storm drains will impact flow capacity of the structures. Structures should be re-evaluated if future changes occur within drainage basins.

SUMMARY

Pre-Development

James H. Long, CSS, CWS completed a Site Specific Soil Survey (SSSS) for the site. The survey results are shown on the Sheet WS-1 & WS2, Pre- and Post-Development Site Specific Soils Plan. Soils determination was based on *New Hampshire Supplement of Site-Specific Soil Mapping Standard for New Hampshire and Vermont*, Version 4.0, February 2011 and disturbed areas were identified using the *Disturbed Soil Mapping Unit Supplement for DES AoT Site Specific Soil Maps*. The survey indicates that the hydrological soil group is predominately B with a small portion being C, where it's not ledge.

The pre-development watersheds are delineated on the accompanying Sheet WS-1A, Pre-Development Watershed Plan. Flow from the site flows radially outward. The majority of runoff discharges at existing two (2) drainage outfalls. Sheet flow from the remaining watersheds and the drainage outfalls flows directly into the tidal waters of Piscataqua River. The overall site runoff was analyzed at one (1) point of analysis (POA), the Piscataqua River.

Post-Development

The post-development conditions were analyzed at the same discharge point as the pre-development conditions. The post-development watersheds are delineated on the accompanying Sheet WS-2A, Post-Development Watershed Plan. Modifications to the delineated areas and associated ground cover were made to sub-catchments to account for the improvements to the property.

Drainage Analysis

A complete summary of the flow conditions is included in Appendix A. The following compares pre- and post-development peak flow rates at the point of analysis:

| P.O.A. | 2 Yr. Storm | 10 Yr. Storm | 25 Yr. Storm | 50 Yr. Storm |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | (3.84 in.) | (5.04 in.) | (6.00 in.) | (6.84 in.) |
| | Q out (c.f.s.) | Q out (c.f.s.) | Q out (c.f.s.) | Q out (c.f.s.) |
| Pre (7R) | 6.27 | 12.02 | 16.68 | 21.09 |
| Post (7R) | <u>6.07</u> | <u>10.80</u> | <u>15.29</u> | <u>19.04</u> |
| Net Change | -0.20 cfs | -1.20 cfs | - 1.30 cfs | - 2.02 cfs |

Facility Outfalls

| P.O.A. | 2 Yr. Storm (3.84 in.) | 10 Yr. Storm (5.04 in.) | 25 Yr. Storm (6.00 in.) | 50 Yr. Storm (6.84 in.) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Q out (c.f.s.) | Q out (c.f.s.) | Q out (c.f.s.) | Q out (c.f.s.) |
| Pre (1 P) | 1.89 | 3.44 | 4.68 | 5.83 |
| Post (1aP) | 4.23 | 7.25 | 9.62 | <u>11.84</u> |
| Net Change | 6.12 cfs | 3.81 cfs | 4.94 cfs | 6.01 cfs |

Northerly Outfall (towards deep river channel)

Southerly Outfall (toward Shapleigh Island)

| P.O.A. | 2 Yr. Storm (3.84 in.) | 10 Yr. Storm (5.04 in.) | 25 Yr. Storm (6.00 in.) | 50 Yr. Storm (6.84 in.) |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Q out (c.f.s.) | Q out (c.f.s.) | Q out (c.f.s.) | Q out (c.f.s.) |
| Pre (5P) | 1.98 | 3.68 | 5.04 | 6.33 |
| Post (60P) | 0.00 | 0.48 | <u>0.85</u> | 0.98 |
| Net Change | -1.98 cfs | -3.20 cfs | - 4.19 cfs | - 5.35 cfs |

Conclusion

Comparison of the pre- and post-development stormwater runoff conditions indicates an overall decrease of peak flow from the site. Treatment of the WWTF's impervious areas is provided by the rain garden, level spreader and WQI. The existing 15" outfall (northerly outfall towards deep river channel) was upgraded with a new 21" outfall to reduce the outfall's velocity and headwaters within the closed drainage system. With the introduction of these best management practices, stormwater quality from the site is expected to improve.

EROSION CONTROL MEASURES

Permanent and temporary measures for erosion and sediment control are shown on the site plans. Temporary erosion control measures for perimeter control include the construction of siltation barriers, and two (2) stabilized construction entrances to minimize the transport of sediments and to prevent erosion during construction. Permanent erosion control measures include embankment stabilization, water quality inlet, rain garden and level spreader, loam and seed of side slopes, and naturally vegetated filter strips.

A complete description of the permanent and temporary erosion control measures can be found on the accompanying plans and detail sheets. The project is subject to USEPA's NPDES Stormwater Permit Program requirements. A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) will be developed prior to the start of construction and modified as needed throughout the construction period to address changing site conditions and contractor operations. Each site operator will file a Notice of Intent (NOI) at least 14 days prior to construction to obtain permit coverage. A Notice of Termination (NOT) will be filed upon completion of construction.

Figure A - 2,000 foot Distance from Project Site

Map Name: KITTERY (NH) Print Date: 05/07/14 Scale: 1 inch = 1,500 ft.

Map Center: 043° 04' 25.38" N 070° 44' 30.85" W Horizontal Datum: NAD27











No registered wells within 2,000 foot radius of project

Map Scale = 1 : 47816 (1" = 0.8 miles or 3985 feet

The information contained in the OneStop Program GIS is the best available according to the procedures and standards of each of the contributing programs and of the GIS. The different programs are regularly maintaining the information in their databases. As a result, the GIS may not always provide access to all existing information, and it may occasionally contain unintentional inaccuracies. The Department can not be responsible for the misuse or misinterpretation of the information presented by this system.



Map prepared 7/13/2015 12:12:52 PM

Legend 2010 Surface Water Impairments with 1-Mile Buffer For Development Projects





Map Scale = 1 : 37657 (1" = 0.6 miles or 3138 feet)

The information contained in the OneStop Program GIS is the best available according to the procedures and standards of each of the contributing programs and of the GIS. The different programs are regularly maintaining the information in their databases. As a result, the GIS may not always provide access to all existing information, and it may occasionally contain unintentional inaccuracies. The Department can not be responsible for the misuse or misinterpretation of the information presented by this system.

Map prepared 6/5/2015 3:03:03 PM



http://www2.des.state.nh.us/gis/onestop/select.asp?al=DESNLB,DESORW&dw=956

Feature Selection Results

2010 Surface Water Impairments with 1-Mile Buffer for Development Projects Features returned: 5 of 904.

| ASSESSMENT UNIT ID | BEACH? | ASSESSMENT UNIT NAME | IMPAIRMENTS |
|----------------------|--------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| NHEST600031001-05 | N | BACK CHANNEL | Nitrogen (Total) |
| NHEST600031001-02-02 | N | LOWER PISCATAQUA RIVER - SOUTH | Enterococcus |
| NHEST600031001-04 | N | LOWER SAGAMORE CREEK | Enterococcus |
| NHEST600031001-09 | N | SOUTH MILL POND | Enterococcus |
| NHEST600031001-11 | N | UPPER PORTSMOUTH HARBOR-NH | Nitrogen (Total) |

13

Display/Query Layer:
 2010 Surface Water Impairments with 1-Mile Buffer for Development Projects

Outstanding Resource Water Watersheds

| Save as Text |
|--------------|
| Options |
| Refresh Map |
| Query |
| Clear Form |
| Close |
| |







Map Scale = 1 : 35908 (1" = 0.6 miles or 2992 feet)

The information contained in the OneStop Program GIS is the best available according to the procedures and standards of each of the contributing programs and of the GIS. The different programs are regularly maintaining the information in their databases. As a result, the GIS may not always provide access to all existing information, and it may occasionally contain unintentional inaccuracies. The Department can not be responsible for the misuse or misinterpretation of the information presented by this system.

Map prepared 6/5/2015 3:00:09 PM





NEW HAMPSHIRE NATURAL HERITAGE BUREAU

DRED - DIVISION OF FORESTS & LANDS I 72 PEMBROKE ROAD, CONCORD, NH O3301 (603) 271-2214

To: Vicki Chase, Normandeau Associates, Inc., Environmental Analyst

| From: | Amy Lamb, Natural Heritage Bureau, Ecological Information Specialist |
|----------|--|
| Date: | June 11, 2015 |
| Subject: | NHB15-1528 |

This memo is a follow-up to NHB13-3237 and NHB15-1528, submitted for the review of the proposed improvements to the Peirce Island Wastewater Treatment Facility in Portsmouth, NH. The initial review (NHB13-3237) had indicated the presence of a state-threatened plant species, Marsh Elder (*Iva frutescens*), along the shoreline of Peirce Island in the vicinity of the project. The Natural Heritage Bureau (NHB) requested a survey for Marsh Elder in the project area.

The survey was conducted on June 2, 2014 by a botanist with Normandeau Associates, Inc., under contract to Altus Engineering and AECOM. The survey focused on the areas adjacent to the wastewater treatment plant, in areas of proposed disturbance and along the highest observable tideline, where the plant prefers to inhabit. The surveyor observed and recorded four subpopulations of Marsh Elder, located around an inlet on the south side of Peirce Island.

Based on the provided documents (site plans, survey report) and subsequent email communication, NHB does not expect that this project will impact the Marsh Elder. This determination is contingent upon the following:

- No construction activity or equipment staging will occur outside of erosion control limits, approximately 40 feet from the Marsh Elder populations.
- Construction safety fencing will be installed along either side of Peirce Island Road during construction.
- During the construction season (Dec 1- Apr 30), erosion control fencing will be installed around the seasonal construction trailer/staging area, located adjacent to the eastern end of Subpopulation 1.
- Before construction fencing is removed at the end of the construction season and/or upon termination of the project, care should be taken to remove any sediments that have collected along the fence, so that they do not run off with stormwater and impact the Marsh Elder.

If these statements are no longer true of project, the dismissal of concerns would not apply. Should you have any further questions, or if the project should change, contact me at 603-271-2215 ext. 323 or at <u>Amy.Lamb@dred.nh.gov</u>

Marsh Elder (*Iva frutescens*) Survey Report Peirce Island Wastewater Treatment Facility City of Portsmouth, NH

Submitted By Normandeau Associates, Inc. 30 International Drive, Suite 6 Portsmouth, NH 03801 603.319.5300 www.normandeau.com

June 10, 2015



INTRODUCTION

On June 2, 2014 a botanist with Normandeau Associates, Inc. (Normandeau), under contract to Altus Engineering and AECOM, completed surveys for marsh elder (*Iva frutescens*), listed as rare by the State of New Hampshire, on the eastern end of Peirce Island in Portsmouth, New Hampshire. The New Hampshire Natural Heritage Bureau (NHB) identified marsh elder at several locations on and in the vicinity of Peirce Island (Appendix A). The surveys were focused on the vicinity of the Peirce Island Waste Water Treatment Facility (WWTF) and included areas of proposed disturbance as well as the general WWTF grounds. This report outlines the methods and results of that survey, including a brief overview of the biological characteristics of marsh elder.

MARSH ELDER BIOLOGY

Marsh elder is an exclusively coastal shrub found along saline beaches, commonly at the limit of high tide from Nova Scotia south to Texas. Leaves are narrow to elliptic, thickened slightly, and oppositely branched with leaf scars that completely encircle the twig. Greenish-white flowers are borne in clusters at the ends of the branches and bloom from September to October in this region. Mature plants can reach 8 to 11 feet in height. (Haines 2011, USDA 2002, Petrides 1972).

Marsh elder is not tolerant of prolonged saltwater intrusion, although it does not typically compete well with robust upland plant species. However; marsh elder does tolerate a small amount of saline influence, which allows it to occupy the narrow band between the upland vegetation above the high salt marsh and the lands that are subject to greater tidal influence below. It has been found that the most robust growth occurs at locations that are flooded 6-7% of the time during the growing season. Greater flooding regimes result in increased mortality, with zero shrub growth recorded for areas subject to flooding for greater than 30% of the growing season (Thursby and Abdelrhman 2004). Marsh elder is an important component to the shoreline as the last line of defense for protection from shoreline erosion.

SURVEY METHODS

The life history of marsh elder demonstrates that the species is typically confined to a narrow band between the intertidal shore and areas unaffected by the normal tidal range. Therefore, field surveys were directed at areas in the vicinity of the observable height of tide, as determined by the uppermost wrack line or water stained shoreline visible at the time of survey. This is coincident with the Highest Observable Tideline (HOTL) previously identified

by Normandeau (see *Wetland and Shoreland Report* dated October 16, 2015). Potential marsh elder individuals were keyed to species using the most recent edition of *Flora Novae Angliae* (Haines 2011). When an individual or group of marsh elder was identified, data collected included information on the general health and vigor of the population, stem count and density, and characteristics of the surrounding environment. These data were used to complete NHB data sheets for submittal to the agency for inclusion into their records. Populations were located using a Trimble Geo 6000 Global Positioning System (GPS) unit capable of sub-meter accuracy. The width of the population parallel to the shore was estimated at each point taken within the population.

SURVEY RESULTS

Over 500 individuals of the target species, marsh elder, were located during the June 2, 2014 survey effort. All marsh elder were observed to be stunted, and contain approximately 50-60% dead stems, mostly confined to the upper portions of the plant. One population containing four subpopulations was identified along the southern shore of Peirce Island, along the edge of a small cove west of the WWTF. The population formed a narrow band immediately above the highest observed wrack line along the shore. Subpopulation 1 is the longest continuous band of marsh elder observed, extending from a rock outcrop on the west end of the cove, to the edge of a small freshwater wetland area (Wetland "A" as previously delineated by Normandeau). The other three subpopulations are much smaller and extend along the eastern side of the cove until adjacent upland vegetation density increased and marsh elder was no longer observed (Appendix B). All individuals were observed to be in feeble to very feeble vigor, and averaged 3-feet in height (Appendix C). A data form documenting the population was completed for submittal to NHB (Appendix D). Table 1 contains a summary of the information recorded on the subpopulations.

| Subpopulation | Number of Individuals | Vigor | Subpopulation Size (sq. ft.) |
|---------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | 400+ | Very Feeble | 4277 |
| 2 | 125 | Feeble | 612 |
| 3 | 31 | Very Feeble | 322 |
| 4 | 14 | Very Feeble | 217 |

| Table 1: Summary of marsh elder (Iva frutescens) su |
|---|
|---|

Associated upland species included staghorn sumac (*Rhus hirta*), autumn olive (*Eleagnus umbellata*), Asian bittersweet (*Celastrus orbiculatus*), and speckled alder (*Alnus incana* ssp. *rugosa*). The saline areas downslope of the marsh elder contained over 50% unvegetated substrate, as well as a mixture of cordgrass (*Spartina* sp.).

DISCUSSION

Based on current construction plans, the construction area is located away from the identified marsh elder (Appendix E). There is a staging area at the location of the dirt parking lot/snow storage area adjacent to Subpopulation 1. Presently there is a row of bollards along the perimeter of the lot which would be an appropriate guideline for limits. Care should be taken to establish the limit for construction trailer placement and the staging of construction materials. Current erosion control plans show the limits of erosion controls approximately 40 feet from the marsh elder population. If no construction activity occurs outside of the erosion control limits, the project should not result in compromising this population of marsh elder.
REFERENCES

- Haines, A. (2011) *Flora Novae Angliae: A manual for the Identification of Native and Naturalized Higher Vascular Plants of New England.* New England Wildflower Society and Yale University Press, New Haven and London, 973pp.
- Petrides, G.A. (1972) *A field Guide to Trees and Shrubs: Northeastern and North-central United States and Southeastern and South-central Canada* (2nd ed.). Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston/New York 428 pp.
- Thursby, G.B., and M.A. Abdelrhman. (2004) Growth of Marsh Elder *Iva frutescens* in Relation to Duration of Tidal Flooding. Estuaries, Vol. 27, No. 2, pp 217-224.
- United States Department of Agriculture. (2002) Plant Fact Sheet: Marsh Elder *Iva frutescens*. Accessed June 11, 2014 at <u>https//www.plants.usda.gov/factsheet/pdf/fs_ivfr.pdf</u>.

APPENDIX A NHB Review Letter

| Memo | NH NATURAL HERITAGE BUREAU |
|--|--|
| To: | Jeffrey Clifford, Altus Engineering 133 Court Street Portsmouth, NH 03801 |
| From: Date: Re: | Melissa Coppola, NH Natural Heritage Bureau10/30/2013 (valid for one year from this date)Review by NH Natural Heritage BureauNHB File ID:NHB File ID:Proceeding and construction of improvements to the City of Portsmouth's Pierce IslandWastewater Treatment Facility |
| As request | ted, I have searched our database for records of rare species and exemplary natural communities, with the following results. |
| Comment <u>mcoppola</u> | s: Please send site photos of the area closest to the shoreline that will be impacted by this project. Send requested info to: <u>@dred.state.nh.us</u> . |
| Plant spec | State ¹ Federal Notes |
| Marsh Eld | Threats are primarily alterations to the hydrology of the wetland, such as ditching or tidal restrictions that might affect the sheet flow of tidal waters across the intertidal flat, activities that eliminate plants, and increased input of nutrients and pollutants in storm runoff. |
| ¹ Codes: "E been added | " = Endangered, "T" = Threatened, "SC" = Special Concern, "" = an exemplary natural community, or a rare species tracked by NH Natural Heritage that has not yet to the official state list. An asterisk (*) indicates that the most recent report for that occurrence was more than 20 years ago. |
| A negative informatio species. A | e result (no record in our database) does not mean that a sensitive species is not present. Our data can only tell you of known occurrences, based on m gathered by qualified biologists and reported to our office. However, many areas have never been surveyed, or have only been surveyed for certain on on-site survey would provide better information on what species and communities are indeed present. |
| | |
| Departmer Division o (603) 271- | at of Resources and Economic Development Development DRED/NHB PO Box 1856 PO Box 1856 2214 fax: 271-6488 Concord NH 03302-1856 |

A NH NATURAL HERITAGE BUREAU

Note: Mapped locations are not always exact. Occurrences that are not in the vicinity of the project are not shown. Known locations of rare species and exemplary natural communities



New Hampshire Natural Heritage Bureau - Plant Record

Marsh Elder (Iva frutescens)

| Legal St | atus | | Conserv | tion Status | |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Federal: | Not listed | | Global: | Demonstrabl | y widespread, abundant, and secure |
| State: | Listed Th | reatened | State: | mperiled du | e to rarity or vulnerability |
| Descript | tion at this | Location | | | |
| Conserva | ation Rank: | Excellent quality, cond | lition and landsc | pe context (' | A' on a scale of A-D). |
| Commen | nts on Rank | : This rank may be for the | he state rather that | n relative to | others in the region. |
| Detailed | Description | n: 1996: Constant observa | ation since 1953 | eported, incl | luding all stages of phenology and age |
| General Area: | | 1996: On shores of sev Associated plant specie marsh rush), <i>Spartina j</i> <i>Elymus virginicus</i> (Vir <i>vulgaris</i> (common mug Pleasant Point. | gwort). Substrate | eninsulas in ervirens (sea ow cord-graa triplex patul gravel and 1 | the more or less enclosed bay system. aside goldenrod), <i>Juncus gerardii</i> (salt ss), <i>Triglochin maritimum</i> (arrow-grass), <i>a</i> (narrow-leaved orach), and <i>Artemisia</i> narsh peat and muck. 1982: On shore at |
| General Manager Commen | Comments: nent nts: | | | | |
| Locatior | 1 | | | | |
| Survey S | ite Name: | Little Harbor, back chann | nel | | |
| Manageo | і Бу: | Little Harbor Trust | | | |
| County: | Rocking | ham | USGS au | nd(s): Kitte | ry (4307016) |
| Town(s): | : Portsmo | uth | Lat, Lon | : 4304 | 09N, 0704409W |
| Size: | 57.8 acr | es | Elevation | 10 fe | et |
| Precisior | n: Wit | hin (but not necessarily res | tricted to) the are | a indicated o | n the map. |
| Directions: In the vicinity of Rte. 1B which enc Castle and Rye. Many of the sites an | | | h encircles the L ites are visible or | tle Harbor b y by boat. | ack channel from Portsmouth to New |
| Dates do | ocumented | | | | |
| First repo | orted: | 1953 | Last repo | ted: 19 | 996-04-01 |

APPENDIX B Marsh Elder Location Map



APPENDIX C Documenting Photographs



Photo 1: Subpopulation 1, western end. The marsh elder is the very narrow, low-growing shrub between the herbaceous saltmarsh species and the dense upland vegetation.



Photo 2: Subpopulation 1, along road. The marsh elder (narrow, gray band of vegetation) is very short in this location and is subject to roadside disturbance.



Photo 3: Subpopulation 2. This is the widest band of marsh elder in this population, likely due to the wide, gentle gradient of the shore.



Photo 4: Subpopulation 4. This subpopulation is the least numerous, with individuals overtopped by the adjacent upland vegetation (upper left).



Photo 5: Individual marsh elder showing growth characteristic of this population. New twigs are generally low on the plant, with dieback occurring on the upper branches.



Photo 6: Another series of individuals showing feeble growth.

APPENDIX D NHB Data Form

| | | | Special Plant | Survey For | m | | Obs Pt |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Survev Site: | Portsmouth | Naste Water Trea | atment Fac. | Date: | 6/2/2014 | Sourcecode: | |
| Surveyors: | E. Lema | | | Town: | Portsmouth | Quad name: | |
| Phone / e-mail: | elema@norm | andeau.com, 207 | 7-518-6769 | | | | |
| GPS coordinates: | -70.744147 | 43.0 |)74326 | Datum (e.g., | NAD 83): NA | AD83 GPS Unit / moo | del: Trimble Geo6000 |
| Directions: (Map mu Water Treatment Fa | ust be attached) acility. | along shore of | south-facing cove | at the main pa | arking area for v | isitors to the grounds surrounding | the Portsmouth Waste |
| Species mars | sh elder (<i>lva</i> | frutescens) | | | | | EONum: |
| IMPORTANT: What | t diagnostic feat | ures were observe | ed that would separ | rate it from sin | nilar species? | | |
| Specimen taken? For specimens: Coll | No ector, collection | #, repository: | Photograph t | aken? Yes | | Photograph attached? | Yes |
| Office Use Only Conclusion: | ID reviewed b | y: Possible - needs | Date follow-up D M | : is-identified | Based on: | Description Photo | graph 🗌 Specimen |
| Phenology (%) | | Populat | tion Size | | | Age Structure (%) | Vigor (%) |
| 100 In leaf In bud In flower In flower Immature fru Mature fruit Seed dispersive | uit sing eproduction* | Ramets act est 11 51 51 500 101 | Genets** ual # im. # -10 I-50 -100 000 | | | seedlings immature vegetative sprouts 1 st year mature (established) senescent age unknown | Very feeble Feeble Normal Vigorous Exceptionally vigorous |
| Describe vegetative i Genets: How define <u>of plants with</u> | reproduction: d? Average size | New growth or e?: <u>Genets define</u> Description | riginating from lowe ad by individual cl | er 1/3 of plant. I umps with st | ems arising fro | om the same point – same as ra | mets. |
| ?Evidence100Injury / he | of disease rbivory | Unknown if dise All plants exhib | ease or disturband it dieback, likely f | ce, see below rom disturba | nce and large s | saltwater intrusion. | |
| Population Polygon (PP): If you drew a line around all the plants you found, how large an area would be within it? Total Cover (TC): What is the total area covered by all the plants (as if they were growing next to one another)? | | | | | | | |
| < 1 sq. m 1-5 sq 5-10 sq 10-100 sq | ۲۲ neter ۱. m ۱. m ۱. m. | | What % of the Pop Within the popula | pulation Polyg ation polygon, | on is covered by how are the ste | y this species? <u>60</u> (= 100 * To ms distributed? Clumped (If "other", desc | C / PP) cribe below) |
| 100-1000 sq. m. (.1 > 0.1 | ha) 500 1 ha | 300 | How How thorou | much time wa ughly was the | is spent searchin Population Poly | ng in this area? <u>1</u> people searc /gon searched? Very well | hed for <u>180</u> min, |
| actual area (if kno | own) | | Is there su | iitable habitat | nearby that was | not searched? Yes | |
| Comments on popula dieback and low vig | ation size / distr gor. Potential : | ibution / etc.: saltwater intrusic | Confined to a c on from storm dist | characteristic aurbance and | narrow band a sea level rise r | along high tide line. All individu may be contributing to decline. | ials exhibit marked |
| Aspect | | Slope | Light | | Topo position | Moisture regime | Comments |
| N N X E X S X W Flat Degrees | NE X NW X SE SW | 0-3% 3-8% 8-15% 15-35% 35%-vert. degrees | 80 Oper 20 Partia Filter Shace | n al | Crest Upper slope Mid-slope Lower slope Bottom | Inundated (hydric) Saturated (wet-me Moist (mesic) Dry-mesic Dry (xeric) | sic) |
| Elevation range: | 0 to | me | ters Soil na Bedro | ame (SCS) / S ck type: | ubstrate: | | |

| File name: | NH_Genspp_ | EO# or Surveysite_FFyy | e.g. | NH_Arebul_002_FF09 | for Arethusa bulbosa EO# 2 seen in 2009 | or | NH_Arebul_BigFen_FF09 if EO# unknown. |
|------------|------------|------------------------|------|--------------------|---|----|--|

| Associated natural community | : Satlmarsh (downslope | e) upland shrub commu | nity (upslope) | Releve completed? | No |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Associated plant species (imn (Atriplex patula), Asian bitte | nediate vicinity): saltmea rsweet (Celastrus orbiculatus | ndow cordgrass (<i>Spartin</i>)speckled alder (<i>Alnus i</i> | a patens), staghorn sur ncana ssp. rugosa), gol | mac (<i>Rhus hirta</i>), spearsca Idenrod (<i>Solidago</i> sp.), turf | le orache species |
| Dominant / characteristic spec patens) | ies: staghorn sumac (| <i>Rhus hirta</i>), Asian bitter | sweet (Celastrus orbicu | <i>ılatus</i>), saltmeadow cordgr | ass (Spartina |
| Invasive species: Asia | n bittersweet (<i>Celastrus orbic</i> | culatus) | | | |
| Sketch (habitat and/or overhe | ad view). Include scale, north a | rrow, and where the plants | s are. | | |
| See attached map generated | from sub-meter accurate GPS d | ata. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Owner aware of the plant? Owner protecting the plant? | Unknown Unknown | Owner comments: | | | |
| Evidence of disturbance: | Disturbance from adjacent m storm events likely. | own roadside and main | ained, unpaved parking | g/snow storage lot. Also d | isturbance from |
| Management needs: | Gently grading the current up seawater gradually rises. Cu | bland cut bank may prov rrently the species is un | ide the marsh elder ecc able to move upslope. | blogical space to move as t | the level of |
| The SIZE of the population: S habitat was searched, thus me Four subpopulations i band occupying the space b | Summarize first page, provide actions plants were located). In close proximity to each other etween the upper tidal limit a | Iditional details (e.g. on th er line the south-facing o nd the upland vegetation | e distribution of the plants cove west of the treatm n. Greater than 500 indi | s, how confident you are that ent facility. The population ividuals were located. | t most of the |
| The current CONDITION of th maintenance of the population | e population and its immediate l . Also evidence of disturbance | nabitat. Include reproduct in the immediate vicinity in | ive activity and health of a | the plants, and dispersal, es e of invasive species. | tablishment, and |
| The population is larg population is greatly below indicating that there may be stems, with the uppermost p orbiculatus), and many of th | e, but in poor condition. All o the potential 8-11 feet that is o more frequent tidal inundatio portions of nearly all stems de larger shrub species are ov | f the individuals exhibit cited in resource materia on than is ideal for the sp ead. The upland side of erhanging the marsh elo | a large amount of dead Ils. Some flotsam was o pecies. The individuals the habitat is heavily in ler. | stems, and the overall hei observed above the range are resprouting from the l vaded by Asian bitterswee | ght of the of the population, ower 1/3 of the t (<i>Celastrus</i> |
| The condition of the LANDSC. land use? fragmentation?). | APE in the area SURROUNDIN | G the population (e.g. is the | ne area an undisturbed, fi | unctioning natural ecosysten | n: current and past |
| The landscape is mair vicinity is limited to the pave | ntained in a park-like setting a ed access road to the WWTF a | nd is frequented by man and an unpaved lot imme | y people including num ediately north of the pop | nerous dog owners. Devel pulation. | opment in the |
| Letter ranks summarizing the | comments made above: $A = Fx$ | cellent, $B = Good$. $C = F$ | air, D = Poor | | |
| Size Rank: B | Condition Rank: D | Landscape Context | Rank: C | Overall Rank (A-D): C | |
| Your experience with this spec | cies (ranks are relative to): | 🛛 Local | Statewide | Regional Global | |

File name: NH_Genspp_EO# or Surveysite_FFyy e.g. NH_Arebul_002_FF09 for Arethusa bulbosa EO# 2 seen in 2009 or NH_Arebul_BigFen_FF09 if EO# unknown.

APPENDIX E Peirce Island Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade Erosion Control Plans, May 2015 July 2015



7:04:



25, 2015 7:04: 2015 2:14:41 1 301525 /, June July 14, UPDATE: DATE: PATH, LAST PLOT

109.11

The State of New Hampshire



Department of Environmental Services

Thomas S. Burack, Commissioner



October 06, 2015

City of Portsmouth c/o Terry Desmarais 680 Peverly Hill Rd. Portsmouth, NH 03801

<u>RE: NHDES Wetlands File # 2015-01866</u> City of Portsmouth –Peirce Island Wastewater Treatment Facility – Portsmouth Tax Map/Lot # 208 / 1

Dear Mr. Desmarais,:

Attached please find Wetlands Permit # 2015-01866 to Impact a total of 135,975 square feet of developed and undeveloped upland tidal buffer zone, including a total of 21,745 square feet in the undeveloped tidal buffer zone (13,210 s.f. temporary and 7,505 s.f permanent impacts); and a total of 114,230 square feet in the developed tidal buffer zone (87,220 s.f. temporary and 27,010 permanent impacts) for construction to upgrade the existing Portsmouth Wastewater Treatment facility in accordance with EPA Consent Decree. Approve as mitigation implementation of the "Invasive Species Management Plan" by Normandeau Associates dated August 18, 2015.

The decision to approve this application was based on the following findings:

1. This is a major impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.02((b) projects within 100 feet of the highest observable tide line in undeveloped uplands, relative to the work proposed for the undeveloped upland tidal buffer zone.

Work within the developed upland tidal buffer zone for this project is classified as minimum impact per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(b), projects in previously developed uplands within 100' of highest observable tide line that are not classified as major or minor.
 The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. The overall project is the required upgrade of the Portsmouth Wastewater Treatment plant pursuant to an EPA consent decree. This application is for the construction portion of the overall project and will be executed concurrent with the stabilization portion of the project being permitted under file 2015-1878.
 The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03. The project is confined to the existing site of the wastewater treatment facility for upgrade of the facility, and to areas that are undeveloped municipal parkland as for use as staging and site management. All temporarily disturbed areas will be restored immediately following completion of the project, and the City of Portsmouth has developed a landscape plan to further strengthen the integrity of the buffer once the treatment plant construction work is completed.

5. The applicant is providing compensatory mitigation for permanent tidal buffer zone impacts through the implementation of an invasive species removal and management plan which will be applied to several acres of the island that are now vegetated with invasive plants.
6. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(a)and (c), Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project. The NH Natural Heritage Bureau ("NHB")required a field survey to locate populations of the state-threatened Marsh Elder, which was completed by Normandeau Associates and documented in a report dated July 14, 2014. NHB stated that although there are populations of the species in the immediate project vicinity, it does not expect the species to be impacted if certain stated conditions are followed. Those conditions have been included in the permit conditions.

7. DES Staff conducted a field inspection of the proposed project on August 7, 2015. Field inspection determined that the application materials accurately depict the site, and implementation of the Invasive Species Management Plan will be highly beneficial to the quality of the buffer and inner island areas.

8. In accordance with RSA 482-A:8, DES finds that the requirements for a public hearing do not apply as the permitted project is not of substantial public interest, and will not have a significant impact on or adversely affect the values of the estuarine resource, as identified under RSA 482-A:1.

9. The Portsmouth Conservation Commission recommended approval of the project.

Any person aggrieved by this decision may appeal to the N.H. Wetlands Council ("Council") by filing an appeal that meets the requirements specified in RSA 482-A:10, RSA 21-O:14, and the rules adopted by the Council, Env-WtC 100-200. The appeal must be filed **directly with the Council within 30 days** of the date of this decision and must set forth fully **every ground** upon which it is claimed that the decision complained of is unlawful or unreasonable. Only those grounds set forth in the notice of appeal can be considered by the Council.

Information about the Council, including a link to the Council's rules, is available at etlands/index.htm">http://nhec.nh.gov/>etlands/index.htm) Copies of the rules also are available from the DES Public Information Center at (603) 271-2975.

Your permit must be signed, and a copy must be posted in a prominent location on site during construction. If you have any questions, please contact me at the Pease District Office at (603) 559-1507 or via email at dori.wiggin@des.nh.gov.

Sincerely, Dori Wiggin

East Region Supervisor DES Wetlands Bureau

cc: Portsmouth Conservation Commission Portsmouth Municipal Clerk Normandeau Associates

> www.des.nh.gov 29 Hazen Drive • PO Box 95 • Concord, NH 03302-0095 (603) 271-3503 • TDD Access: Relay NH 1-800-735-2964



The State of New Hampshire DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

Thomas S. Burack, Commissioner



NOTICE TO RECIPIENTS OF MAJOR IMPACT N.H. WETLANDS PERMITS

Your permit was approved by the New Hampshire Wetlands Bureau as a major impact project, and your project will be reviewed by the U.S. Army Corps. Of Engineers for possible approval under the <u>Army Corps. New Hampshire State Programmatic General Permit- SPGP</u>. The Army Corps. will notify you within thirty (30) days as to whether you qualify.

******NO WORK SHOULD BE DONE IN***** ******WETLANDS UNTIL YOU RECEIVE THAT NOTICE*********

IF YOU DO NOT HEAR FROM THE ARMY CORPS WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS,YOU SHOULD CALL THEM AT 1-800-343-4789.

THIS NOTICE WAS SENT WITH MAJOR IMPACT PERMIT # 2015-1866 ON 10/6/2015 BY 10

C: U.S. ARMY CORPS. OF ENGINEERS



The State of New Hampshire
Department of Environmental Services

Thomas S. Burack, Commissioner



WETLANDS AND NON-SITE SPECIFIC PERMIT 2015-01866

| Permittee: | City of Portsmouth |
|-------------------|----------------------------|
| | c/o Terry Desmarais |
| | 680 Peverly Hill Rd. |
| | Portsmouth, NH 03801 |
| Project Location: | Peirce Island, Portsmouth |
| - | Portsmouth Tax Map/Lot No. |
| Waterbody: | Piscataqua River |

NOTE --0. 208/10NDITIONS

APPROVAL DATE: 10/06/2015

EXPIRATION DATE: 10/06/2020

Based upon review of the above referenced application, in accordance with RSA 482-A and RSA 485-A:17, a Wetlands Permit and Non-Site Specific Permit was issued. This permit shall not be considered valid unless signed as specified below.

PERMIT DESCRIPTION: Impact a total of 135,975 square feet of developed and undeveloped upland tidal buffer zone, including a total of 21,745 square feet in the undeveloped tidal buffer zone (13,210 s.f. temporary and 7,505 s.f permanent impacts); and a total of 114,230 square feet in the developed tidal buffer zone (87,220 sf. temporary and 27,010 permanent impacts) for construction to upgrade the existing Portsmouth Wastewater Treatment facility in accordance with EPA Consent Decree.

Approve as mitigation implementation of the "Invasive Species Management Plan" by Normandeau Associates dated August 18, 2015.

THIS APPROVAL IS SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING PROJECT SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by AECom dated July 2015, as received by the NH Department of Environmental Services (DES) on 7/21/2015.

2. This permit is not valid unless an Alteration of Terrain permit or other method of compliance with RSA 485-

A:17 and Env-Wq 1500 is achieved.

3. This permit is further contingent upon execution of approved mitigation "Invasive Species Management Plan" by Normandeau Associates dated August 18, 2015, with the following requirements:

a. The Plan shall be initiated concurrent with the project facility construction;

b. Initial report documenting the first season's activities shall be submitted no later than October 1;

c. A second report shall be submitted following the next season no later than October 1 of the second year;

d. A plan for long-term success and monitoring shall be submitted to DES at the end of the second year for review and approval.

4. Orange construction fence shall be installed around permitted impact areas to avoid activities expanding into unintended areas, and in further accordance with specific requirement relative to threatened species protection stated below.

5. Appropriate siltation and erosion controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized. Temporary controls shall be removed once the area has been stabilized.

6. Relative to the protection of the state-threatened Marsh Elder populations the following conditions shall be adhered to:

a. No construction activity or equipment staging will occur outside of erosion control limits, approximately 40 feet from Marsh Elder populations;

b. Construction safety fencing shall be installed along either side of Peirce Island Road during the construction;
 c. During the construction season (Dec. 1- Apr. 30) erosion control fencing will be installed around the seasonal construction trailer/staging area, located adjacent to the eastern end of Sub-population 1;

d. Before construction fencing is removed at the end of the construction season, and /or upon termination of the project, care should be taken to remove any sediments that have collected along the fence, so that they do not run off with storm water and impact the Marsh Elder.

7. Any further alteration of areas on this property that are subject to RSA 482-A jurisdiction will require a new application and further permitting.

www.des.nh.gov 29 Hazen Drive • PO Box 95 • Concord, NH 03302-0095 (603) 271-3503 • TDD Access: Relay NH 1-800-735-2964 8. All development activities associated with this project shall be conducted in compliance with applicable requirements of RSA 483-B and N.H. Code Admin. Rules Env-Wq 1400 during and after construction.

9. No person undertaking any activity shall cause or contribute to, or allow the activity to cause or contribute to, any violations of the surface water quality standards in RSA 485-A and Env-Wq 1700.

10. Erosion control products shall be installed per manufacturers recommended specifications.

11. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall use techniques described in the New Hampshire Stormwater Manual, Volume 3, Erosion and Sediment Controls During Construction (December 2008).

12. No concrete is to be used anywhere in the construction of the stone riprap revetment. All stone shall be dry laid or placed stone underlain with filter fabric.

13. All temporary impacts shall be remediated back to natural contours and stabilized with native seed mix, as well as planted according to the approved landscape plan immediately upon completion of project construction use of the site.

14. A report documenting such restoration shall be submitted to DES within 30 days of the restoration activities being completed.

15. Construction equipment shall be inspected daily for leaking fuel, oil, and hydraulic fluid prior to entering surface waters or wetlands or operating in an area where such fluids could reach groundwater, surface waters, or wetlands.

16. The permittee's contractor shall maintain appropriate oil/diesel fuel spill kits on site that are readily accessible at all times during construction, and shall train each operator in the use of the kits.

17. All refueling of equipment shall occur outside of surface waters or wetlands during construction. Machinery shall be staged and refueled in upland areas only.

18. Faulty equipment shall be repaired immediately prior to entering areas that are subject to RSA 482-A jurisdiction.

GENERAL CONDITIONS THAT APPLY TO ALL DES WETLANDS PERMITS:

1. A copy of this permit shall be posted on site during construction in a prominent location visible to inspecting personnel;

2. This permit does not convey a property right, nor authorize any injury to property of others, nor invasion of rights of others;

3. The Wetlands Bureau shall be notified upon completion of work;

4. This permit does not relieve the applicant from the obligation to obtain other local, state or federal permits, and/or consult with other agencies as may be required (including US EPA, US Army Corps of Engineers, NH Department of Transportation, NH Division of Historical Resources (NH Department of Cultural Resources), NHDES-Alteration of Terrain, etc.);

5. Transfer of this permit to a new owner shall require notification to and approval by DES;

6. This project has been screened for potential impacts to **known** occurrences of rare species and exemplary natural communities in the immediate area. Since many areas have never been surveyed, or have received only cursory inventories, unidentified sensitive species or communities may be present. This permit does not absolve the permittee from due diligence in regard to state, local or federal laws regarding such communities or species.

7. Review enclosed sheet for status of the US Army Corps of Engineers' federal wetlands permit.

pril APPROVED:

Dori Wiggin, East Region Supervisor DES Wetlands Bureau

BY SIGNING BELOW I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE FULLY READ THIS PERMIT AND AGREE TO ABIDE BY ALL PERMIT CONDITIONS.

OWNER'S SIGNATURE (required)

CONTRACTOR'S SIGNATURE (required)



The State of New Hampshire DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES



Thomas S. Burack, Commissioner

October 09, 2015

City of Portsmouth c/o Terry Desmarais 680 Peverly Hill Rd. Portsmouth, NH 03801

RE: File #2015-01878 - City of Portsmouth - Portsmouth Tax Map/Lot # 208 / 1

Dear Property Owner:

The Department of Environmental Services (DES) Wetlands Bureau has reviewed and approved the above referenced application to impact 1,040 square feet (150 linear feet) of tidal wetlands and a total of 2,445 square feet of undeveloped upland tidal buffer zone (320 sq. ft. temporary and 2,125 sq. ft. permanent impacts) over three locations for installation of rip rap revetment for shoreline bank stabilization associated with upgrade construction at the Portsmouth Pierce Island Wastewater Treatment Facility in accordance with an EPA Consent Decree.

Any person aggrieved by this decision may appeal to the N.H. Wetlands Council ("Council") by filing an appeal that meets the requirements specified in RSA 482-A:10, RSA 21-O:14, and the rules adopted by the Council, Env-WtC 100-200. The appeal must be filed **directly with the Council within 30 days** of the date of this decision and must set forth fully **every ground** upon which it is claimed that the decision complained of is unlawful or unreasonable. Only those grounds set forth in the notice of appeal can be considered by the Council.

Information about the Council, including a link to the Council's rules, is available at <<u>http://nhec.nh.gov/></u> (or more directly at <<u>http://nhec.nh.gov/wetlands/index.htm></u>.) Copies of the rules also are available from the DES Public Information Center at (603) 271-2975.

Because of the type and classification of this project, the application must also be approved by the Governor and Executive Council. Upon completion of the appeal period, a copy of the file will be forwarded to the Governor and Executive Council for their consideration.

Sincerely,

Wetlands Bureau Administrator

CGA/emk

Enclosure: copy of decision

cc: Portsmouth Conservation Commission Portsmouth Board of Selectmen Jon Pearson, AECOM Abutters

> DES Web site: www.des.nh.gov P.O. Box 95, 29 Hazen Drive, Concord, New Hampshire 03302-0095 Telephone: (603) 271-3503 • Fax: (603) 271-6588 • TDD Access: Relay NH 1-800-735-2964

File #2015-01878 - City of Portsmouth – Portsmouth Page 2 of 3

DECISION DATE: 10/09/2015

DECISION:

Impact 1,040 square feet (150 linear feet) of tidal wetlands and a total of 2,445 square feet of undeveloped upland tidal buffer zone (320 sq. ft. temporary and 2,125 sq. ft. permanent impacts) over three locations for installation of rip rap revetment for shoreline bank stabilization associated with upgrade construction at the Portsmouth Pierce Island Wastewater Treatment Facility in accordance with an EPA Consent Decree.

CONDITIONS:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by AECOM dated July 2015, as received by the NH Department of Environmental Services (DES) on July 21, 2015.

2. This permit is not valid unless an Alteration of Terrain permit or other method of compliance with RSA 485-A:17 and Env-Wq 1500 is achieved.

3. Orange construction fence shall be installed around permitted impact areas to avoid activities from creeping into unintended areas.

4. Appropriate siltation and erosion controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and shall remain in place until the area is stabilized. Temporary siltation and erosion controls shall be removed once the area has been stabilized.

5. Relative to the protection of the state-threatened Marsh Elder populations the following conditions shall be adhered to:

- a. No construction activity or equipment staging will occur outside of erosion control limits, approximately 40 feet from Marsh Elder populations;
- b. Construction safety fencing shall be installed along either side of Pierce Island Road during the construction;
- c. During the construction season (Dec. 1- Apr. 30) erosion control fencing will be installed around the seasonal construction trailer/staging area, located adjacent to the eastern end of Sub-population 1;
- d. Before construction fencing is removed at the end of the construction season, and /or upon termination of the project, care should be taken to remove any sedimentation that has collected along the fence, so that it does not run off with storm water and impact the Marsh Elder.

6. Any further alteration of areas on this property that are subject to RSA 482-A jurisdiction will require a new application and further permitting.

7. All development activities associated with this project shall be conducted in compliance with applicable requirements of RSA 483-B and N.H. Code Admin. Rules Env-Wq 1400 during and after construction.

8. No person undertaking any activity shall cause or contribute to, or allow the activity to cause or contribute to, any violations of the surface water quality standards in RSA 485-A and Env-Wq 1700.

9. Erosion control products shall be installed per manufacturers recommended specifications.

10. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall use techniques described in the New Hampshire Stormwater Manual, Volume 3, Erosion and Sediment Controls During Construction (December 2008).

11. No concrete is to be used anywhere in the construction of the stone riprap revetment. All stone shall be dry laid or placed stone underlain with filter fabric.

12. All temporary impacts shall be remediated back to natural contours and stabilized with native seed mix, as well as planted according to the approved landscape plan immediately upon completion of project construction use of the site. 13. A report documenting such restoration shall be submitted to DES within 30 days of the restoration activities being completed.

14. Construction equipment shall be inspected daily for leaking fuel, oil, and hydraulic fluid prior to entering surface waters or wetlands or operating in an area where such fluids could reach groundwater, surface waters, or wetlands.

15. The permittee's contractor shall maintain appropriate oil/diesel fuel spill kits on site that are readily accessible at all times during construction, and shall train each operator in the use of the kits.

16. All refueling of equipment shall occur outside of surface waters or wetlands during construction. Machinery shall be staged and refueled in upland areas only.

17. Faulty equipment shall be repaired immediately prior to entering areas that are subject to RSA 482-A jurisdiction.

File #2015-01878 - City of Portsmouth – Portsmouth Page 3 of 3

FINDINGS:

1. This is a major impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.02(a), projects withing tidal wetlands, and per Env-Wt 303.02(b) projects within 100 feet of the highest observable tide line in undeveloped uplands.

2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. The overall project is the required upgrade of the Portsmouth Wastewater Treatment plant pursuant to an EPA consent decree. This application is for the stabilization portion of the overall project and will be executed concurrent with the construction portion of the project being permitted under file 2015-01866.

3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03. The project is confined to unstable areas adjacent to the new facility areas, and will be accomplished using natural material.

4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(a) and (c), Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project. The NH Natural Heritage Bureau ("NHB") required a field survey to locate populations of the state-threatened Marsh Elder, which was completed by Normandeau Associates and documented in a report dated July 14, 2014. NHB stated that although there are populations of the species in the immediate project vicinity, it does not expect the species to be impacted if certain stated conditions are followed. Those conditions have been included in the permit conditions.

DES Staff conducted a field inspection of the proposed project on August 7, 2015. Field inspection determined that the areas of erosion were severe and in close proximity to the facility, and that the stabilization work is necessary.
 In accordance with RSA 482-A:8, DES finds that the requirements for a public hearing do not apply as the permitted project is not of substantial public interest, and will not have a significant impact on or adversely affect the values of the estuarine resource, as identified under RSA 482-A:1.

7. The Portsmouth Conservation Commission recommended approval of the project.



Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey
| Hampshire |
|-------------|
| New |
| County, |
| -Rockingham |
| l Map |
| Soi |

Γ

| MAP INFORMATION | surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000. : Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. ment of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause ment of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause ment of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause ment of maps beyond the scale of mapping and accuracy of soil line rit. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting t could have been shown at a more detailed scale. If Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service I survey URL: http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov the System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) m the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator n, which preserves direction and shape but distorts and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the gual-area conic projection the background displayed on these may on which the soil lines were l and digitzed probably differs from the background displayed on these may be evident. |
|-----------------|---|
| | The soi t wtarmin atures atures Benarge misund pacem soils th soils th soils th soils th soils th source web So Coordir the vers distance distance soil Suu Survey Soil ma or large project the vers the vers the vers calculat the vers the |
| EGEND | Spoil Area Stony Spot Very Stony Stony Spot Very Strans and Ca Very Strans |
| MAP LI | terest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI) Soil Map Unit Lines Soil Map Unit Lines Soil Map Unit Lines Soil Map Unit Points Point Features Blowout Blowout Blowout Blowout Clay Spot Clay Spot Saline Spot Saline Spot Saline Spot Saline Spot Saline or Slip Sodic Spot |
| | |

2/13/2014 Page 2 of 3

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

Natural Resources Conservation Service

NSDA

Map Unit Legend

| Rockingham County, New Hampshire (NH015) | | | |
|--|---|--------------|----------------|
| Map Unit Symbol | Map Unit Name | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
| 140C | Chatfield-Hollis-Canton complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes, very stony | 8.9 | 33.0% |
| 799 | Urban land-Canton complex, 3 to 15 percent slopes | 10.8 | 40.0% |
| W | Water | 7.3 | 27.0% |
| Totals for Area of Interest | | 27.1 | 100.0% |





PORTSMOUTH WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY

Photo #1: Treatment plant entrance



Photo #2: Looking south along Operation/Lab Building



PORTSMOUTH WASTERWATER TREATMENT FACILITY



Photo #3: Looking west behind BAF Building

Photo #4: At the southwest corner of BAF Building



4507-10.photos.doc

PORTSMOUTH WASTERWATER TREATMENT FACILITY



Photo #5: Looking northwest along west side of facility

Photo #6: Looking east at facility from west side of facility



4507-10.photos.doc

PORTSMOUTH WASTERWATER TREATMENT FACILITY



Photo #7: Looking south along west side of facility

Photo #8: Looking north at facility from Shapleigh Island



4507-10.photos.doc

Groundwater Recharge Volume (GRV) Calculation

| - | ac | Area of HSG A soil that was replaced by impervious cover | 0.40" |
|--------|--------|--|-------|
| 0.15 | ac | Area of HSG B soil that was replaced by impervious cover | 0.25" |
| - | ac | Area of HSG C soil that was replaced by impervious cover | 0.10" |
| - | ac | Area of HSG D soil or impervious cover that was replaced by impervious cover | 0.0" |
| 0.25 | inches | Rd = weighted groundwater recharge depth | |
| 0.0375 | ac-in | GRV = AI * Rd | |
| 136 | cf | GRV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12") | |

Provide calculations below showing that the project meets the groundwater recharge requirements (Env-Wq 1507.04):

Pond 60 has capacity to infiltrate 559 cubic feet during a 2-yr storm event.

General Calculations - WQV and WQF (optional worksheet)

This worksheet may be useful when designing a BMP <u>that does not fit into one of the specific worksheets</u> <u>already provided</u>. For example, if proposing a new technology, which is not a stormwater wetland, infiltration practice, etc., then this worksheet may be useful.

Water Quality Volume (WQV)

| 0.18 ac | A = Area draining to the practice | |
|------------|---|--|
| 0.14 ac | A_{I} = Impervious area draining to the practice | |
| 0.78 decir | I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form $I = P$ | |
| 0.75 unitl | Rv = Runoff coefficient = $0.05 + (0.9 \text{ x I})$ | |
| 0.14 ac-ir | WQV= 1" x Rv x A | |
| 490 cf | WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12") | |

Water Quality Flow (WQF)

| 1 | inches | P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1$ ". |
|-------|-------------------------|--|
| 0.75 | inches | Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$ |
| 98 | unitless | CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 + 1.25*Q*P]0.5) |
| 0.3 | inches | S = potential maximum retention. S = $(1000/CN) - 10$ |
| 0.051 | inches | Ia = initial abstraction. Ia = $0.2S$ |
| 6.0 | minutes | $T_c = Time of Concentration$ |
| 700.0 | cfs/mi ² /in | qu is the unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II and 4-III |
| 0.148 | cfs | WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi ² /in * ac-in" to "cfs" multiply by $1 \text{mi}^2/640 \text{ac}$ |

Designer's Notes:

Runoff directed to Pond 60 (rain garden)

Summary for Pond 60: Rain garden

[87] Warning: Oscillations may require smaller dt or Finer Routing (severity=130)

Inflow Area = 0.180 ac, 76.65% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.09" for 2-yr event 0.44 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= Inflow = 0.031 af Outflow 0.04 cfs @ 13.02 hrs, Volume= 0.031 af, Atten= 91%, Lag= 56.1 min = Discarded = 0.04 cfs @ 13.02 hrs, Volume= 0.031 af 0.00 hrs, Volume= Primary 0.00 cfs @ 0.000 af = Routing by Dyn-Stoi-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev = 29.74' @ 13.02 hrs Surf.Area = 558 sf Storage = 559 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: outflow precedes inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 135.3 min (946.3 - 811.0)

| Volume | Invert | Avail.Stor | age Storage | Description | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|
| #1 | 28.50' | 1,02 | 5 cf Custom | n Stage Data (P | rismatic)Listed below |
| Elevatio (fee | on S et) | urf.Area (sq-ft) | Inc.Store (cubic-feet) | Cum.Store (cubic-feet) | |
| 28.8 29.0 30.0 30.5 | 50 00 00 50 | 320 410 610 720 | 0 183 510 333 | 0 183 693 1.025 | |
| Device | Routing | Invert | Outlet Device | s | |
| #1 #2 | Discarded Primary | 28.50' | 3.000 in/hr E Conductivity t 8.0" Horiz, O | xfiltration over to Groundwater rifice/Grate C: | Surface area Elevation = 0.00' = 0.600 |
| #3 | Primary | 30.20' | Limited to we 6.0' long x 4 Head (feet) 0 2.50 3.00 3. Coef. (English 2.68 2.72 2. | ir flow at low hea .0' breadth Bro 0.20 0.40 0.60 50 4.00 4.50 5 n) 2.38 2.54 2. 73 2.76 2.79 2 | ads ad-Crested Rectangular Weir 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 5.00 5.50 69 2.68 2.67 2.67 2.65 2.66 2.66 2.88 3.07 3.32 |
| | | | | | |

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.04 cfs @ 13.02 hrs HW=29.74' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Controls 0.04 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=28.50' TW=9.10' (Dynamic Tailwater) -2=Orifice/Grate (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-3=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)

1-800-639-2199

Fax (603) 224-2927



APPENDIX A:

SUPPORTING CALCULATIONS

PRE-DEVELOPMENT CALCULATIONS



Area Listing (all nodes)

| Area | CN | Description |
|---------|----|--|
| (acres) | | (subcatchment-numbers) |
| 1.463 | 61 | >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B (1S, 2S, 3S, 4S, 5S, 6S, 7S) |
| 0.052 | 74 | >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C (1S) |
| 1.982 | 98 | Paved parking, HSG B (1S, 2S, 3S, 4S, 5S, 6S, 7S) |
| 0.268 | 98 | Water Surface, HSG B (10S) |
| 3.764 | 83 | TOTAL AREA |

Soil Listing (all nodes)

| Area | Soil | Subcatchment |
|---------|-------|---------------------------------|
| (acres) | Group | Numbers |
| 0.000 | HSG A | |
| 3.712 | HSG B | 1S, 2S, 3S, 4S, 5S, 6S, 7S, 10S |
| 0.052 | HSG C | 1S |
| 0.000 | HSG D | |
| 0.000 | Other | |
| 3.764 | | TOTAL AREA |

| Subcatchment1S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=39,754 sf 63.90% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.77" Flow Length=249' Tc=6.0 min CN=85 Runoff=1.89 cfs 0.134 af |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Subcatchment 2S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=9,178 sf 46.22% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.28" Flow Length=210' Tc=6.0 min CN=78 Runoff=0.31 cfs 0.022 af |
| Subcatchment3S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=35,036 sf 65.55% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.77" Flow Length=340' Tc=6.0 min CN=85 Runoff=1.67 cfs 0.118 af |
| Subcatchment 4S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=25,809 sf 54.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.48" |
| Flow Length=100 | ' Slope=0.1400 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=81 Runoff=1.02 cfs 0.073 af |
| Subcatchment 5S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=15,181 sf 49.81% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.34" |
| Flow Length=40 | ' Slope=0.1200 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=79 Runoff=0.54 cfs 0.039 af |
| Subcatchment 6S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=23,060 sf 41.87% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.16" |
| Flow Length=90 | ' Slope=0.0300 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=76 Runoff=0.70 cfs 0.051 af |
| Subcatchment7S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=4,275 sf 55.11% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.48" |
| Flow Length=90 | ' Slope=0.0300 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=81 Runoff=0.17 cfs 0.012 af |
| Subcatchment 10S: Open Top Tanks | Runoff Area=11,657 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.98" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=1.02 cfs 0.066 af |
| Reach 1R: Ex. Ditch n=0.040 L= | Avg. Flow Depth=0.83' Max Vel=1.03 fps Inflow=1.98 cfs 0.141 af 50.0' S=0.0020 '/' Capacity=19.05 cfs Outflow=1.96 cfs 0.141 af |
| Reach 7R: Piscataqua River | Inflow=6.27 cfs 0.450 af Outflow=6.27 cfs 0.450 af |
| Pond 1P: CB #2702 P.O.A. #1 | Peak Elev=12.76' Inflow=1.89 cfs 0.134 af |
| 12.0" Round | Culvert n=0.013 L=100.0' S=0.0100 '/' Outflow=1.89 cfs 0.134 af |
| Pond 2P: CB #1857 | Peak Elev=20.86' Inflow=1.98 cfs 0.141 af |
| 12.0" Round | Culvert n=0.013 L=82.0' S=0.1290 '/' Outflow=1.98 cfs 0.141 af |
| Pond 4P: Ex. CB #1127 | Peak Elev=21.19' Inflow=0.31 cfs 0.022 af |
| 12.0" Round | Culvert n=0.012 L=148.0' S=0.0090 '/' Outflow=0.31 cfs 0.022 af |
| Pond 5P: CB #2513 | Peak Elev=10.22' Inflow=1.98 cfs 0.141 af |
| 15.0" Round | Culvert n=0.012 L=11.0' S=0.0091 '/' Outflow=1.98 cfs 0.141 af |
| Total Runoff Area = 3.764 a | ac Runoff Volume = 0.517 af Average Runoff Depth = 1.65" |

| Subcatchment1S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=39,754 sf 63.90% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.25" Flow Length=249' Tc=6.0 min CN=85 Runoff=3.44 cfs 0.247 af |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Subcatchment 2S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=9,178 sf 46.22% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.60" Flow Length=210' Tc=6.0 min CN=78 Runoff=0.64 cfs 0.046 af |
| Subcatchment3S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=35,036 sf 65.55% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.25" Flow Length=340' Tc=6.0 min CN=85 Runoff=3.04 cfs 0.218 af |
| Subcatchment 4S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=25,809 sf 54.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.87" |
| Flow Length=100 | 'Slope=0.1400 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=81 Runoff=1.99 cfs 0.142 af |
| Subcatchment 5S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=15,181 sf 49.81% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.69" |
| Flow Length=40 | 'Slope=0.1200 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=79 Runoff=1.10 cfs 0.078 af |
| Subcatchment 6S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=23,060 sf 41.87% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.43" |
| Flow Length=90 | Slope=0.0300 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=76 Runoff=1.51 cfs 0.107 af |
| Subcatchment7S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=4,275 sf 55.11% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.87" |
| Flow Length=90 | Slope=0.0300 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=81 Runoff=0.33 cfs 0.023 af |
| Subcatchment10S: Open Top Tanks | Runoff Area=11,657 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.63" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=1.55 cfs 0.103 af |
| Reach 1R: Ex. Ditch n=0.040 L= | Avg. Flow Depth=1.12' Max Vel=1.22 fps Inflow=3.68 cfs 0.263 af 50.0' S=0.0020 '/' Capacity=19.05 cfs Outflow=3.66 cfs 0.263 af |
| Reach 7R: Piscataqua River | Inflow=12.02 cfs 0.861 af Outflow=12.02 cfs 0.861 af |
| Pond 1P: CB #2702 P.O.A. #1 | Peak Elev=13.39' Inflow=3.44 cfs 0.247 af |
| 12.0" Round | Culvert n=0.013 L=100.0' S=0.0100 '/' Outflow=3.44 cfs 0.247 af |
| Pond 2P: CB #1857 | Peak Elev=21.53' Inflow=3.68 cfs 0.263 af |
| 12.0" Round | Culvert n=0.013 L=82.0' S=0.1290 '/' Outflow=3.68 cfs 0.263 af |
| Pond 4P: Ex. CB #1127 | Peak Elev=21.64' Inflow=0.64 cfs 0.046 af |
| 12.0" Round | Culvert n=0.012 L=148.0' S=0.0090 '/' Outflow=0.64 cfs 0.046 af |
| Pond 5P: CB #2513 | Peak Elev=10.61' Inflow=3.68 cfs 0.263 af |
| 15.0" Round | Culvert n=0.012 L=11.0' S=0.0091 '/' Outflow=3.68 cfs 0.263 af |
| Total Runoff Area = 3.764 a | c Runoff Volume = 0.964 af Average Runoff Depth = 3.07" |

| Subcatchment1S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=39,754 sf 63.90% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.46" Flow Length=249' Tc=6.0 min CN=85 Runoff=4.68 cfs 0.339 af |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Subcatchment 2S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=9,178 sf 46.22% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.73" Flow Length=210' Tc=6.0 min CN=78 Runoff=0.92 cfs 0.065 af |
| Subcatchment3S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=35,036 sf 65.55% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.46" Flow Length=340' Tc=6.0 min CN=85 Runoff=4.12 cfs 0.299 af |
| Subcatchment 4S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=25,809 sf 54.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.04" |
| Flow Length=100 | ' Slope=0.1400 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=81 Runoff=2.79 cfs 0.199 af |
| Subcatchment 5S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=15,181 sf 49.81% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.83" |
| Flow Length=40 | Slope=0.1200 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=79 Runoff=1.56 cfs 0.111 af |
| Subcatchment 6S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=23,060 sf 41.87% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.53" |
| Flow Length=90 | Slope=0.0300 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=76 Runoff=2.19 cfs 0.156 af |
| Subcatchment 7S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=4,275 sf 55.11% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.04" |
| Flow Length=90 | Slope=0.0300 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=81 Runoff=0.46 cfs 0.033 af |
| Subcatchment 10S: Open Top Tanks | Runoff Area=11,657 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.93" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=1.97 cfs 0.132 af |
| Reach 1R: Ex. Ditch n=0.040 L= | Avg. Flow Depth=1.30' Max Vel=1.33 fps Inflow=5.04 cfs 0.365 af 50.0' S=0.0020 '/' Capacity=19.05 cfs Outflow=5.02 cfs 0.365 af |
| Reach 7R: Piscataqua River | Inflow=16.68 cfs 1.203 af Outflow=16.68 cfs 1.203 af |
| Pond 1P: CB #2702 P.O.A. #1 | Peak Elev=14.56' Inflow=4.68 cfs 0.339 af |
| 12.0" Round | Culvert n=0.013 L=100.0' S=0.0100 '/' Outflow=4.68 cfs 0.339 af |
| Pond 2P: CB #1857 | Peak Elev=22.36' Inflow=5.04 cfs 0.365 af |
| 12.0" Round | d Culvert n=0.013 L=82.0' S=0.1290 '/' Outflow=5.04 cfs 0.365 af |
| Pond 4P: Ex. CB #1127 | Peak Elev=22.47' Inflow=0.92 cfs 0.065 af |
| 12.0" Round | Culvert n=0.012 L=148.0' S=0.0090 '/' Outflow=0.92 cfs 0.065 af |
| Pond 5P: CB #2513 | Peak Elev=11.12' Inflow=5.04 cfs 0.365 af |
| 15.0" Round | d Culvert n=0.012 L=11.0' S=0.0091 '/' Outflow=5.04 cfs 0.365 af |
| Total Runoff Area = 3.764 a | ac Runoff Volume = 1.336 af Average Runoff Depth = 4.26" |

| Subcatchment1S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=39,754 sf 63.90% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.63" Flow Length=249' Tc=6.0 min CN=85 Runoff=5.83 cfs 0.428 af |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Subcatchment 2S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=9,178 sf 46.22% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.83" Flow Length=210' Tc=6.0 min CN=78 Runoff=1.19 cfs 0.085 af |
| Subcatchment3S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=35,036 sf 65.55% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.63" Flow Length=340' Tc=6.0 min CN=85 Runoff=5.14 cfs 0.377 af |
| Subcatchment 4S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=25,809 sf 54.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.17" |
| Flow Length=100 | ' Slope=0.1400 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=81 Runoff=3.54 cfs 0.255 af |
| Subcatchment 5S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=15,181 sf 49.81% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.94" |
| Flow Length=40 | ' Slope=0.1200 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=79 Runoff=2.00 cfs 0.144 af |
| Subcatchment 6S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=23,060 sf 41.87% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.61" |
| Flow Length=90 | ' Slope=0.0300 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=76 Runoff=2.85 cfs 0.203 af |
| Subcatchment 7S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=4,275 sf 55.11% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.17" |
| Flow Length=90 | ' Slope=0.0300 '/' Tc=6.0 min CN=81 Runoff=0.59 cfs 0.042 af |
| Subcatchment 10S: Open Top Tanks | Runoff Area=11,657 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=7.15" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=2.37 cfs 0.159 af |
| Reach 1R: Ex. Ditch n=0.040 L= | Avg. Flow Depth=1.45' Max Vel=1.42 fps Inflow=6.33 cfs 0.462 af 50.0' S=0.0020 '/' Capacity=19.05 cfs Outflow=6.30 cfs 0.462 af |
| Reach 7R: Piscataqua River | Inflow=21.09 cfs 1.534 af Outflow=21.09 cfs 1.534 af |
| Pond 1P: CB #2702 P.O.A. #1 | Peak Elev=15.97' Inflow=5.83 cfs 0.428 af |
| 12.0" Round | Culvert n=0.013 L=100.0' S=0.0100 '/' Outflow=5.83 cfs 0.428 af |
| Pond 2P: CB #1857 | Peak Elev=23.38' Inflow=6.33 cfs 0.462 af |
| 12.0" Round | Culvert n=0.013 L=82.0' S=0.1290 '/' Outflow=6.33 cfs 0.462 af |
| Pond 4P: Ex. CB #1127 | Peak Elev=23.57' Inflow=1.19 cfs 0.085 af |
| 12.0" Round | Culvert n=0.012 L=148.0' S=0.0090 '/' Outflow=1.19 cfs 0.085 af |
| Pond 5P: CB #2513 | Peak Elev=11.69' Inflow=6.33 cfs 0.462 af |
| 15.0" Round | Culvert n=0.012 L=11.0' S=0.0091 '/' Outflow=6.33 cfs 0.462 af |
| Total Runoff Area = 3.764 a | ac Runoff Volume = 1.693 af Average Runoff Depth = 5.40" |

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 3.44 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.247 af, Depth= 3.25"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| A | rea (sf) | CN D | Description | | | | | |
|-------|----------|----------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 25,403 | 98 F | aved park | ing, HSG B | | | | |
| | 12,096 | 61 > | >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B | | | | | |
| | 2,255 | 74 > | •75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C | | | | | |
| | 39,754 | 85 V | Veighted A | verage | | | | |
| | 14,351 | 3 | 6.10% Per | vious Area | | | | |
| | 25,403 | 6 | 3.90% Imp | pervious Ar | ea | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Тс | Length | Slope | Velocity | Capacity | Description | | | |
| (min) | (feet) | (ft/ft) | (ft/sec) | (cfs) | | | | |
| 3.1 | 24 | 0.0200 | 0.13 | | Sheet Flow, | | | |
| | | | | | Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.21" | | | |
| 0.9 | 225 | 0.0400 | 4.06 | | Shallow Concentrated Flow, | | | |
| | | | | | Paved Kv= 20.3 fps | | | |
| 4.0 | 249 | Total, I | ncreased t | o minimum | Tc = 6.0 min | | | |

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: (new Subcat)

| Runoff | = | 0.64 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume= | 0.046 af, | Depth= 2.60" |
|--------|---|------------|------------|---------|-----------|--------------|
|--------|---|------------|------------|---------|-----------|--------------|

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| A | rea (sf) | CN I | Description | | | | |
|-------|----------|---------|----------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | 4,242 | 98 I | Paved park | ing, HSG B | | | |
| | 4,936 | 61 : | >75% Ġras | s cover, Go | bod, HSG B | | |
| | 9,178 | 78 | Neighted A | verage | | | |
| | 4,936 | į | 53.78% Pervious Area | | | | |
| | 4,242 | 4 | 46.22% Imp | pervious Ar | ea | | |
| _ | | | | | | | |
| Tc | Length | Slope | Velocity | Capacity | Description | | |
| (min) | (feet) | (ft/ft) | (ft/sec) | (cfs) | | | |
| 0.3 | 20 | 0.0200 | 1.00 | | Sheet Flow, | | |
| | | | | | Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.21" | | |
| 0.5 | 80 | 0.0200 | 2.87 | | Shallow Concentrated Flow, | | |
| | | | | | Paved Kv= 20.3 fps | | |
| 0.5 | 110 | 0.0600 | 3.67 | | Shallow Concentrated Flow, | | |
| | | | | | Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps | | |
| 1.3 | 210 | Total, | Increased t | o minimum | Tc = 6.0 min | | |

Summary for Subcatchment 3S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 3.04 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.218 af, Depth= 3.25"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| Α | rea (sf) | CN D | Description | | | | | |
|-------|----------|----------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 22,967 | 98 F | Paved parking, HSG B | | | | | |
| | 12,069 | 61 > | >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B | | | | | |
| | 35,036 | 85 V | 85 Weighted Average | | | | | |
| | 12,069 | 3 | 4.45% Per | vious Area | | | | |
| | 22,967 | 6 | 5.55% Imp | ervious Are | ea | | | |
| _ | | | | | | | | |
| Tc | Length | Slope | Velocity | Capacity | Description | | | |
| (min) | (feet) | (ft/ft) | (ft/sec) | (cfs) | | | | |
| 2.1 | 40 | 0.1500 | 0.32 | | Sheet Flow, | | | |
| | | | | | Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.21" | | | |
| 1.4 | 300 | 0.0300 | 3.52 | | Shallow Concentrated Flow, | | | |
| | | | | | Paved Kv= 20.3 fps | | | |
| 3.5 | 340 | Total, I | ncreased t | o minimum | Tc = 6.0 min | | | |

Summary for Subcatchment 4S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 1.99 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.142 af, Depth= 2.87"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| _ | A | rea (sf) | CN | Description | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|--|
| | | 14,141 | 98 | Paved park | ing, HSG B | } | | | |
| | | 11,668 | 61 | >75% Gras | s cover, Go | ood, HSG B | | | |
| | | 25,809 | 81 | Weighted A | verage | | | | |
| | | 11,668 | | 45.21% Per | vious Area | | | | |
| | | 14,141 | | 54.79% Imp | pervious Are | ea | | | |
| | Tc (min) | Length (feet) | Slope (ft/ft) | e Velocity) (ft/sec) | Capacity (cfs) | Description | | | |
| | 4.5 | 100 | 0.1400 | 0.37 | | Sheet Flow, Grass: Short | n= 0.150 | P2= 3.21" | |
| | 4.5 | 100 | Total, | Increased t | o minimum | Tc = 6.0 min | | | |

Summary for Subcatchment 5S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 1.10 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.078 af, Depth= 2.69"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| A | rea (sf) | CN E | Description | | | | | |
|---------|------------------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|----------|-----------|--|
| | 7,562 | 98 F | 98 Paved parking, HSG B | | | | | |
| | 7,619 | 61 > | 61 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B | | | | | |
| | 15,181 | 79 V | Veighted A | verage | | | | |
| | 7,619 | 5 | 50.19% Pervious Area | | | | | |
| | 7,562 | 4 | 49.81% Impervious Area | | | | | |
| Та | lonath | Clana | Valacity | Consoitu | Description | | | |
| (min) | Length (foot) | | | Capacity | Description | | | |
| (11111) | (ieel) | (1011) | (11/580) | (015) | | | | |
| 2.3 | 40 | 0.1200 | 0.29 | | Sheet Flow, | | | |
| | | | | | Grass: Short | n= 0.150 | P2= 3.21" | |
| 2.3 | 40 | Total, I | ncreased t | o minimum | Tc = 6.0 min | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Summary for Subcatchment 6S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 1.51 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.107 af, Depth= 2.43"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| A | rea (sf) | CN [| Description | | | | | |
|-------|------------------------------|---------|---------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 9,656 | 98 F | Paved park | ing, HSG B | l de la construcción de la constru | | | |
| | 13,404 | 61 > | -75% Gras | s cover, Go | ood, HSG B | | | |
| | 23,060 | 76 \ | 76 Weighted Average | | | | | |
| | 13,404 58.13% Pervious Area | | | | | | | |
| | 9,656 41.87% Impervious Area | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Tc | Length | Slope | Velocity | Capacity | Description | | | |
| (min) | (feet) | (ft/ft) | (ft/sec) | (cfs) | | | | |
| 4.0 | 40 | 0.0300 | 0.17 | | Sheet Flow, | | | |
| | | | | | Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.21" | | | |
| 0.3 | 50 | 0.0300 | 2.60 | | Shallow Concentrated Flow, | | | |
| | | | | | Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps | | | |
| 4.3 | 90 | Total, | ncreased t | o minimum | Tc = 6.0 min | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Summary for Subcatchment 7S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 0.33 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.023 af, Depth= 2.87"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| Area (sf) | CN | Description |
|-----------|----|-------------------------------|
| 2,356 | 98 | Paved parking, HSG B |
| 1,919 | 61 | >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B |
| 4,275 | 81 | Weighted Average |
| 1,919 | | 44.89% Pervious Area |
| 2,356 | | 55.11% Impervious Area |

| 4307.pre |
|----------|
|----------|

Prepared by Microsoft

Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87" Printed 7/16/2015

Page 11

| <u> </u> | JUIOCAD® | 10.00-13 | 5/11 01222 | | Soltware Solu | |
|----------|----------|----------|------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|
| н١ | | 10 00-13 | s/n 01222 | © 2014 HydroCAD | Software Solut | tions IIC |

| Tc (min) | Length (feet) | Slope (ft/ft) | Velocity (ft/sec) | Capacity (cfs) | Description |
|-------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| 4.0 | 40 | 0.0300 | 0.17 | | Sheet Flow, |
| | | | | | Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.21" |
| 0.3 | 50 | 0.0300 | 2.60 | | Shallow Concentrated Flow, |
| | | | | | Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps |
| 4.3 | 90 | Total, I | ncreased t | o minimum | Tc = 6.0 min |

Summary for Subcatchment 10S: Open Top Tanks

[46] Hint: Tc=0 (Instant runoff peak depends on dt)

Runoff = 1.55 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volume= 0.103 af, Depth= 4.63"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| Area (| sf) CN | Description | |
|--------|--------|-------------------------|--|
| 11,6 | 57 98 | Water Surface, HSG B | |
| 11,6 | 57 | 100.00% Impervious Area | |

Summary for Reach 1R: Ex. Ditch

| Inflow A | \rea = | 1.015 ac, 6 | 1.54% Impervious, | Inflow Depth = 3.7 | 11" for 10-yr event |
|----------|--------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Inflow | = | 3.68 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, Volume | e 0.263 af | - |
| Outflow | | 3.66 cfs @ | 12.10 hrs, Volume | e 0.263 af, | Atten= 1%, Lag= 0.5 min |

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Max. Velocity= 1.22 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.7 min Avg. Velocity = 0.41 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 2.0 min

Peak Storage= 150 cf @ 12.10 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 1.12' Bank-Full Depth= 2.50' Flow Area= 10.0 sf, Capacity= 19.05 cfs

6.00' x 2.50' deep Parabolic Channel, n= 0.040 Earth, cobble bottom, clean sides Length= 50.0' Slope= 0.0020 '/' Inlet Invert= 9.10', Outlet Invert= 9.00'

Summary for Reach 7R: Piscataqua River

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

| Inflow Area | a = | 3.496 ac, 5 | 6.68% Imp | ervious, | Inflow Depth | = 2.9 | 95" for 10 [.] | -yr event |
|-------------|-----|-------------|------------|----------|--------------|--------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Inflow | = | 12.02 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume | = 0.80 | 61 af | | |
| Outflow | = | 12.02 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume | = 0.80 | 61 af, | Atten= 0%, | Lag= 0.0 min |

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Pond 1P: CB #2702 P.O.A. #1

[57] Hint: Peaked at 13.39' (Flood elevation advised)

| Inflow Area | I = | 0.913 ac, | 63.90% Impe | ervious, Infl | ow Depth = | 3.25" for | 10-yr event |
|-------------|-----|------------|-------------|---------------|------------|--------------|------------------|
| Inflow | = | 3.44 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume= | 0.247 a | af | |
| Outflow | = | 3.44 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume= | 0.247 a | af, Atten= (| 0%, Lag= 0.0 min |
| Primary | = | 3.44 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume= | 0.247 a | af | - |

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 13.39' @ 12.09 hrs

| Device | Routing | Invert | Outlet Devices |
|--------|---------|--------|--|
| #1 | Primary | 12.00' | 12.0" Round Culvert L= 100.0' CPP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= $12.00' / 11.00'$ S= $0.0100 '/$ ' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf |

Primary OutFlow Max=3.44 cfs @ 12.09 hrs HW=13.38' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) -1=Culvert (Barrel Controls 3.44 cfs @ 4.38 fps)

Summary for Pond 2P: CB #1857

[57] Hint: Peaked at 21.53' (Flood elevation advised)

| Inflow Area | ι = | 1.015 ac, 6 | 1.54% Imperv | vious, Inflow De | pth = 3.11" | for 10-yr event |
|-------------|-----|-------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Inflow | = | 3.68 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, Vo | olume= | 0.263 af | |
| Outflow | = | 3.68 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, Vo | olume= | 0.263 af, Atte | en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min |
| Primary | = | 3.68 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, Vo | olume= | 0.263 af | |

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 21.53' @ 12.09 hrs

| #1 Primary 20.08' 12.0" Round Culvert | Device | Routing | Invert | Outlet Devices |
|---|--------|---------|--------|---|
| L= 82.0' RCP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 20.08' / 9.50' S= 0.1290 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf | #1 | Primary | 20.08' | 12.0" Round Culvert L= 82.0' RCP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 20.08' / 9.50' S= 0.1290 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf |

Primary OutFlow Max=3.67 cfs @ 12.09 hrs HW=21.52' TW=10.60' (Dynamic Tailwater) ↓ 1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 3.67 cfs @ 4.68 fps)

Summary for Pond 4P: Ex. CB #1127

[57] Hint: Peaked at 21.64' (Flood elevation advised)

| Inflow Area | I = | 0.211 ac, 4 | 6.22% Impe | ervious, Inflow De | epth = 2.6 | 0" for 10- | yr event |
|-------------|-----|-------------|------------|--------------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| Inflow | = | 0.64 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume= | 0.046 af | | |
| Outflow | = | 0.64 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume= | 0.046 af, | Atten= 0%, | Lag= 0.0 min |
| Primary | = | 0.64 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume= | 0.046 af | | |

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 21.64' @ 12.10 hrs

| Device | Routing | Invert | Outlet Devices |
|--------|---------|--------|--|
| #1 | Primary | 20.80' | 12.0" Round Culvert L= 148.0' RCP, sq.cut end projecting, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= $20.80' / 19.47'$ S= $0.0090' / Cc= 0.900$ n= 0.012 Concrete pipe, finished, Flow Area= 0.79 sf |

Primary OutFlow Max=0.62 cfs @ 12.09 hrs HW=21.63' TW=21.52' (Dynamic Tailwater) -1=Culvert (Outlet Controls 0.62 cfs @ 1.20 fps)

Summary for Pond 5P: CB #2513

[57] Hint: Peaked at 10.61' (Flood elevation advised)

| Inflow Area | ι = | 1.015 ac, 6 | 1.54% Impe | ervious, Inflow De | epth = 3.11 | " for 10- | yr event |
|-------------|-----|-------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|
| Inflow | = | 3.68 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume= | 0.263 af | | |
| Outflow | = | 3.68 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume= | 0.263 af, A | tten= 0%, | Lag= 0.0 min |
| Primary | = | 3.68 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume= | 0.263 af | | |

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 10.61' @ 12.09 hrs

| Device | Routing | Invert | Outlet Devices |
|--------|---------|--------|---|
| #1 | Primary | 9.40' | 15.0" Round Culvert L= 11.0' RCP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= $9.40' / 9.30'$ S= 0.0091 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.012 Concrete pipe, finished, Flow Area= 1.23 sf |
| | | | |

Primary OutFlow Max=3.62 cfs @ 12.09 hrs HW=10.60' TW=10.21' (Dynamic Tailwater) **1=Culvert** (Inlet Controls 3.62 cfs @ 2.99 fps)

POST-DEVELOPMENT CALCULATIONS



Area Listing (all nodes)

| Are | ea CN | Description |
|-------|-------|--|
| (acre | s) | (subcatchment-numbers) |
| 0.9 | 74 61 | >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B (1S, 2S, 3S, 4S, 5S, 6S, 7S, 8S) |
| 0.03 | 39 74 | >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C (1S) |
| 1.62 | 28 98 | Paved parking, HSG B (1S, 2S, 3S, 4S, 5S, 6S, 7S, 8S) |
| 0.0 | 13 98 | Paved parking, HSG C (1S) |
| 0.49 | 95 98 | Roofs, HSG B (1aS, 3a, 4a, 5a, 7a) |
| 0.6 | 15 98 | Water Surface, HSG B (10S) |
| 3.7 | 64 88 | TOTAL AREA |

Soil Listing (all nodes)

| A | vrea Soi | I Subc | atchment |
|-----|----------|----------|---|
| (ac | res) Gro | oup Numb | pers |
| 0. | 000 HS | G A | |
| 3. | 712 HS | GB 1aS, | 1S, 2S, 3a, 3S, 4a, 4S, 5a, 5S, 6S, 7a, 7S, 8S, 10S |
| 0. | 052 HS | GC 1S | |
| 0. | 000 HS | G D | |
| 0. | 000 Oth | ner | |
| 3. | 764 | τοτμ | L AREA |

| 4507.post | Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.21" |
|--|---|
| Prepared by Microsoft | Printed 7/24/2015 |
| <u>HydroCAD® 10.00-13 S/II 01222 © 2014 Hydro</u> | CAD Soliware Solutions LLC Page 4 |
| Time span=0.00-3 Runoff by SCS TR- Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind ا | 30.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3001 points 20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method |
| Subcatchment 1aS: Operation Bldg. Roof | Runoff Area=3,603 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.98" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.26 cfs 0.021 af |
| Subcatchment1S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=26,534 sf 70.81% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.01" Tc=6.0 min CN=88 Runoff=1.43 cfs 0.102 af |
| Subcatchment 2S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=5,208 sf 80.61% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.27" Tc=6.0 min CN=91 Runoff=0.31 cfs 0.023 af |
| Subcatchment 3a: BAF Roof drain | Runoff Area=3,297 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.98" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.29 cfs 0.019 af |
| Subcatchment3S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=27,106 sf 69.97% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.92" Tc=6.0 min CN=87 Runoff=1.40 cfs 0.100 af |
| Subcatchment 4a: Grit Bldg. Roof drain | Runoff Area=2,255 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.98" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.16 cfs 0.013 af |
| Subcatchment 4S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=10,184 sf 70.19% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.92" Tc=6.0 min CN=87 Runoff=0.53 cfs 0.037 af |
| Subcatchment 5a: Solids Bldg. Roof drain | Runoff Area=9,405 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.98" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.82 cfs 0.054 af |
| Subcatchment5S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=30,051 sf 40.19% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.16" Tc=6.0 min CN=76 Runoff=0.91 cfs 0.067 af |
| Subcatchment6S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=7,825 sf 76.65% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.09" Tc=6.0 min CN=89 Runoff=0.44 cfs 0.031 af |
| Subcatchment7a: Headworks Bldg. Roof | Runoff Area=2,986 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.98" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.21 cfs 0.017 af |
| Subcatchment7S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=3,777 sf 30.45% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.94" Tc=6.0 min CN=72 Runoff=0.09 cfs 0.007 af |
| Subcatchment8S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=4,912 sf 64.17% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.77" Tc=6.0 min CN=85 Runoff=0.23 cfs 0.017 af |
| Subcatchment 10S: Open Top Tanks | Runoff Area=26,807 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.98" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=2.34 cfs 0.153 af |
| Reach 1R: Ex. Ditch A n=0.040 L=5 | vg. Flow Depth=0.00' Max Vel=0.00 fps Inflow=0.00 cfs 0.000 af 50.0' S=0.0020 '/' Capacity=19.05 cfs Outflow=0.00 cfs 0.000 af |
| Reach 7R: Piscataqua River | Inflow=6.07 cfs 0.474 af |

Outflow=6.07 cfs 0.474 af
| 4507.post | Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.21" |
|---|--|
| Prepared by Microsoft HydroCAD® 10.00-13 s/n 012 | Printed 7/24/2015 222 © 2014 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 5 |
| | |
| Reach 10R: Level spreade | Avg. Flow Depth=0.48' Max Vel=0.47 fps Inflow=0.21 cfs 0.017 af n=0.040 L=20.0' S=0.0010 '/' Capacity=0.92 cfs Outflow=0.21 cfs 0.017 af |
| Pond 1: CB #1 | Peak Elev=11.98' Inflow=1.69 cfs 0.122 af 12.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=24.0' S=0.0075 '/' Outflow=1.69 cfs 0.122 af |
| Pond 1a: DMH #1 | Peak Elev=10.88' Inflow=4.23 cfs 0.314 af 21.0" Round Culvert n=0.011 L=18.0' S=0.0111 '/' Outflow=4.23 cfs 0.314 af |
| Pond 2: DMH #2 | Peak Elev=11.81' Inflow=0.31 cfs 0.023 af 12.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=8.0' S=0.0162 '/' Outflow=0.31 cfs 0.023 af |
| Pond 2a: DMH #2 | Peak Elev=11.79' Inflow=4.23 cfs 0.314 af 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.009 L=7.0' S=0.0043 '/' Outflow=4.23 cfs 0.314 af |
| Pond 3: DMH #3 | Peak Elev=14.90' Inflow=2.23 cfs 0.169 af 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=59.0' S=0.0608 '/' Outflow=2.23 cfs 0.169 af |
| Pond 4P: Ex. CB #1127 | Peak Elev=21.22' Inflow=0.69 cfs 0.050 af 12.0" Round Culvert n=0.012 L=220.0' S=0.0297 '/' Outflow=0.69 cfs 0.050 af |
| Pond 6: DMH #6 | Peak Elev=15.27' Inflow=2.09 cfs 0.150 af 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=138.0' S=0.0228 '/' Outflow=2.09 cfs 0.150 af |
| Pond 10: WQI | Peak Elev=11.51' Inflow=4.23 cfs 0.314 af 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.009 L=10.0' S=0.0040 '/' Outflow=4.23 cfs 0.314 af |
| Pond 60: Rain garden | Peak Elev=29.74' Storage=559 cf Inflow=0.44 cfs 0.031 af Discarded=0.04 cfs 0.031 af Primary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.04 cfs 0.031 af |
| Total Runo | ff Area = 3.764 ac Runoff Volume = 0.658 af Average Runoff Depth = 2.10" 26.91% Pervious = 1.013 ac 73.09% Impervious = 2.751 ac |

| 4507.post Prepared by Microsoft HydroCAD® 10.00-13 s/n 01222 © 2014 Hydrof | "Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87 Printed 7/24/2015 CAD Software Solutions LLC Page 6 |
|---|---|
| Time span=0.00-3 Runoff by SCS TR- Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind r | 30.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3001 points 20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method |
| Subcatchment1aS: Operation Bldg. Roof | Runoff Area=3,603 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.63" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.39 cfs 0.032 af |
| Subcatchment1S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=26,534 sf 70.81% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.55" Tc=6.0 min CN=88 Runoff=2.48 cfs 0.180 af |
| Subcatchment2S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=5,208 sf 80.61% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.86" Tc=6.0 min CN=91 Runoff=0.52 cfs 0.038 af |
| Subcatchment3a: BAF Roof drain | Runoff Area=3,297 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.63" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.44 cfs 0.029 af |
| Subcatchment3S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=27,106 sf 69.97% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.45" Tc=6.0 min CN=87 Runoff=2.47 cfs 0.179 af |
| Subcatchment 4a: Grit Bldg. Roof drain | Runoff Area=2,255 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.63" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.25 cfs 0.020 af |
| Subcatchment 4S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=10,184 sf 70.19% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.45" Tc=6.0 min CN=87 Runoff=0.93 cfs 0.067 af |
| Subcatchment 5a: Solids Bldg. Roof drain | Runoff Area=9,405 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.63" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=1.25 cfs 0.083 af |
| Subcatchment5S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=30,051 sf 40.19% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.43" Tc=6.0 min CN=76 Runoff=1.96 cfs 0.140 af |
| Subcatchment6S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=7,825 sf 76.65% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.65" Tc=6.0 min CN=89 Runoff=0.75 cfs 0.055 af |
| Subcatchment7a: Headworks Bldg. Roof | Runoff Area=2,986 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.63" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.33 cfs 0.026 af |
| Subcatchment7S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=3,777 sf 30.45% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.10" Tc=6.0 min CN=72 Runoff=0.21 cfs 0.015 af |
| Subcatchment8S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=4,912 sf 64.17% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.25" Tc=6.0 min CN=85 Runoff=0.43 cfs 0.031 af |
| Subcatchment 10S: Open Top Tanks | Runoff Area=26,807 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.63" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=3.58 cfs 0.238 af |
| Reach 1R: Ex. Ditch Av n=0.040 L=5 | vg. Flow Depth=0.42' Max Vel=0.68 fps Inflow=0.48 cfs 0.014 af 0.0' S=0.0020 '/' Capacity=19.05 cfs Outflow=0.47 cfs 0.014 af |
| Reach 7R: Piscataqua River | Inflow=10.80 cfs 0.855 af Outflow=10.80 cfs 0.855 af |

| 4507.post Prepared by Microsoft | <i>Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"</i> Printed 7/24/2015 |
|---|---|
| HydroCAD® 10.00-13 s/n 012 | 22 © 2014 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 7 |
| Reach 10R: Level spreade | r Avg. Flow Depth=0.60' Max Vel=0.53 fps Inflow=0.33 cfs 0.026 af n=0.040 L=20.0' S=0.0010 '/' Capacity=0.92 cfs Outflow=0.33 cfs 0.026 af |
| Pond 1: CB #1 | Peak Elev=13.33' Inflow=2.87 cfs 0.212 af 12.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=24.0' S=0.0075 '/' Outflow=2.87 cfs 0.212 af |
| Pond 1a: DMH #1 | Peak Elev=11.29' Inflow=7.25 cfs 0.545 af 21.0" Round Culvert n=0.011 L=18.0' S=0.0111 '/' Outflow=7.25 cfs 0.545 af |
| Pond 2: DMH #2 | Peak Elev=12.78' Inflow=0.52 cfs 0.038 af 12.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=8.0' S=0.0162 '/' Outflow=0.52 cfs 0.038 af |
| Pond 2a: DMH #2 | Peak Elev=12.76' Inflow=7.25 cfs 0.545 af 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.009 L=7.0' S=0.0043 '/' Outflow=7.25 cfs 0.545 af |
| Pond 3: DMH #3 | Peak Elev=15.22' Inflow=3.87 cfs 0.295 af 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=59.0' S=0.0608 '/' Outflow=3.87 cfs 0.295 af |
| Pond 4P: Ex. CB #1127 | Peak Elev=21.37' Inflow=1.17 cfs 0.087 af 12.0" Round Culvert n=0.012 L=220.0' S=0.0297 '/' Outflow=1.17 cfs 0.087 af |
| Pond 6: DMH #6 | Peak Elev=15.87' Inflow=3.65 cfs 0.266 af 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=138.0' S=0.0228 '/' Outflow=3.65 cfs 0.266 af |
| Pond 10: WQI | Peak Elev=12.04' Inflow=7.25 cfs 0.545 af 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.009 L=10.0' S=0.0040 '/' Outflow=7.25 cfs 0.545 af |
| Pond 60: Rain garden | Peak Elev=29.92' Storage=652 cf Inflow=0.75 cfs 0.055 af Discarded=0.04 cfs 0.041 af Primary=0.48 cfs 0.014 af Outflow=0.53 cfs 0.055 af |
| Total Runof | f Area = 3.764 ac Runoff Volume = 1.133 af Average Runoff Depth = 3.61" |

26.91% Pervious = 1.013 ac 73.09% Impervious = 2.751 ac

| 4507.post | Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.17" |
|---|--|
| Prepared by Microsoft | Printed 7/24/2015 |
| HydroCAD® 10.00-13 s/n 01222 © 2014 HydroC | CAD Software Solutions LLC Page 8 |
| Time span=0.00-3 Runoff by SCS TR-2 Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind r | 0.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3001 points 20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method |
| Subcatchment1aS: Operation Bldg. Roof | Runoff Area=3,603 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.93" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.50 cfs 0.041 af |
| Subcatchment1S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=26,534 sf 70.81% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.79" Tc=6.0 min CN=88 Runoff=3.30 cfs 0.243 af |
| Subcatchment2S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=5,208 sf 80.61% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.12" Tc=6.0 min CN=91 Runoff=0.68 cfs 0.051 af |
| Subcatchment 3a: BAF Roof drain | Runoff Area=3,297 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.93" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.56 cfs 0.037 af |
| Subcatchment3S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=27,106 sf 69.97% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.68" Tc=6.0 min CN=87 Runoff=3.31 cfs 0.243 af |
| Subcatchment 4a: Grit Bldg. Roof drain | Runoff Area=2,255 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.93" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.31 cfs 0.026 af |
| Subcatchment4S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=10,184 sf 70.19% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.68" Tc=6.0 min CN=87 Runoff=1.24 cfs 0.091 af |
| Subcatchment5a: Solids Bldg. Roof drain | Runoff Area=9,405 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.93" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=1.59 cfs 0.107 af |
| Subcatchment5S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=30,051 sf 40.19% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.53" Tc=6.0 min CN=76 Runoff=2.85 cfs 0.203 af |
| Subcatchment6S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=7,825 sf 76.65% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.90" Tc=6.0 min CN=89 Runoff=0.99 cfs 0.073 af |
| Subcatchment7a: Headworks Bldg. Roof | Runoff Area=2,986 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.93" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.41 cfs 0.034 af |
| Subcatchment7S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=3,777 sf 30.45% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.13" Tc=6.0 min CN=72 Runoff=0.32 cfs 0.023 af |
| Subcatchment8S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=4,912 sf 64.17% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.46" Tc=6.0 min CN=85 Runoff=0.58 cfs 0.042 af |
| Subcatchment 10S: Open Top Tanks | Runoff Area=26,807 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.93" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=4.54 cfs 0.304 af |
| Reach 1R: Ex. Ditch n=0.040 L=5 | vg. Flow Depth=0.56' Max Vel=0.81 fps Inflow=0.85 cfs 0.027 af 0.0' S=0.0020 '/' Capacity=19.05 cfs Outflow=0.85 cfs 0.027 af |
| Reach 7R: Piscataqua River | Inflow=15.29 cfs 1.167 af Outflow=15.29 cfs 1.167 af |

| 4507.post | Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.17" |
|----------------------------|--|
| Prepared by Microsoft | Printed 7/24/2015 |
| HydroCAD® 10.00-13 s/n 012 | 22 © 2014 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 9 |
| Reach 10R: Level spreade | r Avg. Flow Depth=0.67' Max Vel=0.56 fps Inflow=0.41 cfs 0.034 af n=0.040 L=20.0' S=0.0010 '/' Capacity=0.92 cfs Outflow=0.41 cfs 0.034 af |
| Pond 1: CB #1 | Peak Elev=15.11' Inflow=3.80 cfs 0.284 af 12.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=24.0' S=0.0075 '/' Outflow=3.80 cfs 0.284 af |
| Pond 1a: DMH #1 | Peak Elev=11.60' Inflow=9.62 cfs 0.732 af 21.0" Round Culvert n=0.011 L=18.0' S=0.0111 '/' Outflow=9.62 cfs 0.732 af |
| Pond 2: DMH #2 | Peak Elev=14.16' Inflow=0.68 cfs 0.051 af 12.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=8.0' S=0.0162 '/' Outflow=0.68 cfs 0.051 af |
| Pond 2a: DMH #2 | Peak Elev=14.13' Inflow=9.62 cfs 0.732 af 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.009 L=7.0' S=0.0043 '/' Outflow=9.62 cfs 0.732 af |
| Pond 3: DMH #3 | Peak Elev=15.54' Inflow=5.15 cfs 0.397 af 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=59.0' S=0.0608 '/' Outflow=5.15 cfs 0.397 af |
| Pond 4P: Ex. CB #1127 | Peak Elev=21.47' Inflow=1.56 cfs 0.117 af 12.0" Round Culvert n=0.012 L=220.0' S=0.0297 '/' Outflow=1.56 cfs 0.117 af |
| Pond 6: DMH #6 | Peak Elev=16.70' Inflow=4.87 cfs 0.359 af 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=138.0' S=0.0228 '/' Outflow=4.87 cfs 0.359 af |
| Pond 10: WQI | Peak Elev=12.87' Inflow=9.62 cfs 0.732 af 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.009 L=10.0' S=0.0040 '/' Outflow=9.62 cfs 0.732 af |
| Pond 60: Rain garden | Peak Elev=30.01' Storage=698 cf Inflow=0.99 cfs 0.073 af Discarded=0.04 cfs 0.046 af Primary=0.85 cfs 0.027 af Outflow=0.90 cfs 0.073 af |
| Total Runof | f Area = 3.764 ac Runoff Volume = 1.517 af Average Runoff Depth = 4.84" 26.91% Pervious = 1.013 ac 73.09% Impervious = 2.751 ac |

| 4507.post Prepared by Microsoft HydroCAD® 10.00-13 s/n 01222 © 2014 HydroC | Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=7.39" Printed 7/24/2015 CAD Software Solutions LLC Page 10 |
|---|--|
| Time span=0.00-3 Runoff by SCS TR- Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind r | 0.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3001 points 20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN nethod - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method |
| Subcatchment 1aS: Operation Bldg. Roof | Runoff Area=3,603 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=7.15" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.60 cfs 0.049 af |
| Subcatchment1S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=26,534 sf 70.81% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.97" Tc=6.0 min CN=88 Runoff=4.06 cfs 0.303 af |
| Subcatchment 2S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=5,208 sf 80.61% Impervious Runoff Depth=6.32" Tc=6.0 min CN=91 Runoff=0.83 cfs 0.063 af |
| Subcatchment 3a: BAF Roof drain | Runoff Area=3,297 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=7.15" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.67 cfs 0.045 af |
| Subcatchment3S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=27,106 sf 69.97% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.86" Tc=6.0 min CN=87 Runoff=4.10 cfs 0.304 af |
| Subcatchment 4a: Grit Bldg. Roof drain | Runoff Area=2,255 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=7.15" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.38 cfs 0.031 af |
| Subcatchment4S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=10,184 sf 70.19% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.86" Tc=6.0 min CN=87 Runoff=1.54 cfs 0.114 af |
| Subcatchment 5a: Solids Bldg. Roof drain | Runoff Area=9,405 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=7.15" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=1.91 cfs 0.129 af |
| Subcatchment 5S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=30,051 sf 40.19% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.61" Tc=6.0 min CN=76 Runoff=3.71 cfs 0.265 af |
| Subcatchment6S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=7,825 sf 76.65% Impervious Runoff Depth=6.09" |
| Subcatchment7a: Headworks Bldg. Roof | Runoff Area=2,986 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=7.15" |
| Subcatchment7S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=3,777 sf 30.45% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.16" |
| Subcatchment8S: (new Subcat) | Runoff Area=4,912 sf 64.17% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.63" |
| Subcatchment10S: Open Top Tanks | Runoff Area=26,807 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=7.15" |
| Reach 1R: Ex. Ditch | rc=0.0 min CN=98 Runott=5.44 cts 0.367 af /g. Flow Depth=0.59' Max Vel=0.84 fps Inflow=0.98 cfs 0.041 af |
| Reach 7R: Piscataqua River | Inflow=19.04 cfs 1.467 af Outflow=19.04 cfs 1.467 af |

| 4507.post | Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall | =7.39" |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Prepared by Microsoft | Printed 7/2 | 4/2015 |
| HydroCAD® 10.00-13 s/n 0122 | 22 © 2014 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC P | <u>age 11</u> |
| Reach 10R: Level spreader | Avg. Flow Depth=0.73' Max Vel=0.59 fps Inflow=0.50 cfs 0 n=0.040 L=20.0' S=0.0010 '/' Capacity=0.92 cfs Outflow=0.50 cfs 0 | 0.041 af 0.041 af |
| Pond 1: CB #1 | Peak Elev=17.22' Inflow=4.66 cfs 0 12.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=24.0' S=0.0075 '/' Outflow=4.66 cfs 0 |).352 af).352 af |
| Pond 1a: DMH #1 | Peak Elev=11.90' Inflow=11.84 cfs 0 21.0" Round Culvert n=0.011 L=18.0' S=0.0111 '/' Outflow=11.84 cfs 0 |).909 af).909 af |
| Pond 2: DMH #2 | Peak Elev=15.78' Inflow=0.83 cfs 0 12.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=8.0' S=0.0162 '/' Outflow=0.83 cfs 0 |).063 af).063 af |
| Pond 2a: DMH #2 | Peak Elev=15.74' Inflow=11.84 cfs 0 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.009 L=7.0' S=0.0043 '/' Outflow=11.84 cfs 0 |).909 af).909 af |
| Pond 3: DMH #3 | Peak Elev=16.88' Inflow=6.35 cfs 0 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=59.0' S=0.0608 '/' Outflow=6.35 cfs 0 |).494 af).494 af |
| Pond 4P: Ex. CB #1127 | Peak Elev=21.56' Inflow=1.91 cfs 0 12.0" Round Culvert n=0.012 L=220.0' S=0.0297 '/' Outflow=1.91 cfs 0 |).145 af).145 af |
| Pond 6: DMH #6 | Peak Elev=18.55' Inflow=6.01 cfs 0 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=138.0' S=0.0228 '/' Outflow=6.01 cfs 0 |).449 af).449 af |
| Pond 10: WQI | Peak Elev=13.83' Inflow=11.84 cfs 0 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.009 L=10.0' S=0.0040 '/' Outflow=11.84 cfs 0 |).909 af).909 af |
| Pond 60: Rain garden | Peak Elev=30.09' Storage=751 cf Inflow=1.21 cfs 0 Discarded=0.05 cfs 0.051 af Primary=0.98 cfs 0.041 af Outflow=1.02 cfs 0 | 0.091 af 0.091 af |
| Total Runoff | Area = 3.764 ac Runoff Volume = 1.884 af Average Runoff Dept | า = 6.01 |

26.91% Pervious = 1.013 ac 73.09% Impervious = 2.751 ac

"

Summary for Subcatchment 1aS: Operation Bldg. Roof drain

Runoff = 0.39 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.032 af, Depth= 4.63"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| Α | rea (sf) | CN | Description | | | |
|-------|----------|--------|-------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| | 3,603 | 98 | Roofs, HSC | βB | | |
| | 3,603 | | 100.00% In | npervious A | Area | |
| Тс | Length | Slope | e Velocity | Capacity | Description | |
| (min) | (feet) | (ft/ft |) (ft/sec) | (cfs) | | |
| 6.0 | | | | | Direct Entry, | |
| | | | | | | |

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 2.48 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.180 af, Depth= 3.55"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| A | rea (sf) | CN | Description | | | |
|-------|----------|---------|------------------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| | 18,214 | 98 | Paved park | ing, HSG E | 3 | |
| | 576 | 98 | Paved park | ing, HSG C |) | |
| | 6,065 | 61 | >75% Gras | s cover, Go | ood, HSG B | |
| | 1,679 | 74 | >75% Gras | s cover, Go | ood, HSG C | |
| | 26,534 | 88 | Weighted Average | | | |
| | 7,744 | | 29.19% Pervious Area | | | |
| | 18,790 | | 70.81% Impervious Area | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Tc | Length | Slope | e Velocity | Capacity | Description | |
| (min) | (feet) | (ft/ft) | (ft/sec) | (cfs) | | |
| 6.0 | | | | | Direct Entry, | |
| | | | | | | |

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 0.52 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.038 af, Depth= 3.86"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| Area (sf) | CN | Description | | | |
|-----------|----|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 4,198 | 98 | Paved parking, HSG B | | | |
| 1,010 | 61 | >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B | | | |
| 5,208 | 91 | Weighted Average | | | |
| 1,010 | | 19.39% Pervious Area | | | |
| 4,198 | | 80.61% Impervious Area | | | |

| 4507.post | | Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87" |
|--|---|--|
| Prepared by Microsof | ft (1 01000 @ 0011 huder 0 0 D | Printed 7/24/2015 |
| Hydrocade 10.00-13 s/ | <u>n 01222 © 2014 HydroCAD</u> | Software Solutions LLC Page 13 |
| Tc Length Slc (min) (feet) (fl | ope Velocity Capacity t/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs) | Description |
| 6.0 | | Direct Entry, |
| | Summary for Subca | tchment 3a: BAF Roof drain |
| Runoff = 0.4 | 4 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volur | me= 0.029 af, Depth= 4.63" |
| Runoff by SCS TR-20 r Type III 24-hr 10-yr Ra | method, UH=SCS, Weight ainfall=4.87" | ed-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs |
| Area (sf) CN | Description | |
| 3,297 98 | Roofs, HSG B | |
| 3,297 | 100.00% Impervious Ar | rea |
| | Summary for Subc | atchment 3S: (new Subcat) |
| Runoff = 2.4 | 7 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volur | me= 0.179 af, Depth= 3.45" |
| Runoff by SCS TR-20 r Type III 24-hr 10-yr Ra | method, UH=SCS, Weight ainfall=4.87" | ed-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs |
| Area (sf) CN | Description | |
| 18,965 98 | Paved parking, HSG B | |
| 8,141 61 | >75% Grass cover, Goo | od, HSG B |
| 27,106 87 8 1 4 1 | 30 03% Pervious Area | |
| 18,965 | 69.97% Impervious Are | a |
| Tc Length Slo | ope Velocity Capacity | Description |
| 6.0 | | Direct Entry, |
| | | |
| Si | ummary for Subcatch | nment 4a: Grit Bldg. Roof drain |
| Runoff = 0.2 | 5 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volur | me= 0.020 af, Depth= 4.63" |
| Runoff by SCS TR-20 r Type III 24-hr 10-yr Ra | method, UH=SCS, Weight ainfall=4.87" | ed-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs |
| Area (sf) CN | Description | |
| 2,255 98 | Roofs, HSG B | |
| 2,255 | 100.00% Impervious Ar | rea |
| Tc Length Slc (min) (feet) (ff | ope Velocity Capacity t/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs) | Description |
| 6.0 | | Direct Entry, |

Summary for Subcatchment 4S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 0.93 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.067 af, Depth= 3.45"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| A | rea (sf) | CN | Description | | | |
|-------|----------|--------|------------------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| | 7,148 | 98 | Paved park | ing, HSG B | В | |
| | 3,036 | 61 | >75% Gras | s cover, Go | lood, HSG B | |
| | 10,184 | 87 | Weighted A | verage | | |
| | 3,036 | | 29.81% Pervious Area | | | |
| | 7,148 | | 70.19% Impervious Area | | | |
| _ | | | | | | |
| Тс | Length | Slope | e Velocity | Capacity | Description | |
| (min) | (feet) | (ft/ft |) (ft/sec) | (cfs) | | |
| 6.0 | | | | | Direct Entry, | |
| | | | | | | |

Summary for Subcatchment 5a: Solids Bldg. Roof drain

Runoff = 1.25 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volume= 0.083 af, Depth= 4.63"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| Area (sf) | CN | Description |
|-----------|----|-------------------------|
| 9,405 | 98 | Roofs, HSG B |
| 9,405 | | 100.00% Impervious Area |

Summary for Subcatchment 5S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 1.96 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.140 af, Depth= 2.43"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| A | rea (sf) | CN | Description | | | | |
|--------------|----------|--------|------------------------|-------------|---------------|--|--|
| | 12,076 | 98 | Paved park | ing, HSG B | 3 | | |
| | 17,975 | 61 | >75% Ġras | s cover, Go | ood, HSG B | | |
| | 30,051 | 76 | Weighted A | verage | | | |
| | 17,975 | | 59.81% Pervious Area | | | | |
| | 12,076 | | 40.19% Impervious Area | | | | |
| т. | I an ath | Olar | Valasita. | 0 | Description | | |
| | Length | Slope | e velocity | Capacity | Description | | |
| <u>(min)</u> | (feet) | (ft/ft |) (ft/sec) | (cfs) | | | |
| 6.0 | | | | | Direct Entry, | | |

Summary for Subcatchment 6S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 0.75 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.055 af, Depth= 3.65"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| A | rea (sf) | CN | Description | | |
|-------|----------|--------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| | 5,998 | 98 | Paved park | ing, HSG B | 3 |
| | 1,827 | 61 | >75% Gras | s cover, Go | ood, HSG B |
| | 7,825 | 89 | Weighted A | verage | |
| | 1,827 | | 23.35% Per | vious Area | a |
| | 5,998 | | 76.65% Imp | pervious Ar | rea |
| | | | | | |
| Tc | Length | Slope | e Velocity | Capacity | Description |
| (min) | (feet) | (ft/ft |) (ft/sec) | (cfs) | |
| 6.0 | | | | | Direct Entry, |
| | | | | | |

Summary for Subcatchment 7a: Headworks Bldg. Roof drain

Runoff = 0.33 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.026 af, Depth= 4.63"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| Α | rea (sf) | CN | Description | | |
|-------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | 2,986 | 98 | Roofs, HSC | βB | |
| | 2,986 | | 100.00% In | npervious A | Area |
| Tc (min) | Length (feet) | Slop (ft/f | e Velocity) (ft/sec) | Capacity (cfs) | Description |
| 6.0 | | | | | Direct Entry, |
| | | | | | |

Summary for Subcatchment 7S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 0.21 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.015 af, Depth= 2.10"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87"

| / | Area (sf) | CN | Description |
|---|-----------|----|-------------------------------|
| | 1,150 | 98 | Paved parking, HSG B |
| | 2,627 | 61 | >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B |
| | 3,777 | 72 | Weighted Average |
| | 2,627 | | 69.55% Pervious Area |
| | 1,150 | | 30.45% Impervious Area |

| 4507.post Prepared by Micro | osoft | | "Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.87 Printed 7/24/2015 | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---------------------|--|--|
| HydroCAD® 10.00-1 | 3 s/n 01222 © 2014 HydroCAE | Software Solutions Ll | _C | Page 16 | | |
| Tc Length (min) (feet) | Slope Velocity Capacity (ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs) | Description | | | | |
| 6.0 | | Direct Entry, | | | | |
| | Summary for Subo | atchment 8S: (n | ew Subcat) | | | |
| Runoff = | 0.43 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volu | me= 0.031 a | af, Depth= 3.25" | | | |
| Runoff by SCS TR- Type III 24-hr 10-y | 20 method, UH=SCS, Weigh r Rainfall=4.87" | ted-CN, Time Span= | 0.00-30.00 hrs, d | t= 0.01 hrs | | |
| Area (sf) | CN Description | | | | | |
| 3,152 1,760 | 98 Paved parking, HSG B 61 >75% Grass cover, Go | od, HSG B | | | | |
| 4,912 | 85 Weighted Average | | | | | |
| 3,152 | 64.17% Impervious Area | ea | | | | |
| To Longth | Slong Valasity Conssity | Description | | | | |
| (min) (feet) | (ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs) | Description | | | | |
| 6.0 | | Direct Entry, | | | | |
| | Summary for Subcat | chment 10S: Op | en Top Tanks | | | |
| Runoff = | 3.58 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volu | me= 0.238 a | af, Depth= 4.63" | | | |
| Runoff by SCS TR- Type III 24-hr 10-y | 20 method, UH=SCS, Weigh r Rainfall=4.87" | ted-CN, Time Span= | 0.00-30.00 hrs, d | t= 0.01 hrs | | |
| Area (sf) | CN Description | | | | | |
| 26,807 | 98 Water Surface, HSG B | | | | | |
| 26,807 | 100.00% Impervious A | rea | | | | |
| | Summary fo | r Reach 1R: Ex. I | Ditch | | | |
| Inflow Area = Inflow = Outflow = | 0.180 ac, 76.65% Impervio 0.48 cfs @ 12.16 hrs, Volu 0.47 cfs @ 12.18 hrs, Volu | us, Inflow Depth = me= 0.014 a me= 0.014 a | 0.94" for 10-yre lf lf, Atten= 3%, La | event g= 1.2 min | | |
| Routing by Dyn-Sto Max. Velocity= 0.68 Avg. Velocity = 0.2 | or-Ind method, Time Span= 0. 3 fps, Min. Travel Time= 1.2 7 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 3.0 | 00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.0 min min |)1 hrs | | | |
| Peak Storage= 34 Average Depth at F Bank-Full Depth= 2 | cf @ 12.18 hrs Peak Storage= 0.42' 2.50' Flow Area= 10.0 sf, Ca | pacity= 19.05 cfs | | | | |
| 6.00' x 2.50' deep Length= 50.0' Slo Inlet Invert= 9.10', | o Parabolic Channel, n= 0.04 pe= 0.0020 '/' Outlet Invert= 9.00' | 0 Earth, cobble bott | om, clean sides | | | |



Summary for Reach 7R: Piscataqua River

| Inflow Are | ea = | 3.148 ac, 67.83% Impervious, I | nflow Depth = 3.26' | for 10-yr event |
|------------|------|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Inflow | = | 10.80 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= | 0.855 af | - |
| Outflow | = | 10.80 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= | 0.855 af, A | tten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min |

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Reach 10R: Level spreader

| Inflow A | vrea = | 0.069 ac,10 | 0.00% Impe | ervious, I | nflow Depth = | 4.6 | 3" for 10- | yr event | |
|----------|--------|-------------|------------|------------|---------------|-------|------------|----------|-----|
| Inflow | = | 0.33 cfs @ | 12.08 hrs, | Volume= | 0.026 | af | | | |
| Outflow | = | 0.33 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume= | 0.026 | af, . | Atten= 0%, | Lag= 0.5 | min |

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Max. Velocity= 0.53 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.6 min Avg. Velocity = 0.18 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 1.8 min

Peak Storage= 12 cf @ 12.09 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.60' Bank-Full Depth= 1.00' Flow Area= 1.3 sf, Capacity= 0.92 cfs

2.00' x 1.00' deep Parabolic Channel, n= 0.040 Earth, cobble bottom, clean sides Length= 20.0' Slope= 0.0010 '/' Inlet Invert= 0.00', Outlet Invert= -0.02'



Summary for Pond 1: CB #1

 Inflow Area =
 0.692 ac, 74.30% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 3.68" for 10-yr event

 Inflow =
 2.87 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume=
 0.212 af

 Outflow =
 2.87 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume=
 0.212 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

 Primary =
 2.87 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume=
 0.212 af

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 13.33' @ 12.09 hrs Flood Elev= 13.75'

| Device | Routing | Invert | Outlet Devices |
|--------|---------|--------|--|
| #1 | Primary | 10.75' | 12.0" Round Culvert |
| | | | L= 24.0' CPP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 |
| | | | Inlet / Outlet Invert= 10.75' / 10.57' S= 0.0075 '/' Cc= 0.900 |
| | | | n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf |

Primary OutFlow Max=2.79 cfs @ 12.09 hrs HW=13.30' TW=12.75' (Dynamic Tailwater) **1=Culvert** (Inlet Controls 2.79 cfs @ 3.55 fps)

Summary for Pond 1a: DMH #1

| Inflow Are | a = | 1.795 ac, 7 | 4.51% Impe | ervious, | Inflow Depth = | 3.65" | for 10- | yr event | |
|------------|-----|-------------|------------|----------|----------------|----------|---------|-------------|---|
| Inflow | = | 7.25 cfs @ | 12.08 hrs, | Volume= | 0.545 | af | | | |
| Outflow | = | 7.25 cfs @ | 12.08 hrs, | Volume= | . 0.545 | af, Atte | en= 0%, | Lag= 0.0 mi | n |
| Primary | = | 7.25 cfs @ | 12.08 hrs, | Volume= | 0.545 | af | | | |

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 11.29' @ 12.08 hrs Flood Elev= 14.20'

| Device | Routing | Invert | Outlet Devices |
|--------|---------|--------|---|
| #1 | Primary | 9.85' | 21.0" Round Culvert |
| | | | L= 18.0' RCP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 9.85' / 9.65' S= 0.0111 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, finished, Flow Area= 2.41 sf |

Primary OutFlow Max=7.24 cfs @ 12.08 hrs HW=11.29' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) **1=Culvert** (Barrel Controls 7.24 cfs @ 4.65 fps)

Summary for Pond 2: DMH #2

| Inflow Area | I = | 0.120 ac, 8 | 30.61% Impe | ervious, Inflov | <i>N</i> Depth = 3.86 | 5" for 10-y | /r event |
|-------------|-----|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Inflow | = | 0.52 cfs @ | 12.08 hrs, | Volume= | 0.038 af | | |
| Outflow | = | 0.52 cfs @ | 12.08 hrs, | Volume= | 0.038 af, A | Atten= 0%, | Lag= 0.0 min |
| Primary | = | 0.52 cfs @ | 12.08 hrs, | Volume= | 0.038 af | | |

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 12.78' @ 12.10 hrs Flood Elev= 14.60'

| Device | Routing | Invert | Outlet Devices |
|--------|---------|--------|---|
| #1 | Primary | 11.20' | 12.0" Round Culvert L= 8.0' CPP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= $11.20' / 11.07'$ S= 0.0162 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf |

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 12.08 hrs HW=12.74' TW=12.75' (Dynamic Tailwater) **T**-1=Culvert (Controls 0.00 cfs)

Summary for Pond 2a: DMH #2

 Inflow Area =
 1.795 ac, 74.51% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 3.65" for 10-yr event

 Inflow =
 7.25 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume=
 0.545 af

 Outflow =
 7.25 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume=
 0.545 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

 Primary =
 7.25 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume=
 0.545 af

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 12.76' @ 12.09 hrs Flood Elev= 14.77'

| Device | Routing | Invert | Outlet Devices | |
|--------|---------|--------|---|--|
| #1 | Primary | 10.47' | 18.0" Round Culvert | |
| | , | | L= 7.0' CPP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= $10.47' / 10.44'$ S= $0.0043'/'$ Cc= 0.900 n= 0.009 Corrugated PE smooth interior. Flow Area= 1.77 sf | |

Primary OutFlow Max=7.19 cfs @ 12.08 hrs HW=12.75' TW=12.04' (Dynamic Tailwater) 1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 7.19 cfs @ 4.07 fps)

Summary for Pond 3: DMH #3

| Inflow Area | ι = | 0.984 ac, | 73.91% Impe | ervious, | Inflow Depth = | 3.60" | for 10- | yr event |
|-------------|-----|------------|-------------|----------|----------------|----------|---------|--------------|
| Inflow | = | 3.87 cfs @ | 12.08 hrs, | Volume | = 0.295 | af | | |
| Outflow | = | 3.87 cfs @ | 12.08 hrs, | Volume | = 0.295 | af, Atte | en= 0%, | Lag= 0.0 min |
| Primary | = | 3.87 cfs @ | 12.08 hrs, | Volume | = 0.295 | af | | |

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 15.22' @ 12.08 hrs Flood Elev= 17.85'

| Device | Routing | Invert | Outlet Devices |
|--------|---------|--------|--|
| #1 | Primary | 14.16' | 15.0" Round Culvert |
| | | | L= 59.0' CPP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 |
| | | | Inlet / Outlet Invert= 14.16' / 10.57' S= 0.0608 '/' Cc= 0.900 |
| | | | n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 1.23 sf |

Primary OutFlow Max=3.86 cfs @ 12.08 hrs HW=15.21' TW=12.75' (Dynamic Tailwater) ↓ 1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 3.86 cfs @ 3.50 fps)

Summary for Pond 4P: Ex. CB #1127

| Inflow Area | = | 0.286 ac, | 75.59% Impe | ervious, | Inflow Depth = | 3.66" | for 10- | yr event | |
|-------------|---|------------|-------------|----------|----------------|---------|---------|--------------|---|
| Inflow | = | 1.17 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume | = 0.087 | af | | | |
| Outflow | = | 1.17 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume | = 0.087 | af, Att | en= 0%, | Lag= 0.0 mir | ۱ |
| Primary | = | 1.17 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume | = 0.087 | af | | | |

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 21.37' @ 12.09 hrs Flood Elev= 26.20'

| Device | Routing | Invert | Outlet Devices |
|--------|---------|--------|---|
| #1 | Primary | 20.80' | 12.0" Round Culvert L= 220.0' RCP, sq.cut end projecting, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 20.80' / 14.26' S= 0.0297 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.012 Concrete pipe, finished, Flow Area= 0.79 sf |

Page 20

Primary OutFlow Max=1.17 cfs @ 12.09 hrs HW=21.37' TW=15.86' (Dynamic Tailwater) -1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 1.17 cfs @ 2.56 fps)

Summary for Pond 6: DMH #6

| Inflow Area | ι = | 0.908 ac, 7 | 1.74% Impe | ervious, | Inflow Depth = | 3.51 | " for 10- | yr event |
|-------------|-----|-------------|------------|----------|----------------|--------|------------|--------------|
| Inflow | = | 3.65 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume | = 0.266 | i af | | |
| Outflow | = | 3.65 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume | = 0.266 | iaf, A | Atten= 0%, | Lag= 0.0 min |
| Primary | = | 3.65 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume | = 0.266 | af | | |

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 15.87' @ 12.09 hrs Flood Elev= 20.06'

| Device | Routing | Invert | Outlet Devices |
|--------|---------|--------|---|
| #1 | Primary | 14.16' | 15.0" Round Culvert |
| | | | L= 138.0' CPP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 14.16' / 11.02' S= 0.0228 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 1.23 sf |

Primary OutFlow Max=3.64 cfs @ 12.09 hrs HW=15.86' TW=15.21' (Dynamic Tailwater) **1=Culvert** (Outlet Controls 3.64 cfs @ 2.97 fps)

Summary for Pond 10: WQI

| Inflow Area | = | 1.795 ac, 7 | 74.51% Impe | ervious, Inflow D | Depth = 3.65" | for 10-yr event |
|-------------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Inflow | = | 7.25 cfs @ | 12.08 hrs, | Volume= | 0.545 af | - |
| Outflow | = | 7.25 cfs @ | 12.08 hrs, | Volume= | 0.545 af, Att | en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min |
| Primary | = | 7.25 cfs @ | 12.08 hrs, | Volume= | 0.545 af | |

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 12.04' @ 12.08 hrs Flood Elev= 14.55'

| Device | Routing | Invert | Outlet Devices |
|--------|---------|--------|---|
| #1 | Primary | 10.29' | 18.0" Round Culvert L= 10.0' CPP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= $10.29' / 10.25'$ S= $0.0040 '/$ ' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.009 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 1.77 sf |

Primary OutFlow Max=7.24 cfs @ 12.08 hrs HW=12.04' TW=11.29' (Dynamic Tailwater) **1=Culvert** (Barrel Controls 7.24 cfs @ 4.42 fps)

Summary for Pond 60: Rain garden

| Inflow Area | I = | 0.180 ac, 7 | 6.65% Imp | ervious, | Inflow Dep | oth = | 3.65" | for 10-yi | revent |
|-------------|-----|-------------|------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|-----------|--------------|
| Inflow | = | 0.75 cfs @ | 12.09 hrs, | Volume | = 0 |).055 a | af | | |
| Outflow | = | 0.53 cfs @ | 12.16 hrs, | Volume | = 0 |).055 a | af, Atte | n= 30%, | Lag= 4.8 min |
| Discarded | = | 0.04 cfs @ | 12.16 hrs, | Volume | = 0 | 0.041 a | af | | |
| Primary | = | 0.48 cfs @ | 12.16 hrs, | Volume | = C | 0.014 a | af | | |

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 29.92' @ 12.16 hrs Surf.Area= 594 sf Storage= 652 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: outflow precedes inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 108.9 min (904.2 - 795.3)

| Volume | Invert | t Avail.Sto | rage Storage | e Description | |
|----------|-----------|-------------|---|---|--|
| #1 | 28.50 | ' 1,02 | 25 cf Custor | n Stage Data (P | rismatic)Listed below |
| Elevatio | on S | urf.Area | Inc.Store | Cum.Store | |
| (tee | et) | (sq-ft) | (cubic-feet) | (cubic-feet) | |
| 28.5 | 50 | 320 | 0 | 0 | |
| 29.0 | 00 | 410 | 183 | 183 | |
| 30.0 | 00 | 610 | 510 | 693 | |
| 30.5 | 50 | 720 | 333 | 1,025 | |
| Device | Routing | Invert | Outlet Device | es | |
| #1 | Discarded | 28.50' | 3.000 in/hr E | Exfiltration over | Surface area |
| | | | Conductivity | to Groundwater | Elevation = 0.00' |
| #2 | Primary | 29.75' | 8.0" Horiz. C | Drifice/Grate C: | = 0.600 |
| | 2 | | Limited to we | eir flow at low hea | ads |
| #3 | Primary | 30.20' | 6.0' long x 4 Head (feet) 2.50 3.00 3 Coef. (Englis 2.68 2.72 2 | 4.0' breadth Bro 0.20 0.40 0.60 .50 4.00 4.50 5 sh) 2.38 2.54 2. .73 2.76 2.79 2 | ad-Crested Rectangular Weir 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 5.00 5.50 69 2.68 2.67 2.67 2.65 2.66 2.66 2.88 3.07 3.32 |

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.04 cfs @ 12.16 hrs HW=29.92' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Controls 0.04 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.48 cfs @ 12.16 hrs HW=29.92' TW=9.51' (Dynamic Tailwater) 2=Orifice/Grate (Weir Controls 0.48 cfs @ 1.35 fps) -3=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)

EROSION CONTROL CALCULATIONS

Portsmouth Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade Riprap Stone Apron Sizing 10-Year Storm Event

Pond 1a (21" outfall)

| La | Apron Length, Ft. | Calculated |
|------|--|--------------|
| Tw | Tailwater, Ft. | 0.4 |
| Q | Flow, 10 Yr Storm, CFS | 7.25 |
| D50 | Median Stone Dia., Ft. | Calculated |
| D | Depth of Stone, In | Calculated |
| Do | Pipe Diameter, Ft | 1.75 |
| W1 | Width @ Start, Ft. | Calculated |
| W2 | Width @ End, Ft | Calculated |
| W | Width of Channel | 7 |
| W1: | 3(Do)= | 5.25 1 |
| D50: | 0.041(Q) ^{4/3} | |
| | Tw(Do) | |
| D: | 2.25*D50 | |
| La: | If Tw<= Do/2: La= $1.8Q/Do^{3/2}$ + and W2=width of cha or W2=3Do+La | 7Do innel |
| | If Tw>Do/2: La= $3Q/Do^{3/2} + 7I$ and W2=width of cha or W2= $2Do + 0.4L$ o | Do nnel |

APPENDIX B:

HYDROLOGICAL DATA

IDF Curve Report



4507.preTypePrepared by MicrosoftHydroCAD® 10.00-13 s/n 01222 © 2014 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

NH-Portsmouth Intensity vs. Duration

| Duration | 1-yr | 2-yr | 5-yr | 10-yr | 25-yr | 50-yr | 100-yr | 200-yr | 500-yr |
|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|---------|---------|
| (minutes) | (11/11) | (11/11) | | | | | (11/11/) | (11/11) | (11/11) |
| 5 10 | 3.12 | 3.64 | 4.44 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 5.16 | 7.6U | 6.60 | 7 96 |
| 10 | 2.40 | 3.00 | 3.40 | 3.90 | 4.00 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 5.60 | 6 72 |
| 20 | 1.90 | 2.44 | 2.00 | 3.20 | 3.70 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 1.00 | 5.88 |
| 20 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.40 | 2.70 | 2.20 | 3.75 | 3.84 | 4.90 | 5.00 |
| 20 | 1.40 | 1.64 | 1 96 | 2.40 | 2.95 | 3.00 | 3.04 | 4.42 | 1.88 |
| 35 | 1.52 | 1 / 9 | 1.30 | 2.24 | 2.70 | 2.82 | 3.24 | 3 74 | 4.53 |
| 40 | 1.10 | 1.36 | 1.63 | 1.87 | 2.40 | 2.61 | 3.01 | 3 49 | 4.00 |
| 45 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.51 | 1 74 | 2 11 | 2.01 | 2.83 | 3.28 | 4 00 |
| 50 | 0.92 | 1 16 | 1.41 | 1.63 | 1.98 | 2.30 | 2.67 | 3.10 | 3.80 |
| 55 | 0.86 | 1.08 | 1.32 | 1.53 | 1.87 | 2.18 | 2.54 | 2.95 | 3.63 |
| 60 | 0.81 | 1.02 | 1.25 | 1.45 | 1.77 | 2.07 | 2.42 | 2.82 | 3.48 |
| 65 | 0.77 | 0.96 | 1.18 | 1.37 | 1.67 | 1.96 | 2.29 | 2.68 | 3.31 |
| 70 | 0.73 | 0.91 | 1.12 | 1.30 | 1.59 | 1.86 | 2.18 | 2.55 | 3.16 |
| 75 | 0.69 | 0.86 | 1.06 | 1.23 | 1.52 | 1.78 | 2.09 | 2.44 | 3.02 |
| 80 | 0.66 | 0.82 | 1.01 | 1.18 | 1.45 | 1.70 | 2.00 | 2.34 | 2.90 |
| 85 | 0.64 | 0.78 | 0.97 | 1.13 | 1.39 | 1.63 | 1.92 | 2.25 | 2.79 |
| 90 | 0.61 | 0.75 | 0.93 | 1.08 | 1.34 | 1.57 | 1.85 | 2.17 | 2.69 |
| 95 | 0.59 | 0.72 | 0.89 | 1.04 | 1.29 | 1.51 | 1.78 | 2.09 | 2.60 |
| 100 | 0.57 | 0.69 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 1.24 | 1.46 | 1.72 | 2.03 | 2.52 |
| 105 | 0.55 | 0.67 | 0.83 | 0.97 | 1.20 | 1.41 | 1.67 | 1.96 | 2.44 |
| 110 | 0.53 | 0.65 | 0.80 | 0.94 | 1.16 | 1.37 | 1.62 | 1.90 | 2.37 |
| 115 | 0.52 | 0.62 | 0.77 | 0.91 | 1.13 | 1.33 | 1.57 | 1.85 | 2.30 |
| 120 | 0.50 | 0.61 | 0.75 | 0.88 | 1.09 | 1.29 | 1.53 | 1.80 | 2.24 |
| 125 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.73 | 0.86 | 1.07 | 1.26 | 1.48 | 1.75 | 2.18 |
| 130 | 0.47 | 0.57 | 0.71 | 0.84 | 1.04 | 1.23 | 1.45 | 1.71 | 2.12 |
| 135 | 0.46 | 0.56 | 0.69 | 0.82 | 1.02 | 1.20 | 1.41 | 1.67 | 2.07 |
| 140 | 0.45 | 0.55 | 0.68 | 0.80 | 0.99 | 1.17 | 1.38 | 1.63 | 2.02 |
| 145 | 0.44 | 0.54 | 0.66 | 0.78 | 0.97 | 1.14 | 1.35 | 1.59 | 1.98 |
| 150 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.65 | 0.76 | 0.95 | 1.12 | 1.32 | 1.50 | 1.93 |
| 100 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.62 | 0.75 | 0.93 | 1.09 | 1.29 | 1.02 | 1.09 |
| 165 | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.02 | 0.73 | 0.91 | 1.07 | 1.20 | 1.49 | 1.00 |
| 170 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.60 | 0.72 | 0.03 | 1.03 | 1.24 | 1 43 | 1.01 |
| 175 | 0.39 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 1 19 | 1 41 | 1.75 |
| 180 | 0.38 | 0.47 | 0.58 | 0.68 | 0.84 | 0.99 | 1.17 | 1.38 | 1.71 |
| 185 | 0.37 | 0.46 | 0.57 | 0.67 | 0.83 | 0.98 | 1.15 | 1.36 | 1.69 |
| 190 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.56 | 0.66 | 0.82 | 0.96 | 1.13 | 1.34 | 1.66 |
| 195 | 0.36 | 0.45 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.80 | 0.95 | 1.12 | 1.32 | 1.64 |
| 200 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.54 | 0.64 | 0.79 | 0.93 | 1.10 | 1.30 | 1.61 |
| 205 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 1.09 | 1.28 | 1.59 |
| 210 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.53 | 0.62 | 0.77 | 0.91 | 1.07 | 1.26 | 1.57 |
| 215 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.52 | 0.61 | 0.76 | 0.90 | 1.06 | 1.24 | 1.55 |
| 220 | 0.34 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 0.75 | 0.88 | 1.04 | 1.23 | 1.53 |
| 225 | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 0.74 | 0.87 | 1.03 | 1.21 | 1.51 |
| 230 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | 0.73 | 0.86 | 1.02 | 1.20 | 1.49 |
| 235 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.72 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.18 | 1.47 |
| 240 | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.71 | 0.84 | 0.99 | 1.17 | 1.45 |
| 245 | 0.32 | 0.39 | 0.48 | 0.57 | 0.70 | 0.83 | 0.98 | 1.15 | 1.43 |
| 250 | 0.32 | 0.39 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.70 | 0.82 | 0.97 | 1.14 | 1.42 |
| 200 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.47 | 0.55 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.90 | 1.10 | 1 30 |
| 200 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.4/ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.14 | 1.00 |

APPENDIX C:

WATERSHED PLANS



VIUL



NVN NA C: P:\60301525 - PORTSWOUTH V Friday, July 17, 2015 10:23:33 A Friday, July 21, 2015 6:59:36 AM UPDATE: DATE:



PATH LAST PLOT



PATH, LAST PLOT
APPENDIX D:

SITE-SPECIFIC SOIL MAPPING REPORT

Engineers and Scientists

VIA EMAIL

October 3, 2014 File No: 04.0190131.00



Mr. Jeffrey Clifford, PE Altus Engineering, Inc. 133 Court Street Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

Re: Site-Specific Soil Mapping Report Peirce Island Wastewater Treatment Facility Portsmouth, New Hampshire

Dear Jeff:

This letter report presents the findings of a Site-Specific Soil Mapping survey conducted on the above-referenced property by GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc. (GZA) on July 9, 2014. The subject property is located at the south end of Peirce Island and is currently the location of the Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF) for the City of Portsmouth. See attached plan "Peirce Island Wastewater Treatment Facility" by Altus Engineering, Inc. dated January 27, 2014. The WWTF is bounded by the Piscataqua River to the east and tidal waters to the west. Per your request, only the area shaded in yellow on the attached plan (approximately 5 acres) was included in the mapping. It is our understanding that the soil information is needed in support of a proposed project to expand the WWTF.

The Site-Specific Soil Mapping was conducted by New Hampshire Certified Soil Scientist, James H. Long, (CSS No. 15) in accordance with the *New Hampshire Supplement of the Site-Specific Soil Mapping Standard for New Hampshire and Vermont*, Version 4.0, February 2011, published by the Society of Soil Scientists of Northern New England. Soil map units identified on the Site were classified using the *New Hampshire State-Wide Numerical Soils Legend*, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resource Conservation Service, Issue No. 10, January 2011. The Site-Specific Standards are based on a universally recognized taxonomic system of soil classification and are supported by national soil mapping standards established by the USDA National Cooperative Soil Survey. This map has been prepared to comply with soil mapping requirements of RSA 485 A:17 and New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (DES) Env-Wq 1500, Alteration of Terrain (AoT) rules.

This report and the attached soil series map (**Appendix A**) provide soil information such as soil drainage classification, physical characteristics, and depth to bedrock (if encountered). Soil characteristics on the property were evaluated through the evaluation of the test pits as well as tile spade and hand-auger probe observations conducted throughout the survey area. Slope phases were measured through the use of a clinometer and augmented by the topography shown on the Base Plan, which depicted the area of the site at a scale of 1 inch = 50 feet and provided topographic information at a contour interval of 1-foot. The accompanying *Site-Specific Soil Map* developed by GZA in September 2014 was developed using the Base Plan as the mapping base.

Copyright © 2014 GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc.

5 Commerce Park North

Soil parent materials encountered consist of glacial till materials, marine organic soils, and anthropogenic soils. The Soil Map Units identified during the soil survey are briefly described below. Soil characteristics for each Soil Map Unit comply with the Range in Characteristics described in the Official Series Descriptions for each Soil Map Unit (**Appendix B**).



The conditions that exist within the disturbed areas are the result of anthropogenic processes and consist of excavated, regraded and filled areas. The majority of the soils in and around the WWTF have been cut and filled to create the necessary grades for the facility. Soil material making up the map units appear to have come for the immediate area. The material excavated, filled and regarded is similar in characteristics to the Canton soils that were excavated. Canton soils have a hydrologic soil Group B and have a Ksat value in the lower C of 6 inches per hour. The disturbed areas have been identified using the *Disturbed Soil Mapping Unit Supplement for DES AOT Site Specific Soil Maps* section of the standards (**Appendix C**).

The very poorly drained marine soils are mapped as a Westbrook variant. Westbrook soils have a range of depth to bedrock to greater than 60 inches. Bedrock in this area was encountered at less than 24 inches and therefore is mapped as a Westbrook Variant.

The following is a brief description of the soils mapped on site.

| MAP UNIT NO. | SOIL TAXONOMIC NAME | DESCRIPTION |
|-------------------|--|--|
| 42B | Canton 3-8% slope | Very deep, well drained soils formed in a loamy mantle underlain by sandy till. These soils are on nearly level through very steep glaciated plains, hills and ridges. Slopes range from 0 through 35 percent. Saturated hydraulic conductivity is high in the solum and high or very high in the substratum. |
| 83E | Hollis Canton Complex 25-50% slope | The Hollis Canton complex is mapped where depth to bedrock is so variable a single soil type cannot be applied and therefore, will be mapped as a complex of soil types. Hollis soils are well drained soils formed in a thin mantle of till. They are shallow to bedrock. They are nearly level through very steep upland soils on bedrock-controlled hills and ridges. Slopes range from 0 to 60 percent. Saturated hydraulic conductivity is moderately high or high. |
| 444D | Newfields Series 15-25% slope | Very deep, moderately well drained soils formed in a loamy mantle underlain by a sandy till on upland hills, moraines, till plains and mountain side slopes. Saturated hydraulic conductivity is moderately high to very high. Slopes range from 0 to 25 percent. |
| <u>656B</u> P | Ridgebury Series 3-8% slope | Very deep, poorly drained soils formed in till. They are nearly level to gently sloping in low areas of uplands. Slopes range from 0 to 15%. Saturated hydraulic conductivity ranges from moderately low to high in the solum and very low to moderately low in the substratum. |
| <u>597C</u> VP | Westbrook Variant 8-15% slope | Very poorly drained soils, formed in organic deposits over bedrock. They are in tidal marshes subject to inundation by salt water twice a day. Saturated hydraulic conductivity is moderately high to very high in the organic layers. |
| 299A/ccaab | Udorthents, smooth 0-3% slope | This map unit represents areas that have been cut and filled to create a large level on nearly level area. Soil material making up the map units typically comes from the immediate area. The drainage class is well drained and the parent material is glacial till. There is no restrictive layer. The Ksat value is high and the hydrologic soil group is B. |



| MAP UNIT NO. | SOIL TAXONOMIC NAME | DESCRIPTION |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 299B/ccaab | Udorthents, smooth 3-8% slope | This map unit represents areas that have been cut and filled to create a large level on nearly level area. Soil material making up the map units typically comes from the immediate area. The drainage class is well drained and the parent material is glacial till. There is no restrictive layer. The Ksat value is high and the hydrologic soil group is B. |
| 299C/ccaab | Udorthents, smooth 8-15% slope | This map unit represents areas that have been cut and filled to create a large level on nearly level area. Soil material making up the map units typically comes from the immediate area. The drainage class is well drained and the parent material is glacial till. There is no restrictive layer. The Ksat value is high and the hydrologic soil group is B. |
| 299D/ccaab | Udorthents, smooth 15-25% slope | This map unit represents areas that have been cut and filled to create a large level on nearly level area. Soil material making up the map units typically comes from the immediate area. The drainage class is well drained and the parent material is glacial till. There is no restrictive layer. The Ksat value is high and the hydrologic soil group is B. |
| 299E/ccaab | Udorthents, smooth 25-50% slope | This map unit represents areas that have been cut and filled to create a large level on nearly level area. Soil material making up the map units typically comes from the immediate area. The drainage class is well drained and the parent material is glacial till. There is no restrictive layer. The Ksat value is high and the hydrologic soil group is B. |
| 299A/cchab | Udorthents Smooth 0-3% slope | This map unit represents areas that have been cut and filled to create a large level on nearly level area. Soil material making up the map units typically comes from the immediate area. The drainage class is well drained and the parent material is glacial till. Man-made impervious surface including pavement, concrete or built up surfaces (i.e. buildings) with no morphological restrictive layer within control section. The Ksat value is high and the hydrologic soil group is B. |
| 299B/cchab | Udorthents Smooth 3-8% slope | This map unit represents areas that have been cut and filled to create a large level on nearly level area. Soil material making up the map units typically comes from the immediate area. The drainage class is well drained and the parent material is glacial till. Man-made impervious surface including pavement, concrete or built up surfaces (i.e. buildings) with no morphological restrictive layer within control section. The Ksat value is high and the hydrologic soil group is B. |
| 299E/ccfab | Udorthents Smooth 25-50% slope | This map unit represents areas that have been cut and tilled that have been cut and filled to create a large level on nearly level area. Soil material making up the map units typically comes from the immediate area. The drainage class is well drained and the parent material is glacial till. There is no restrictive layer. Areas where depth to bedrock is so variable that a single soil type cannot be applied, will be mapped as a complex of soil types. The Ksat value is high and the hydrologic soil group is B. |

GZA trusts that this report and the soil survey meet your current planning needs. Once you have reviewed the report and soils information, please let us know if you will require additional information. This report is subject to the Limitations contained in **Appendix D**.

Please do not hesitate to contact Mr. James Long at 603-232-8756 if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

James H. Long, CSS, CWS Senior Technical Specialist

John C. Murphy, CHM Principal

borgh M. Java L.

Deborah M. Zarta Gier Consultant / Reviewer

JHL/JCM/DMZ:mm P:\04Jobs\0190100s\04.0190131.00\Report\final 04 0190131 00 Site Specific Soil Mapping Report 100314.docx

Attachments:

nents: Appendix A –Site-Specific Soil Map Appendix B - Official Series Descriptions Appendix C – Disturbed Soil Mapping Unit Supplement Appendix D – Limitations

APPENDIX A

SITE SPECIFIC SOIL MAP



| | ALTUS-ENG. INC. |
|---|--|
| Area of proposed WWTF upgrades | PROGRESS PRINT |
| AND | ISSUED FOR: |
| UENT | REVIEW |
| ADMINISTRATION | ISSUE DATE: |
| BUILDING | JANUARY 27, 2014 |
| STAGE 2 BAF | REVISIONS NO. DESCRIPTION BY DATE 0 - JKC -/-/- |
| SUPPLEMENTAL CARBON FACILITY 2590/CC Ga | DRAWN BY: |
| CHEMICAL STORAGE | $\begin{array}{rcrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ |
| STAGE 1 MUDWELL | OWNER/APPLICANT: CITY OF PORTSMOUTH DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS 680 PEVERLY HILL ROAD PORTSMOUTH, NH |
| | PROJECT: PEIRCE ISLAND WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY |
| | PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801 |
| | <u>mle:</u> |
| STILL PULL | - |
| TIDAL WATERS Y | SHEET NUMBER- |
| 11/115 | STILL I NUMBER |
| T FOR CONSTRUCTION | - |

APPENDIX B

OFFICIAL SERIES DESCRIPTION

LOCATION CANTON

MA CT NH RI

Established Series Rev. WHT-SMF-SJM-DAS 03/2010

CANTON SERIES

The Canton series consists of very deep, well drained soils formed in a loamy mantle underlain by sandy till derived from parent materials that are very low in iron sulfides. They are on nearly level through very steep glaciated plains, hills, and ridges. Slope ranges from 0 through 35 percent. Saturated hydraulic conductivity is high in the solum and high or very high in the substratum. The mean annual temperature is about 46 degrees F. (10 degrees C.) and the annual precipitation is about 44 inches (1194 millimeters).

TAXONOMIC CLASS: Coarse-loamy over sandy or sandy-skeletal, mixed, semiactive, mesic Typic Dystrudepts

TYPICAL PEDON: Canton fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, extremely bouldery in a forested area at an elevation of about 87 meters. (Colors are for moist soil.)

A-- 0 to 1 inch (0 to 3 centimeters); black (10YR 2/1) fine sandy loam; weak fine granular structure; very friable; many fine roots; 10 percent rock fragments; extremely acid; abrupt smooth boundary. (1 to 3 inches (3 to 8 centimeters) thick.)

E-- 1 to 2 inches (3 to 5 centimeters); dark gray (10YR 4/1) fine sandy loam; weak fine granular structure; very friable; many fine roots; 10 percent rock fragments; extremely acid; abrupt broken boundary. (0 to 2 inches (0 to 5 centimeters) thick.)

Bw1-- 2 to 12 inches (5 to 30 centimeters); yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) fine sandy loam; massive; very friable; many fine and medium roots; many fine pores; 10 percent rock fragments; very strongly acid; clear wavy boundary.

Bw2-- 12 to 22 inches (30 to 56 centimeters); light yellowish brown (2.5Y 6/4) gravelly fine sandy loam; massive; very friable; many fine and medium roots; many fine pores; 15 percent rock fragments; very strongly acid; abrupt wavy boundary. (Combined thickness of the Bw horizons is 13 to 33 inches (43 to 84 centimeters).)

2C1-- 22 to 31 inches (56 to 79 centimeters); light olive gray (5Y 6/2) gravelly loamy sand; single grain; very friable; common medium roots; many medium pores; 25 percent rock fragments; pebbles have thin patchy silt caps; very strongly acid; abrupt wavy boundary. (8 to 20 inches (20 to 50 centimeters) thick.)

2C2-- 31 to 65 inches (79 to 165 centimeters); olive gray (5Y 5/2) very gravelly loamy sand; single grain; friable; few fine roots; 35 percent rock fragments; thick continuous silt caps on pebbles; very strongly acid.

TYPE LOCATION: Norfolk County, Massachusetts; Town of Sharon; 0.3 miles south of junction of East Foxborough Road and Mohawk Street, in a borrow pit on the east side of East Foxborough Road. USGS Brockton, MA quadrangle; Latitude 42 degrees, 05 minutes, 46 seconds N., and Longitude 71 degrees, 11 minutes, 19 seconds W., NAD 27.

RANGE IN CHARACTERISTICS: Solum thickness commonly ranges from 18 through 36 inches (46 to 91 centimeters), but the range includes through 14 inches (36 centimeters). It corresponds closely to the depth to the sandy till. Rock fragment content consists of 0 through 20 percent gravel and 0 through 5 percent cobbles in the solum. Gravel content is 10 through 30 percent, cobbles 5 through 10 percent, and stones 0 through 10 percent in the substratum. Stones and boulders are 0 through 15 percent of the surface and subsoil. Rock fragments are dominantly granite, gneiss, and quartzite. The soil ranges from extremely acid through moderately acid. The weighted average dithionite-citrate extractable iron (pedogenic iron) is less than 1 percent throughout the mineral pedon. The weighted average dithionite-citrate extractable aluminum content is commonly 0.67 times less than that found in competing series. The ratio of ammonium oxalate extractable iron to dithionite-citrate extractable iron is high, greater than 0.15.

Some pedons have an O horizon.

The A horizon has hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 2 through 4, and chroma of 1 through 3. Texture is sandy loam, fine sandy loam, loam, or very fine sandy loam in the fine-earth fraction.

Some pedons have an E horizon.

The Bw1 horizon commonly has hue of 10YR, and includes 7.5YR when a high ratio of ammonium oxalate extractable iron to dithionite-citrate extractable iron (> 0.15) exists, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 through 8. The Bw2 horizon has hue of 10YR or 2.5Y, value of 4 through 7, and chroma of 4 through 8. Texture of the fine-earth fraction is commonly fine sandy loam and less commonly sandy loam, loam and very fine sandy loam. Structure of the Bw is granular or subangular blocky.

Some pedons have a Bs, Bh, or BC horizon.

The 2C horizon typically has hue of 2.5Y or 5Y, value of 5 through 7, and chroma of 2 or 3, but includes a hue of 10YR with chroma of 4 through 6. The texture of the fine-earth fraction is loamy fine sand or coarser. Structure is single grain or massive and consistence is friable, very friable or loose. Thin lenses or small pockets of firm or very firm finer textured material are common below 36 through 40 inches (91 to 100 centimeters).

COMPETING SERIES: There are no other soils currently in the same family.

The <u>Agawam</u>, <u>Barnstable</u>, <u>Branford</u>, <u>Brookfield</u>, <u>Charlton</u>, <u>Haven</u>, and <u>Narragansett</u> series are in closely related families. The Agawam, Branford, and Haven soils have stratified sand or sand and gravel in the series control section. In addition, the Branford soils have hues redder than 7.5YR throughout the B horizon. Barnstable soils formed in till over outwash and have less than 30 percent fine sand in the lower part of the Bw horizon. Brookfield soils formed in sulfur bearing parent materials and have a ratio of ammonium oxalate extractable iron to dithionite-citrate extractable iron less than 0.15 and have pedogenic iron contents greater than 1 percent throughout the pedon. Charlton soils lack a lithologic discontinuity of abrupt change in sand distribution. Narragansett soils have more than 55 percent silt and very fine sand in the solum.

GEOGRAPHIC SETTING: Canton soils are on glaciated upland plains, hills, and ridges. Slope ranges from 0 through 35 percent. The soils developed in a fine sandy loam mantle over acid sandy till of Wisconsin age derived from parent materials that are very low in sulfur, mainly from granite and gneiss and some fine-grained sandstone. The climate is humid temperate. The mean annual air temperature is 45 to 51 degrees F. (7 through 11 degrees C.), and the mean annual precipitation ranges from 42 through 26 inches (1016 through 1295 millimeters).

GEOGRAPHICALLY ASSOCIATED SOILS: The <u>Newfields</u> series is the moderately well drained member of the same toposequence. The <u>Agawam</u>, <u>Haven</u>, <u>Merrimac</u>, and <u>Warwick</u> soils are on nearby glacial outwash kames and plains. The <u>Barnstable</u>, <u>Brookfield</u>, <u>Charlton</u>, <u>Cheshire</u>, <u>Dutchess</u>, <u>Gloucester</u>, <u>Hollis</u>, <u>Montauk</u>, <u>Narragansett</u>, and <u>Paxton</u> soils are on nearby glaciated uplands. Brookfield, Charlton, Cheshire, Dutchess, Gloucester, Hollis, Montauk, narragansett, and Paxton soils are on nearby glaciated uplands. Brookfield, Charlton, Cheshire, Dutchess, Gloucester, Hollis, Montauk, and Paxton soils lack a contrasting particle size in the control section.

DRAINAGE AND SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY: Well drained. Runoff is negligible to medium. Internal drainage is medium. Saturated hydraulic conductivity is high in the solum and high or very high in the substratum.

USE AND VEGETATION: Mostly forested. Some areas have been cleared of surface stones and are used for crops and pasture. Native vegetation is forest composed of eastern white pine, northern red, white, and black oaks, hickory, red maple, sugar maple, gray birch, yellow birch, beech, eastern hemlock, and white ash.

DISTRIBUTION AND EXTENT: Glaciated uplands in Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, eastern New York, and Rhode Island, also in the Massachusetts Coastal Islands; MLRAs 144A, 145, and 149B. The series is of large extent.

MLRA SOIL SURVEY REGIONAL OFFICE (MO) RESPONSIBLE: Amherst, Massachusetts.

SERIES ESTABLISHED: Herkimer County, New York, 1969.

REMARKS: This revision reflects revision of the series concept and range in characteristics as well as general updating to metric units. Research results indicate Canton soils are not associated with iron sulfide bearing materials. The weighted average dithionite-citrate extractable iron (pedogenic iron) is less than 1 percent throughout the mineral pedon. The weighted average dithionite-citrate extractable aluminum content is commonly 0.67 times less than that found in competing series. The ratio of ammonium oxalate extractable iron to dithionite-citrate extractable iron is high, greater than 0.15.

Diagnostic horizons and features recognized in this pedon are:

1) Ochric epipedon - the zone from 0 to 2 inches (0 to 5 centimeters) (A and E horizons).

2) Cambic horizon - the zone from 2 to 22 inches (5 to 56 centimeters) (Bw1 and Bw2 horizons).

3) Contrasting particle size - the coarse-loamy material contains less than 50 percent fine sand or coarser, and the transition zone between the two parts of the particle-size control section is less than 5 inches (12.5 cm) thick. (Coarse-loamy over sandy or sandy skeletal).

4) Lithologic discontinuity abrupt change in sand distribution at 22 inches (56 centimeters) (2C1 horizon).

5) Cation exchange activity class based upon available data for 4 pedons.

6) Particle-size control section - the zone from 10 through 40 inches (25 through 100 centimeters) (Bw1, Bw2, 2C1, and 2C2 horizons).

ADDITIONAL DATA: M.S. Thesis work by Shawn McVey, University of Connecticut, 2006. Full characterization data for sample no. S82CT007001, S99CT013001, S99CT013004, S00CT007003 and S04CT011003. Pedons analyzed by the NSSL, Lincoln, NE.

National Cooperative Soil Survey U.S.A.

LOCATION HOLLIS

CT+MA NH NJ NY

Established Series Rev. MFF-SMF-SJM-DAS 01/2013

HOLLIS SERIES

The Hollis series consists of well drained and somewhat excessively drained soils formed in a thin mantle of till derived mainly from parent materials that are very low in iron sulfides such as gneiss, schist, and granite. They are shallow to bedrock. They are nearly level through very steep upland soils on bedrock-controlled hills and ridges. Slope ranges from 0 through 60 percent. Saturated hydraulic conductivity is moderately high or high. Depth to hard bedrock ranges from 25 to 50 cm. Mean annual temperature is about 9 degrees C, and mean annual precipitation is about 1270 mm.

TAXONOMIC CLASS: Loamy, mixed, active, mesic Lithic Dystrudepts

TYPICAL PEDON: Hollis gravelly fine sandy loam, 3 to 15 percent slopes, forested. (Colors are for moist soil.)

Oi--0 to 3 cm; slightly decomposed plant material.

Oa--3 to 5 cm; black (10YR 2/1) highly decomposed plant material; moderate fine granular structure; very friable; many fine and very fine roots; abrupt smooth boundary. (Combined thickness of O horizons is 0 to 4 inches (0 to 10 cm thick)

A--5 to 18 cm; very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) gravelly fine sandy loam, light brownish gray (10YR 6/2) dry; weak fine granular structure; very friable; common fine, very fine, medium, and coarse roots; 10 percent gravel, 5 percent channers; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary. (1 to 6 inches (3 to 15 cm thick)

Bw1--18 to 25 cm; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) gravelly fine sandy loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few very fine and fine roots, common medium roots; 10 percent gravel, 10 percent channers; strongly acid; clear wavy boundary.

Bw2--25 to 41 cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) gravelly fine sandy loam; moderate medium and coarse subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine and very fine roots, common medium roots; 10 percent gravel, 5 percent channers; strongly acid; abrupt smooth boundary. (Combined thickness of Bw horizons is 18 to 48 cm.)

2R--41 cm; schist bedrock.

TYPE LOCATION: Middlesex County, Connecticut, town of East Hampton, 1000 feet due west of Connecticut Route 196 and 3200 feet due north of Connecticut Route 151; USGS Moodus, CT topographic quadrangle, Latitude 41 degrees 31, minutes 28, seconds N., Longitude 72 degrees, 29

minutes, 48 seconds W., NAD 27.

RANGE IN CHARACTERISTICS: Thickness of the solum and depth to bedrock range from 25 to 50 cm. Rock fragments commonly range from 5 through 35 percent by volume, but some pedons have less than 5 percent rock fragments. The fragments are mostly subrounded gravel, except where the surface is stony. The soil has 20 percent or more silt in the particle-size control section. Unless limed, reaction ranges from extremely acid through moderately acid in the organic horizons and very strongly acid through moderately acid in the mineral horizons. The weighted average dithionite-citrate extractable iron (pedogenic iron) is less than 1 percent throughout the mineral pedon. The weighted average dithionite-citrate extractable aluminum content is commonly 0.67 times less than that found in competing series. The ratio of ammonium oxalate extractable iron to dithionite-citrate extractable iron is high, greater than 0.15.

The O horizon, where present, ranges from slightly decomposed through highly decomposed plant material.

The A horizon has hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 2 to 4, and chroma of 1 to 3. It is sandy loam, fine sandy loam, or loam in the fine-earth fraction. Consistence is friable or very friable.

Some pedons have a BA horizon.

The Bw horizon commonly has hue of 10YR or 2.5Y, and includes 7.5YR when a high ratio of ammonium oxalate extractable iron to dithionite-citrate extractable iron (> 0.15) exists, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 through 8. It is sandy loam, fine sandy loam, or loam in the fine-earth fraction. The Bw horizon has granular or subangular blocky structure. Consistence is friable or very friable.

Some pedons have a thin BC or C horizon with color like the Bw horizon, except it includes hue of 5Y. Texture, structure, and consistence are similar to the Bw horizon.

COMPETING SERIES: These are the <u>Cleveland</u> and <u>Kearsarge</u> series. Cleveland soils have less than 20 percent silt in the particle-size control section and lack a 2R horizon. Kearsarge soils lack a 2R horizon and have rock fragments of phyllite, slate, or schist.

<u>Brimfield</u> soils are in a closely related family and formed in parent materials derived from sulfur bearing schist and have a ratio of ammonium oxalate extractable iron to dithionite-citrate extractable iron of less than 0.15 and have pedogenic iron contents of greater than 1 percent throughout the pedon.

GEOGRAPHIC SETTING: Hollis soils are nearly level through very steep soils on bedrock controlled hills, modified by glacial processes. Slope ranges from 0 to 60 percent. The soils formed in a thin mantle of till derived from local bedrock of schist, granite, and gneiss that is very low in sulfur. Mean annual temperature ranges from 7 to 12 degrees C and mean annual precipitation ranges from 940 to 1295 mm, but the range includes as low as 660 mm in some places east of Adirondack Mountains in the Champlain Valley of New York. The growing season ranges from 115 through 185 days.

GEOGRAPHICALLY ASSOCIATED SOILS: These are the <u>Brimfield</u>, <u>Acton</u>, <u>Broadbrook</u>, <u>Brookfield</u>, <u>Canton</u>, <u>Charlton</u>, <u>Chatfield</u>, <u>Essex</u>, <u>Gloucester</u>, <u>Hibernia</u>, <u>Leicester</u>, <u>Montauk</u>, <u>Narragansett</u>, <u>Paxton</u>, <u>Rainbow</u>, <u>Ridgebury</u>, <u>Rockaway</u>, <u>Scituate</u>, <u>Sutton</u>, <u>Wapping</u>, <u>Whitman</u>, and <u>Woodbridge</u> soils on nearby landscapes. All are very deep upland soils formed in till except for the Brimfield and Chatfield soils. Acton, Rainbow, Rockaway, Scituate, Sutton, Wapping, and Woodbridge soils are moderately well drained. The Broadbrook, Brookfield, Canton, Charlton, Essex, Montauk, Narragansett, and Paxton soils are well drained. Chatfield soils have bedrock within a depth of 20 to 40 inches. Gloucester soils are somewhat excessively drained. Hibernia, Leicester, and Ridgebury soils are somewhat poorly drained or poorly drained soils in drainageways or low lying areas.

DRAINAGE AND SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY: Well drained and somewhat excessively drained. Surface runoff is negligible to very high. Saturated hydraulic conductivity is moderately high or high.

USE AND VEGETATION: Mostly forested. Small areas with few rock outcrops are cleared of stones and used for cultivated crops, but most cleared areas are in hay or pasture. Scattered areas are used for community development. Common trees are northern red, white, black, and chestnut oak, hickory, eastern white pine, eastern hemlock, and gray and black birch.

DISTRIBUTION AND EXTENT: Glaciated uplands in Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New Hampshire, and eastern New York. MLRAs 101, 142, 144A, and 145. The series is of large extent.

MLRA SOIL SURVEY REGIONAL OFFICE (MO) RESPONSIBLE: Amherst, Massachusetts.

SERIES ESTABLISHED: Nashua Area, New Hampshire, 1909.

REMARKS: Cation exchange activity class placement based upon a review of lab data and similar and associated soils. Research results indicate Hollis soils are not associated with iron sulfide bearing materials. The weighted average dithionite-citrate extractable iron (pedogenic iron) is less than 1 percent throughout the mineral pedon. The weighted average dithionite-citrate extractable aluminum content is commonly 0.67 times less than that found in competing series. The ratio of ammonium oxalate extractable iron to dithionite-citrate extractable iron is high, greater than 0.15. These characteristics provide a distinction from other series, particularly the Brimfield series.

Diagnostic horizons and features recognized in this pedon include:

- 1. Ochric epipedon the zone from 0 to 18 cm) (O and A horizons).
- 2. Cambic horizon the zone from 18 to 41 cm (Bw1 and Bw2 horizons).
- 3. Lithic contact hard bedrock at 41 cm (2R horizon).
- 4. Particle-size control section the zone from 25 to 41 cm.

5. Loamy (coarse-loamy) particle-size class - the control section from 25 to 41 cm) averages less than 35 percent clay in fine-earth fraction and the soil is in a Lithic subgroup.

6. Lithologic discontinuity - till with rock fragments from mixed sources overlying single kind of hard bedrock at 41 cm.

ADDITIONAL DATA: M.S. Thesis work by Shawn McVey, University of Connecticut, 2006. Full characterization data for sample no. S00CT007004, S99CT005001 and S98NY061001. Partial characterization data for sample no. S99NY061003 and S99NY061003A-3D. Analyzed by the NSSL, Lincoln, NE.

National Cooperative Soil Survey U.S.A.

LOCATION NEWFIELDS NH+MA

Established Series HRM-RJK-DAS 01/2013

NEWFIELDS SERIES

The Newfields series consists of very deep, moderately well drained soils formed in a loamy mantle underlain by sandy till on upland hills, moraines, till plains, and mountain side slopes. Saturated hydraulic conductivity is moderately high to very high. Slope ranges from 0 through 25 percent. Mean annual precipitation is about 40 inches (1016 millimeters), and mean annual temperature is about 48 degrees F. (9 degrees C.).

TAXONOMIC CLASS: Coarse-loamy over sandy or sandy-skeletal, mixed, active, mesic Oxyaquic Dystrudepts

TYPICAL PEDON: Newfields fine sandy loam, on a nearly level slope in a wooded area. The surface is covered by a 1 inch (3 centimeter) layer of leaves and twigs. (Colors are for moist soil.)

Ap -- 0 to 9 inches (0 to 23 centimeters); very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) fine sandy loam; light brownish gray (10YR 6/2) dry; moderate medium granular structure; friable; many fine and medium, and common coarse roots; 5 percent gravel; moderately acid; abrupt smooth boundary. (4 to 10 inches (10 to 25 centimeters) thick.)

Bw1 -- 9 to 20 inches (23 to 51 centimeters); yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) fine sandy loam; weak medium granular structure; very friable; common medium and few coarse roots; 10 percent gravel; moderately acid; clear wavy boundary.

Bw2 -- 20 to 28 inches (51 to 71 centimeters); olive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) fine sandy loam; weak medium granular structure; very friable; common fine and few medium roots; 10 percent gravel; moderately acid; clear wavy boundary. (Combined thickness of the Bw horizon is 5 to 30 inches (13 to 76 centimeters).)

BC -- 28 to 35 inches (71 to 89 centimeters); light yellowish brown (2.5Y 6/4) fine sandy loam; massive; friable; few fine and medium roots; 5 percent gravel; few fine distinct yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) masses of iron accumulation and many medium distinct light gray (5Y 7/2) iron depletions; moderately acid; clear wavy boundary. (0 to 20 (0 to 51 centimeters) inches thick.)

2C1 -- 35 to 43 inches (89 to 109 centimeters); light yellowish brown (2.5Y 6/4) gravelly loamy sand; massive; friable; 25 percent gravel; many medium prominent strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) and common fine prominent yellowish red (5YR 5/8) masses of iron accumulation, and many medium distinct light gray (5Y 7/2) iron depletions; moderately acid; clear wavy boundary. (0 to 30 inches (0 to 76 centimeters) thick.)

2C2 -- 43 to 64 inches (109 to 163 centimeters); pale olive (5Y 6/3) gravelly loamy sand; massive; friable; 25 percent gravel; common fine prominent strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) masses of iron accumulation and many medium faint light gray (5Y 7/2) iron depletions; moderately acid.

TYPE LOCATION: Rockingham County, New Hampshire; Town of Atkinson, 300 feet south of Province Hill Road from a point on Province Hill Road .35 mile northeast of the intersection of Salem Road and Province Hill Road; USGS Haverhill, NH topographic quadrangle; Latitude 42 degrees, 49 minutes, 20 seconds North, longitude 71 degrees, 8 minutes, 50 seconds West, NAD 83.

RANGE IN CHARACTERISTICS: Thickness of the solum ranges from 15 through 36 inches (38 through 91 centimeters). Depth to bedrock is typically greater than 60 inches (1.5 meters). Rock fragments range from 0 through 30 percent in the solum and 5 through 60 percent in the substratum. Rock fragments are dominantly granite, gneiss, schist, and quartzite gravel, but can often include cobbles, stones and boulders. Reaction ranges from extremely acid through slightly acid.

The Ap horizon has hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 2 through 4, and chroma of 2 through 4. Some pedons have an A horizon that has value of 2 through 3 and chroma of 1 through 3. Some pedons have an E horizon that has hue of 10YR or 2.5Y, value of 4 through 7, and chroma of 1 or 2. Texture of the Ap, A and E horizons is fine sandy loam, loam, sandy loam, or very fine sandy loam in the fine-earth fraction.

The Bw horizon has hue of 7.5YR through 2.5Y, value of 4 through 6, and chroma of 3 through 8. Texture is dominantly fine sandy loam in the fine-earth fraction, but includes thin subhorizons of sandy loam and very fine sandy loam in the upper part, with the weighted average of coarse-loamy material containing less than 50 percent fine or coarser sand. Some pedons have a Bs horizon.

The BC horizon has color and texture similar to the Bw horizon.

The 2C horizon has hue of 10YR through 5Y, value of 4 through 7, and chroma of 2 through 4. Texture is loamy sand, loamy fine sand, loamy coarse sand, fine sand, or sand in the fine-earth fraction. Some pedons have lenses of sand and gravel. Structure is weak thin platy or the horizon is massive. Consistence is very friable or friable, but may contain thin subhorizons with firm consistence.

COMPETING SERIES: There are currently no other series in the same family.

The <u>Agawam</u>, <u>Branford</u>, <u>Canton</u>, <u>Deerfield</u>, <u>Haven</u>, <u>Narragansett</u>, <u>Scituate</u>, and <u>Sutton</u> series are in related families. Agawam, Branford, and Haven soils have either stratified sand or sand and gravel in the series control section. The Canton and Narragansett soils do not have redoximorphic features. Deerfield soils have sandy textures in the solum. Scituate and Sutton soils have coarse-loamy particle-size control sections.

GEOGRAPHIC SETTING: Newfields soils are nearly level through moderately steep soils of glaciated uplands and morianes. Slope ranges from 0 through 25 percent. The soils formed in a loamy mantle over acid sandy melt out till of Wisconsin age derived mainly from granite, gneiss, or quartzite. The till generally contains cobbles and stones with some boulders. Mean annual precipitation ranges from 30 through 50 inches (889 through 1270 millimeters), and mean annual temperature ranges from 47 through 52 degrees F. (8 through 11 degrees C.).

GEOGRAPHICALLY ASSOCIATED SOILS: These are the <u>Canton</u>, <u>Leicester</u>, <u>Montauk</u> and <u>Scituate</u> soils. Newfields soils are in a drainage sequence with the well drained Canton soils and the poorly drained Leicester soils. Montauk soils have a dense substratum and are in higher positions on the landscape. Scituate soils have a dense substratum and are in similar positions on the landscape.

DRAINAGE AND SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY: Moderately well drained. Runoff ranges from slow to rapid. Saturated hydraulic conductivity is moderately high to very high.

USE AND VEGETATION: Most of these soils are presently forested although some areas were tilled at one time. Common species are northern red oak, eastern white pine, and red maple.

DISTRIBUTION AND EXTENT: Southeastern New Hampshire and southeastern Massachusetts. MLRA's 144A and 149B. The series is of moderate extent.

MLRA SOIL SURVEY REGIONAL OFFICE (MO) RESPONSIBLE: Amherst, Massachusetts.

SERIES ESTABLISHED: Rockingham County, New Hampshire, 1986.

REMARKS: The 2010 revision reflected changes to the range in characteristics as well as general updating to metric units. This series was classified to the 10th edition of Keys to Soil Taxonomy with that revision. The former classification was coarse-loamy over sandy or sandy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts. Historical notes are maintained in the maintenance file, OSD Historical Notes.

There is some debate over the source of the loamy mantle, one theory places it as aeolian.

The diagnostic horizons and features recognized in this pedon are:

1. Ochric epipedon - the zone from 0 to 9 inches (0 to 23 centimeters) (Ap horizon).

2. Cambic horizon - the zone from 9 to 35 inches (23 to 89 centimeters) (Bw1, Bw2, BC horizons).

3. Contrasting particle-size class - both the coarse-loamy part and the sandy part of the particle-size control section are greater than 5 inches (12.5 cm) thick and the transition zone between the two parts is less than 5 inches (12.5 cm) thick.

4) Particle-size control section - the zone from 10 through 40 inches (25 through 100 centimeters) (part of the Bw1, Bw2, BC, 2C1 horizons).

5) Lithologic discontinuity - at a depth of 35 inches (89 centimeters).

National Cooperative Soil Survey U.S.A.

LOCATION RIDGEBURY

MA+CT NH NJ NY RI

Established Series Rev. WHT-SMF-JTI 09/2014

RIDGEBURY SERIES

The Ridgebury series consists of very deep, somewhat poorly and poorly drained soils formed in till derived mainly from granite, gneiss and schist. They are commonly shallow to a densic contact. They are nearly level to gently sloping soils in low areas in uplands. Slope ranges from 0 to 15 percent. Saturated hydraulic conductivity ranges from moderately low to high in the solum and very low to moderately low in the substratum. Mean annual temperature is about 49 degrees F. and the mean annual precipitation is about 45 inches.

TAXONOMIC CLASS: Loamy, mixed, superactive, acid, mesic, shallow Aeric Endoaquepts

TYPICAL PEDON: Ridgebury sandy loam - on a 3 to 8 percent slope in an extremely stony wooded area at an elevation of about 334 m. (Colors are for moist soil.)

A--0 to 13 cm. (0 to 5 inches); black (N 2/0) fine sandy loam; weak medium and coarse granular structure; friable; many very fine, fine and medium tree roots; 5 percent gravel and 5 percent cobbles; very strongly acid; abrupt smooth boundary. (5 to 25 cm (2 to 10 inches) thick)

Bw--13 to 23 cm. (5 to 9 inches); brown (10YR 4/3) sandy loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine tree roots; 5 percent gravel and 5 percent cobbles; very strongly acid; abrupt wavy boundary. (8 to 22 cm (3 to 9 inches) thick)

Bg--23 to 46 cm. (9 to 18 inches); dark gray (10YR 4/1) gravelly sandy loam; massive; friable; 10 percent gravel and 5 percent cobbles; common fine prominent yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) and common medium distinct reddish brown (5YR 4/4) masses of iron accumulation; very strongly acid; gradual wavy boundary. (10 to 43 cm (4 to 17 inches) thick)

Cd--46 to 165 cm. (18 to 65 inches); gray (5Y 5/1) gravelly sandy loam; massive; firm; 10 percent gravel and 5 percent cobbles; common fine prominent reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/8) masses of iron accumulation; very strongly acid.

TYPE LOCATION: Hampshire County, Massachusetts; Town of Pelham; 1,600 feet east of Route 202 at a point 3,950 feet south of its junction with Amherst Road; USGS Shutesbury quadrangle; latitude 42 degrees 22 minutes 53 seconds N. and longitude 72 degrees 23 minutes 45 second W., NAD 27.

RANGE IN CHARACTERISTICS: Depth to the dense till commonly is 36 to 48 cm (14 to 19 inches). The A horizon has 5 to 25 percent gravel, 0 to 10 percent cobbles, and 0 to 25 percent stones by volume. The B and C horizons have 5 to 25 percent gravel, 0 to 5 percent cobbles and 0 to 5

percent stones. Rock fragments within the soil range from 5 to 35 percent by volume and are subangular fragments. The unlimed soil ranges from very strongly acid through moderately acid but some horizon within a depth of 100 cm (40 inches) is moderately acid.

The O horizon, where present, has hue of 7.5YR to 2.5Y, value of 2, 2.5, or 3 and chroma of 0 to 2.

The A or Ap horizon is neutral or has hue of 10YR to 5Y, value of 2, 2.5, or 3 and chroma of 0 to 2. Texture is sandy loam, fine sandy loam or loam in the fine-earth fraction.

Some pedons have a thin E horizon with hue of 10YR to 5Y, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 1 or 2. Texture is the same as the A horizon.

The B horizon is neutral or has hue of 7.5YR to 5Y, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 0 to 3. The chroma is 4 in some places. Chroma of 3 or 4 is restricted to subhorizons. Redoximorphic features are few to many and are distinct or prominent. Texture is sandy loam, fine sandy loam, very fine sandy or loam in the fine earth fraction with fifteen percent or more fine sand or coarser and clay content less than 18 percent. The B horizon has subangular blocky structure, weak to moderate very thin to medium platy structure or is massive. It is very friable or friable.

The Cd layer has hue of 10YR to 5Y, value of 3 to 6, and chroma of 1 to 4. It commonly has distinct or prominent redoximorphic features which generally become less abundant with depth but the range includes faint. Texture is coarse sandy loam, sandy loam, fine sandy loam, very fine sandy or loam in the fine-earth fraction. Consistence is firm or very firm and brittle. It is massive or has plates. Any physical aggregation is considered to not be pedogenic.

Some pedons have a C horizon below the Cd that is firm but not brittle.

COMPETING SERIES: There are no series currently in the same family.

The <u>Painesville</u>, <u>Punsit</u>, and <u>Sun</u> series are in a closely related family. Painesville soils lack a densic contact. Punsit soils have more than 60 percent silt plus very fine sand in the particle size control section. Sun soils formed in till derived from limestone and sandstone.

GEOGRAPHIC SETTING: The nearly level to gently sloping Ridgebury soils are in slightly concave areas and shallow drainageways of till uplands. Slope ranges from 0 to 15 percent. The soils formed in loamy till derived mainly from granite, gneiss and schist. Mean annual air temperature ranges from 7 to 11 degrees C (45 to 52 degrees F) and mean annual precipitation ranges from 1016 to 1270 mm (40 to 50 inches). Mean growing season ranges from 100 to 195 days.

GEOGRAPHICALLY ASSOCIATED SOILS: These include the <u>Charlton, Chatfield, Hollis,</u> <u>Leicester, Paxton and Sutton, Whitman and Woodbridge</u> soils. Ridgebury is a member of a drainage sequence that includes the well drained Paxton, moderately well drained Woodbridge, and very poorly drained Whitman soils. Charlton and Sutton soils are better drained and have friable substrata. Chatfield and Hollis soils have bedrock within depths of 100 and 50 cm (40 and 20 inches) respectively. Leicester soils do not have a densic contact.

DRAINAGE AND PERMEABILITY: Commonly poorly drained but the range includes the wetter part of somewhat poorly drained. Runoff is negligible to medium. Saturated hydraulic conductivity ranges from moderately low to high in the solum and very low to moderately low in the substratum. A

perched, fluctuating water table above the dense till saturates the solum to or near the surface for 7 to 9 months of the year.

USE AND VEGETATION: Largely forested to gray birch, yellow birch, red maple, hemlock, elm, spruce and balsam fir. Cleared areas are used mainly for hay and pasture.

DISTRIBUTION AND EXTENT: Glaciated landforms in Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, and Rhode Island. (MLRAs 142, 144A, 145, and 149B) The series is extensive.

MLRA SOIL SURVEY REGIONAL OFFICE (MO) RESPONSIBLE: Amherst, Massachusetts.

SERIES ESTABLISHED: Franklin County, Vermont, 1948.

REMARKS: An analysis of Ridgebury soils in 2002 for 38 surveys showed that this series most commonly has a densic contact at 40 to 60 cm (16 to 24 inches) including 8 surveys with the depth to a densic contact at 50 cm (20 inches). The average depth to a densic contact was 50 cm (20 inches) - the data showed an almost even split between depth class occurrences. A review of characterization data for Ridgebury soils shows a very slight dominance in the acid reaction class. Any physical aggregation in the Cd is considered to not be pedogenic. The type location is currently within the officially designated mesic zone in Massachusetts. Previous revision of this series ws 12/2005.

Diagnostic horizons and features in this pedon include:

1. Ochric epipedon - the zone from 0 to 13 cm (0 to 5 inches) (A horizon).

2. Aeric feature 100 percent of the zone from 13 to 23 cm (5 to 9 inches) has hue of 10YR and both color value moist of 4 and chroma moist of 3 (Bw1 horizon).

3. Cambic horizon - the zone from 13 to 46 cm (5 to 18 inches) (Bw and Bg horizons).

3. Densic contact root limiting material begins at 46 cm (18 inches) (Cd).

4. Endosaturation the zone from 23 to 46 cm (9 to 18 inches) is saturated above the densic contact (Bw2 horizon). A seasonal high water table is perched above the densic materials.

5. Reaction - the pH in the zone from 25 to 46 cm (10 to 18 inches) (control section for reaction) is presumed less than 5.0 in 0.01 M CaCl2 (1:2) (see remarks).

6. Series control section - the zone from 0 to 71 cm (0 to 28 inches).

ADDITIONAL DATA: Reference samples from pedons S00CT013002, S58MA015006, S57MA023004, S77MA005003, S95NH013005, S96NH013002 from Connecticut, Massachusetts, and New Hampshire, samples by NSSL, Lincoln, NE, various years.

National Cooperative Soil Survey U.S.A.

LOCATION WESTBROOK

CT+MA MD NH

Established Series Rev. MFF 06/2014

WESTBROOK SERIES

The Westbrook series consists of very deep, very poorly drained soils formed in organic deposits over loamy mineral material. They are in tidal marshes subject to inundation by salt water twice daily. Saturated hydraulic conductivity is moderately high to very high in the organic layers and low to high in the underlying mineral sediments. Mean annual temperature is about 10 degrees C and mean annual precipitation is about 1143 mm.

TAXONOMIC CLASS: Loamy, mixed, euic, mesic Terric Sulfihemists

TYPICAL PEDON: Westbrook mucky peat - salt grass tidal marsh, undrained at an elevation of about 37 feet. (Colors are for moist soil unless otherwise noted.)

0e1--0 to 25 cm (0 to 10 in); very dark gray (10YR 3/1) mucky peat; dark gray (10YR 4/1) dry; 65 percent fiber, 30 percent rubbed; dense mat of roots, stems and leaves; massive; slightly sticky; many very fine; fine and medium roots; fibers herbaceous; thin lenses and coatings of silt; 45 percent organic matter; strongly saline (total salts 58 deciSiemens per meter); slightly acid; clear wavy boundary. ((12 to 30 cm (5 to 12 inches thick))

0e2--25 to 102 cm (0 to 40 in); very dark gray (10YR 3/1) mucky peat, dark gray (10YR 4/1) dry; 50 percent fiber, 25 percent rubbed; massive; slightly sticky; few very fine, fine; and medium roots; fibers herbaceous; thin lenses and coatings of silt; 44 percent organic matter; strongly saline (total salts 34 deciSiemens per meter; moderately acid; gradual wavy boundary. ((20 to 100 cm (8 to 40 inches thick))

0e3--102 to 122 cm (40 to 48 in); dark olive gray (5Y 3/2) mucky peat, dark gray (10YR 4/1) dry; 35 percent fiber, 25 percent rubbed; massive; slightly sticky; fibers herbaceous; 24 percent organic matter; strongly saline (total salts 36 deciSiemens per meter); neutral; clear wavy boundary. (0 to 61 inches thick)

Cg1--122 to 163 cm (48 to 64 in); very dark gray (5Y 3/1) silt loam, dark gray (10YR 4/1) dry; massive; slightly sticky; 12 percent organic matter; strongly saline (total salts 28 deciSiemens per meter); neutral; diffuse wavy boundary. ((0 to 51 cm (0 to 20 inches thick))

Cg2--163 to 250 cm (64 to 99 in); dark gray (N 4/) silt loam, dark gray (10YR 4/1) dry; massive; slightly sticky; 10 percent organic matter; few small shell fragments; and very slightly saline to strongly saline; strongly saline (total salts 31 deciSiemens per meter); slightly acid.

TYPE LOCATION: Middlesex County, Connecticut; town of Westbrook, 500 feet south of Route 1

(Boston Post Road) at a point 600 feet southwest along US Route 1 from the intersection with Hammock Road; on the Essex USGS topographic quadrangle, latitude 41 degrees 16 minutes 30 seconds N., longitude 72 degrees 28 minutes 18 seconds W., NAD 27.

RANGE IN CHARACTERISTICS: Thickness of the organic deposits ranges from 40 to 130 cm (16 to 51 in). The soil is strongly acid to slightly alkaline and very slightly saline to strongly saline. In a 1:5 soil to water mixture by volume the conductivity ranges from 0.0 to 4.5 deciSiemens per meter. Thin lenses of silt and very fine sand are common in the organic horizons.

The surface tier is neutral or has hue of 5YR to 5Y, value of 2 to 5 and chroma of 0 to 3. It is typically hemic materials but some pedons have fibric materials. Organic matter content ranges from 20 to 80 percent or more.

The subsurface and bottom tiers are neutral or have hue of 5YR to 5Y, value of 2 to 5 and chroma of 0 to 3. The organic materials are dominantly hemic but some pedons have layers of fibric or sapric materials up to 30 cm (12 in) thick. Organic matter content ranges from 20 to 90 percent and generally decreases with depth.

The C horizon is neutral or has hue of 10YR to 5BG, value of 2 to 7 and chroma of 0 to 2. Texture ranges from sandy loam to silty clay loam but typically is silt, silt loam or very fine sandy loam. Organic matter content ranges from less than 5 percent to 20 percent. Shell fragments and herbaceous fibers are common in the C horizon.

COMPETING SERIES: <u>Honga</u> and Mispillon are in the same family. Honga and Mispillon are from outside LRR R and S. Honga soils have an underlying argillic horizon and <u>Mispillion</u> soils have a firmer substratum (n value greater than 0.7).

<u>Bestpitch</u> and <u>Pawcatuck</u> soils are similar soils in related families. Bestpitch soils are underlain by clayey materials. Pawcatuck soils are underlain by sandy materials within a depth of 40 to 130 cm (16 to 51 in).

GEOGRAPHIC SETTING: Westbrook soils are level soils in tidal marshes. They are subject to tidal flooding twice daily except in areas protected by dikes and tide gates. Westbrook soils developed in partially decomposed organic material from salt tolerate herbaceous plants over loamy sediments. Mean annual temperature is 7 to 13 degrees C (45 to 55 degrees F) and mean annual precipitation is 1000 to 1270 mm (40 to 50 in).

GEOGRAPHICALLY ASSOCIATED SOILS: These are the <u>Ipswich</u>, <u>Matunuck</u>, and <u>Pawcatuck</u> soils in nearby tidal marsh areas. Ipswich soils have organic materials deeper than 120 cm (51 in). Matunuck soils are mineral soils and have an organic surface layer less than 40 cm (16 in) thick. Westbrook soils are near a wide variety of soils on nearby uplands formed in a glacial drift or marine deposited mineral materials.

DRAINAGE AND SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY: Very poorly drained. Runoff is very slow. Saturated hydraulic conductivity is moderately high to very high in the organic layers and low to high in the underlying mineral sediments. Unless protected, these soils are flooded by tidewaters twice daily.

USE AND VEGETATION: Most areas are in salt marsh and provide food and habitat for fish,

shellfish and wildfowl. Small scattered areas are in saltgrass hay. The most common grasses are salt meadowgrass, salt water grass and spike grass. Other vegetation includes blackgrass, sea lavender, saltwort, seaside goldenrod, aster, and purple gerardi. In areas where the 1:5 EC by volume is below 1.5 deciSiemens per meter, vegetation consists principally of tall reeds and sedges.

DISTRIBUTION AND EXTENT: Coastal areas and along tidally influenced rivers of Connecticut, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Maryland and Delaware; MLRAs 144A, mesic areas within 144B, 145, 149A, 149B, and 153C. The series is of moderate extent.

MLRA SOIL SURVEY REGIONAL OFFICE (MO) RESPONSIBLE: Amherst, Massachusetts

SERIES ESTABLISHED: New Haven County, Connecticut, 1976.

REMARKS: Westbrook soils were formerly mapped as Tidal marsh, undifferentiated. Some of the Westbrook soils are classified and mapped at the great group or subgroup level. Westbrook series was originally described as consisting of fibric organic materials. Current procedures for estimating fibers indicate that the materials are dominantly hemic. These soils become extremely acid when drained.

Diagnostic features and characteristics recognized in this pedon include:

1. Histic epipedon - the zone from 0 to 30 centimeters (Oe horizon).

2. Estimated fiber content indicates that the materials are dominantly hemic.

3. Sulfidic materials are within 100 cm. of the surface. New pedons should include incubation pH measurements to determine if horizons should receive a 'se' subordinate distinction due to sulfate content.

4. Loamy particle size class - mineral layer of silt loam 130 cm. thick within the control section - from 120 to 251 cm (48 to 99 in) (Cg1 and Cg2 horizons)

5. Reaction (pH value) is more than 4.5 throughout the control section.

ADDITIONAL DATA: This pedon is entered as 2008CT007001 in the National Soils Information System from the State of Connecticut Soil Survey manuscript.

Refer to publication "Tidal Marshes of Connecticut and Rhode Island," Hill, D. E. and Shearin, A. E., Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 709, Feb. 1970.

National Cooperative Soil Survey U.S.A.

APPENDIX C

DISTURBED SOIL MAPPING UNIT SUPPLEMENT

Supplemental Symbols

The five components of the Disturbed Soil Mapping Unit Supplement are as follows:

Symbol 1: Drainage Class

a-Excessively Drained b-Somewhat Excessively Drained c-Well Drained d-Moderately Well Drained e-Somewhat Poorly Drained f-Poorly Drained g-Very Poorly Drained h-Not Determined

Symbol 2: Parent Material (of naturally formed soil only, if present)

a-No natural soil within 60"
b-Glaciofluvial Deposits (outwash/terraces of sand or sand and gravel)
c-Glacial Till Material (active ice)
d-Glaciolacustrine very fine sand and silt deposits (glacial lakes)
e-Loamy/sandy over Silt/Clay deposits
f-Marine Silt and Clay deposits (ocean waters)
g-Alluvial Deposits (floodplains)
h-Organic Materials-Fresh water Bogs, etc
i- Organic Materials-Tidal Marsh

Symbol 3: Restrictive/Impervious Layers

a-None

b-Bouldery surface with more than 15% of the surface covered with boulders c-Mineral restrictive layer(s) are present in the soil profile less than 40 inches below the soil surface such as hard pan, platy structure or clayey texture with consistence of at least firm (i.e. more than 20 newtons). For other examples of soil characteristics that qualify for restrictive layers, see "Soil Manual for Site evaluations in NH" 2nd Ed., (page 3-17, figure 3-14) d-Bedrock in the soil profile; 0-20 inches

e-Bedrock in the soil profile; 20-60 inches

f-Areas where depth to bedrock is so variable that a single soil type cannot be applied, will be mapped as a complex of soil types

g-Subject to Flooding

h–Man-made impervious surface including pavement, concrete, or built-up surfaces (i.e. buildings) with no morphological restrictive layer within control section

February, 2011 Page 42

Symbol 4: Estimated Ksat* (most limiting layer excluding symbol 3h above).

a- High.
b-Moderate
c-Low
d-Not determined
*See "Guidelines for Ksat Class Placement" in Chapter 3 of the Soil Survey Manual, USDA

Symbol 5: Hydrologic Soil Group*

a-Group A b-Group B c-Group C d-Group D e-Not determined

*excluding man-made surface impervious/restrictive layers

February, 2011 Page 43

APPENDIX D

LIMITATIONS


NATURAL RESOURCE SURVEY AND ASSESSMENT LIMITATIONS

Use of Report

1. GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc. (GZA) has prepared this report on behalf of, and for the exclusive use of Altus Engineering, Inc. ("Client") for the stated purpose(s) and location(s) identified in the report. Use of this report, in whole or in part, at other locations, or for other purposes, may lead to inappropriate conclusions; and we do not accept any responsibility for the consequences of such use(s). Further, reliance by any party not identified in the agreement, for any use, without our prior written permission, shall be at that party's risk, and without any liability to GZA.

Standard of Care

- 2. GZA's findings and conclusions are based on the work conducted as part of the Scope of Services set forth in the Report and/or proposal, and reflect our professional judgment. These findings and conclusions must be considered not as scientific or engineering certainties, but rather as our professional opinions concerning the data gathered and observations made during the course of our work. Conditions other than described in this report may be found at the subject location(s).
- 3. GZA's services were performed using the degree of skill and care ordinarily exercised by qualified professionals performing the same type of services, at the same time, under similar conditions, at the same or a similar property. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

Limits to Observations

- 4. Natural resource characteristics are inherently variable. Biological community composition and diversity can be affected by seasonal, annual or anthropogenic influences. In addition, soil conditions are reflective of subsurface geologic materials, the composition and distribution of which vary spatially.
- 5. The observations described in this report were made on the dates referenced and under the conditions stated therein. Conditions observed and reported by GZA reflect the conditions that could be reasonably observed based upon the visual observations of surface conditions and/or a limited observation of subsurface conditions at the specific time of observation. Such conditions are subject to environmental and circumstantial alteration and may not reflect conditions observable at another time.
- 6. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based upon the data obtained from a limited number of surveys performed during the course of our work on the site, as described in the Report. There may be variations between these surveys and other past or future surveys due to inherent environmental and circumstantial variability.

Reliance on Information from Others

7. Preparation of this Report may have relied upon information made available by federal, State and local authorities; and/or work products prepared by other professionals as specified in the report. Unless specifically stated, GZA did not attempt to independently verify the accuracy or completeness of that information.

Compliance with Regulations and Codes

8. GZA's services were performed to render an opinion on the presence and/or condition of natural resources as described in the Report. Standards used to identify or assess these resources as well as regulatory jurisdiction, if any, are stated in the Report. Standards for identification of jurisdictional resources and regulatory control over them may vary between governmental agencies at federal, State and local levels and are subject to change over time which may affect the conclusions and findings of this report.

New Information

9. In the event that the Client or others authorized to use this report obtain information on environmental regulatory compliance issues at the site not contained in this report, such information shall be brought to GZA's attention forthwith. GZA will evaluate such information and, on the basis of this work, may modify the conclusions stated in this report.

Additional Services

10. GZA recommends that we be retained to provide further investigation, if necessary, which would allow GZA to (1) observe compliance with the concepts and recommendations contained herein;(2) evaluate whether the manner of implementation creates a potential new finding; and (3) evaluate whether the manner of implementation affects or changes the conditions on which our opinions were made.

APPENDIX E:

SWPPP for PEIRCE ISLAND WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan For Peirce Island Wastewater Treatment Plant Peirce Island Road Portsmouth, NH 03801



City of Portsmouth, NH

January 2009

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Engineer: David S. Allen, P.E.

Signature:

Registration Number: _____

Date:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1 | FACILITY OWNER & OPERATOR | 1 | |
|------------|---|--------------|--|
| 2 | FACILITY CONTACT(S) | 1 | |
| 3 | SWPP TEAM | 1 | |
| 4 | FACILITY DESCRIPTION | 2 | |
| 5 | SITE DRAINAGE PATTERNS | 3 | |
| 6 | RECEIVING WATERS, WETLANDS AND IMPAIRED WATERS | 3 | |
| 7 | SUMMARY OF AVAILABLE STORMWATER SAMPLING DATA | 4 | |
| 8 | POTENTIAL STORMWATER CONTAMINANTS | 4 | |
| 9 | STORMWATER BMPS / CONTROL MEASURES | 6 | |
| 10 | RECORD KEEPING & RECORDING | 8 | |
| 11 | FACILITY INSPECTION & MONITORING PLAN | 8 | |
| 12 | REVIEW OF DATA | 10 | |
| 13 | ANNUAL REPORT | 11 | |
| 14 | TRAINING | 11 | |
| 15 | ENDANGERED SPECIES | 11 | |
| 16 | HISTORIC PLACES | 11 | |
| APPENDIXES | | | |
| А | Drainage Plan for Pierce Island Wastewater Treatment Plant | | |
| В | Letters to and from Regulatory Authorities Regarding Historical Resources | | |
| С | Letters to and from Regulatory Authorities Regarding Endangered Species a Habitat | and Critical | |

- D Routine Facility Inspection Form
- E Visual Assessment Form
- F Annual Report
- G In the Event of a Spill

1 – FACILITY OWNER and OPERATOR

Facility Owner, Address, and Telephone

City of Portsmouth 1 Junkins Avenue Portsmouth, NH 03801 (603) 431-2000

Facility Operator, Address, and Telephone

Peirce Island Wastewater Treatment Plant Peirce Island Road Portsmouth, NH 03801 (603) 427-1553

2 – FACILITY CONTACT:

| Name | Title | <u>Telephone</u> |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| David Allen, P.E. Paula Anania | Public Works Deputy Director Chief Plant Operator | (603) 766-1421 (603) 427-1553 |
| Eric Taylor | Assistant Chief Plant Operator | (603) 427-1553 |

3 – STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION TEAM

The Storm Water Pollution Prevention Team is a group of municipal employees, who will develop, implement, maintain and revise the storm water pollution prevention programs. These employees are on site and most familiar with the facility and its operations.

Collectively, the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Team is responsible for the following:

- Implementing all general permit and pollution prevention plan requirements;
- Defining and agreeing upon an appropriate set of goals for the facility storm water management program;
- Being aware of any changes that are made in facility operations to determine whether any changes must be made to the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan;
- Maintaining a clear line of communication between facility personnel and management to ensure a cooperative partnership.

The SWPPP team for this facility is:

Public Works Deputy Director

- Sets policy in accord with the storm water pollution prevention regulations
- Signatory authority; overall responsible for the plan.

Engineer for Water & Sewer Division

- Coordinate and ensure consistency of water and sewer projects in accord with the City's SWPPP

Chief Plant Operator

- Responsible for following the preventive maintenance program
- Implementing BMPs within scope of authority
- Oversee maintenance practices identified as BMPs in the overall plan
- Keep records
- Implement and oversee employee training
- Conduct or provide for inspections or monitoring activities

Solid Waste Coordinator

- Designated SWPPP Coordinator
- Write, review, revise SWPPP
- Coordinate plan development and implementation
- Keep records
- Ensure reports submitted
- Evaluate existing environmental management plans (i.e. SPCC) for consistency and coordination
- Facilitate implementation of BMPs

4 – FACILITY DESCRIPTION

The Pierce Island Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) is the City's primary sewage treatment facility with a design flow of 4.8 MGD located on the eastern end of Peirce Island in Portsmouth, New Hampshire. This facility serves a population of approximately 23,000 and discharges treated sewage directly into the Piscataqua River. This facility is permitted by the New Hampshire DES Waste Management Division (Facility Identification Number NH0100234). The Facility is situated on 3.7 acres and is approximately 50% impervious. It is comprised of various operations, including a grit/chemical feed building, a sludge processing building and chlorine tanks.

Grit/Chemical Feed Building

This building receives raw sewage and removes grit and sludge. Sewage is then pumped to a gravity thickener tank and two primary clarifiers. Chemicals associated with this treatment are not exposed to storm water. The chemical feed tanks have secondary containment of at least 110%. The tanks have sensors, which monitor for leakage.

Sludge Processing Building

From the primary clarifiers, settlable solids are pumped to the gravity thickener and then flow to the sludge holding tanks. From the holding tanks, pressed sludge is dropped into a covered 30-yard container via a conveyor ramp. The sludge is then hauled and disposed of at Turnkey Landfill in Rochester, New Hampshire as needed. This process is within the building and nothing is exposed to storm water.

Chlorine Contact Tanks

The remaining liquid sewage is pumped into chlorine contact tanks and disinfected in accordance with industry and regulatory standards. Under the NHDES permit NH0100234 requirements and limitations, the treated liquid is discharged into the Piscataqua River.

5 – SITE DRAINAGE PATTERNS

Precipitation in calendar year 2008 was 63.07".

Drainage Map

Appendix A "Drainage Plan Peirce Island Wastewater Treatment Plant" depicts the Facility and drainage patterns.

Drainage Pattern for Grit/Chemical Feed Building, Control Building, and Filter Building Area (D-01)

Storm water falling in catchment area D-01 is collected via run-off from impervious pavement areas and roof areas into a closed drain system constructed of 12" RCP, 4 catch basins and 3 drain manholes and a series of non-perforated perimeter drains with associated clean-outs. Storm water exits the system outfall into the Piscataqua River.

Drainage Pattern for Sludge Processing Building Area (D-02)

Drainage in area D-02 is collected via run-off from impervious pavement areas and roof areas into a closed drain system constructed of 12" RCP, 1 catch basin. Stormwater exits the system outfall and travels down a high embankment to the Piscataqua River below.

Stormwater Run-on

There is no stormwater run-on from adjacent properties. Minimal sheet flow / over land run off occurs.

6 - RECEIVING WATERS, WETLANDS AND IMPAIRED WATERS

In New Hampshire, water quality standards are used to protect the state's surface waters. Standards consist of three parts:

- 1. Designated uses, such as fishing or swimming;
- 2. Numerical or narrative criteria to protect the designated uses; and
- 3. An anti-degradation policy, which maintains existing high quality water that exceeds the criteria. Criteria are established by statute (<u>http://www.gencourt.state.nh.us/rsa/html/L/485-A/485-A-8.htm</u>) and by administrative rules (<u>http://www.des.state.nh.us/rules/desadmin_list.htm</u>).

Stormwater from the Peirce Island Wastewater Treatment facility discharges to the Lower Piscataqua River.

The Lower Piscataqua River (NHEST600031001-02) is classified as Class B, which means suitable for drinking (after treatment), swimming and fishing. The 303(d) List (Final – 2008) catalogs the Piscataqua River as impaired for polychlorinated biphenyls

(PCBs), enterococcus, dioxin (including 2, 3, 7, 8-TCDD), and mercury. However, as of 2009, no TMDL has been determined for these pollutants of concern. Therefore the WWTP does not have a WLA ascribed.

7 - SUMMARY OF AVAILABLE STORM WATER SAMPLING DATA

Beginning in the first quarter of 2007, samples were collected and analyzed. Samples were analyzed for enterococcus and on a visual basis. Sampling will continue in accord with the 2008 Permit term. See section 11.

All sampling data is maintained at the facility and retained for a period of three (3) years. Cross reference section 10 Record Keeping & Recording.

8 – POTENTIAL STORM WATER CONTAMINANTS

This section identifies significant materials located at the Peirce Island WWTP that may potentially contaminate storm water.

Potential Areas for Storm Water Contamination

The following potential source areas of storm water contamination were identified and evaluated:

The treatment of wastewater is conducted / contained inside the treatment plant facility. Under current operations, there is no chance of storm water becoming contaminated from the internal operations.

Grit/Chemical Feed Building: Ferric Chloride is used to settle out solids. 2 - 4,800 gallon tanks are located on the west side of the Chemical Feed Building. 100% overfill containment is provided.

Administration Building: Trucks deliver supplies to the Administration building. Drips from off-loading vehicles (diesel fuel and/or oil) may occur.

Sludge Processing Building Area: Sodium Hypochlorite and Sodium Bisulfite are substances used in the Sludge Processing Building. There are 2-10,000 gallon chlorine tanks and 1 - 10,000 gallon bisulfite tank. 100% overfill containment is provided. There is also 1 - 2,800 gallon tank of caustic soda for pH control is located outside the filter building, which is surrounded with 100% containment capacity.

Materials Storage: All materials and inventory are warehoused within the facility buildings and are not exposed to storm water.

| Locations of Foundation Storm Water Containmation | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Drainage | Potential Storm Water | Potential Pollutant | Potential Problem |
| Area | Contamination Point | | |
| D-01 | Grit/Chemical Feed | Sewage, Ferric | Overfill/overspills from |
| | Building, | Chloride, | tank filling operations, |
| | Control Building, and | Caustic Soda | sewage overflows from |
| | Filter Building | | pump failure |
| D-01 | Administration Building | Diesel fuel | Leaking fluids from |
| | | Oil | vehicles in loading area |
| | | Automobile Fluids | |
| D-02 | Sludge Processing | Sewage, Sodium | Overfill/overspills from |
| | Building Area | Hypochlorite, Sodium | tank filling operations, |
| | | Bisulfite | sewage overflows from |
| | | | pump failure |

Locations of Potential Sources of Storm Water Contamination

a. SIGNIFICANT MATERIAL INVENTORY

Listed below is a summary of products, which have potential to contaminate storm water run off.

| Trade Name Material | Chemical/Physical Description |
|---------------------|--|
| Ferric Chloride | Waste water coagulant / amber liquid; slight amine odor |
| Sodium Hypochlorite | Bleach disinfectant / green to yellow liquid; pungent chlorine-like odor |
| Sodium Bisulfite | Aqueous solution / yellow liquid; pungent sulfur dioxide gas odor |
| Sewage | Used water and water carried solids from homes that flow to the treatment plant. Preferred term is wastewater. |

Significant Material Inventory

b. HISTORIC SPILL and LEAK RECORD

There is no known past spill history associated with this facility.

c. NON-STORM WATER DISCHARGES

The following activities are allowable non-storm water discharges:

- Ground water collected from the drainage system and discharged to the two main out falls located to the east and west of the facility and into the Piscataqua River.

9 - STORMWATER BMPs / CONTROL MEASURES

The following best management practices (BMPs), structural and non-structural, are in place to address the above identified potential and existing sources of stormwater contamination and achieve non-numeric effluent limits.

| Drainage Area | BMP | |
|---------------|---|--|
| D-01 | Exterior areas are swept with the City street sweeper as needed. | |
| D-02 | | |
| D-01 | Quarterly inspections of loading area conducted. | |
| D-02 | | |
| D-01 | All trash is stored indoors to prevent contact with stormwater | |
| D-02 | | |
| D-01 | Within designated areas, oil drums stored on pallets with secondary | |
| D-02 | containment. | |
| | 375-gallon polymer totes are stored inside; no exposure to storm water. | |
| | All fill lines are locked to prevent cross over of chemical loads/discharges. | |
| D-01 | Chlorine pumps have automated sensor leak detection alarms, which | |
| | activates the SCADA system (covers after hours on call too.) | |
| | Containment area built around hook up location for ferric chloride and | |
| | sodium bisulfite | |

Good House Keeping

Preventive Maintenance

| Drainage Area | BMP | |
|---------------|--|--|
| D-01 | Vehicle washing is not allowed at this facility. Work practice is to wash | |
| D-02 | vehicles at DPW. | |
| | Equipment and city vehicles receive 3,000-mile check-ups. | |
| | Grit, screenings and other solids handling storage and disposal areas are located inside to prevent contact with stormwater. | |
| | No sludge drying beds, dried sludge piles, compost piles, septage receiving | |
| | station, or rail lines are present at the facility. | |

Salt Storage Pile

| Drainage Area | BMP |
|---------------|--|
| | Salt and sand are not stored at the WWTP, except in small quantities (bags |
| | in interior areas of in covered, labeled drums in exterior areas. |

Visual Inspections

| Drainage Area | BMP |
|---------------|--|
| D-01 | Catch basins will be inspected on a quarterly basis and be cleaned as |
| D-02 | required. |
| | System's out falls are inspected in accord with the MSGP 2008 visual assessment requirements (see also section 11.2 of this plan). |

Spill Prevention and Response

| Drainage Area | BMP | |
|---------------|---|--|
| D-01 | Spill response equipment for hydraulic leaks of vehicles and off-loading of | |
| D-02 | diesel fuel is on site. | |
| | The facility has an SOP for spill response and personnel are trained in it. | |
| | Information regarding spill response procedures will be posted in appropriate areas of the Facility, by the hook up locations. | |
| | Primary and secondary contacts for spill response are listed on site. | |
| | After any type of spill incident, the catch basins will always be cleaned – whether spill reached and/or contaminated the catch basin or not. | |
| D-02 | The sodium hypochlorite tank is covered and has a secondary containment and an overfill/drip containment system. | |

Erosion and Sedimentation Controls

| Drainage Area | BMP | | |
|---------------|--|----|--|
| D-01 | Approximately 50% of the Peirce Island WWTP is paved. Histor | ic | |
| D-02 | observations have shown no areas that are prone to erosion. | | |

Management of Runoff

| Drainage Area | BMP |
|---------------|---|
| D-01 | There are no structural BMPs present except for the catch basins, pipes and |
| D-02 | outfalls shown on the site map, i.e. there are no detention ponds. |

Employee Training

| Drainage Area | BMP |
|---------------|--|
| D-01 | All department staff will be trained on the location of materials and method |
| D-02 | of spill clean-up. |
| | Monthly Safety Meeting are held between senior and middle management |
| | with the crew. |

Record Keeping and Recording

| Drainage Area | BMP | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| N/A | The Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan will be maintained at this facility | | | |
| | for the duration of the general permit. The Plan will be reviewed annually | | | |
| by the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Team. | | | | |
| | Spillage of chemicals or sewage is promptly cleaned and reported as required. | | | |
| | Inspections of the storm water system, catch basins, etc. will be documented and maintained for a minimum of 5 years at the facility. | | | |
| | All applicable MSDS are kept on site and readily accessible. | | | |

10 – RECORD KEEPING AND RECORDING

The Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan will be maintained at the DPW facility for the duration of the general permit. Copies of the plan are distributed to SWPP Team members. The Plan will be reviewed annually by the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Team.

All monitoring data per Parts 6.2 and 6.3 of the Industrial MSGP and section 11.4 of this SWPPP, shall be submitted to the EPA using the eNOI system (<u>www.epa.gov/npdes/eNOI</u>) no later than 30 days after receiving the laboratory results of the monitoring.

If the eNOI cannot be accessed, paper reporting by the same deadline is allowed. Part 7.6.1 of the Industrial MSGP provides mailing addresses.

Documents, including but not limited to, monitoring, inspections, reports, shall be retained for a period of at least 3 years from the date of the permit's expiration or termination.

11 - FACILITY INSPECTION & MONITORING PLAN

11.1 Routine Facility Inspections

Routine facility inspections of all areas where industrial materials or activities are exposed to stormwater and of all stormwater control measures are to be conducted quarterly.

Inspections shall be performed when the facility is operating and at least once during the calendar year, the inspection shall be conducted when a stormwater discharge is occurring.

Inspections shall be conducted by "Qualified Personnel" as defined in the 2008 Industrial MSGP – those who possess the knowledge and skills to assess conditions and activities that could impact stormwater quality at the facility and who can evaluate the effectiveness of the control measures. In addition, at least one member of the SWPPP team shall also participate.

Per Part 4.1 of the 2008 MSGP, the following areas are to be inspected:

Treatment Works (Sector T): access roads; grit screenings, and other solids handling, storage, or disposal areas; sludge drying beds; dried sludge piles; septage or hauled waste receiving station..

The form provided in Appendix D shall be used to document the Facility Inspection. Completed Inspection forms shall be maintained, for a minimum of three (3) years, onsite with this SWPPP.

11.2 Quarterly Visual Assessment

Quarterly visual assessments are samples collected, each quarter of the permit term, with the intent of assessing water quality characteristics, such as color, odor, floating solids, oil sheen, foam, etc. The visual inspection shall be conducted on each of the outfalls at the Facility and is intended to be representative of stormwater discharge.

The form provided in Appendix E shall be used to document the Visual Assessment. Completed forms shall be maintained, for a minimum of three (3) years, on-site with this SWPPP.

11.3 Comprehensive Site Inspection

Comprehensive Site Inspections shall be conducted annually beginning with the period authorization to discharge is granted under the Permit (i.e. one year: September 29, 2008 – September 29, 2009). The inspection shall cover all areas of the Facility as described in this SWPPP as having potential pollutant source to precipitation and stormwater run-off. This includes the Grit/Chemical Feed Building; the Sludge Processing Building; and the Chlorine Contact Tanks.

The inspection shall include a review of monitoring data collected (the routine and visual inspection records) as well as impaired waters monitoring as per Section 11.4 of this SWPPP (Cross reference Part 6.2 of the 2008 MSGP.)

11.4 Required Monitoring

The 2008 MSGP includes five (5) types of required analytical monitoring.

a) Quarterly benchmark monitoring

Part 8.T of the Permit specifies benchmark monitoring. There are no additional benchmark monitoring requirements.

b) Annual effluent limitations guidelines monitoring.

There are no effluent limitations guidelines for Waste Water Treatment Plant, Sector T, covered under the MSGP 2008.

c) State or Tribal specific monitoring.

There are no additional State of Tribal monitoring provisions for Waste Water Treatment Plants, Sector T, covered under the MSGP 2008.

d) Impaired waters monitoring

The Peirce Island Waste Water Treatment Plant, through a series of catch basins discharges to the Lower Piscataqua River (Waterbody Id NHEST600031001-02). There is no TMDL yet established for the Lower Piscataqua River. However the Lower Piscataqua River is on the 303(d) list as impaired for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), enterococcus, dioxin (including 2, 3, 7, 8-TCDD), and mercury.

The Peirce Island WWTP has the potential to discharge only enterococcus as a stormwater pollutant. Stormwater at the Peirce Island WWTP is not exposed to PCBs, dioxin or mercury. Further, there is no monitoring requirement for dioxin, mercury and PCBs. Therefore monitoring only for enterococcus at the Peirce Island WWTP is required.

Monitoring shall be conducted once per year at each outfall discharging stormwater into the Lower Piscataqua River. Monitoring shall begin the first full quarter following April 1, 2009 or the date of discharge authorization, whichever comes later.

If the pollutant(s) for Lower Piscataqua River's impairment is not detected above natural background levels after the first year, pollutant monitoring is not required. Proper documentation justifying this shall be written and maintained.

Exceedances of the discharge standards will require this SWPPP to be re-evaluated to determine if concentrations can be reduced through implementing additional BMPs.

e) Other monitoring as required by EPA

There are no additional State of Tribal monitoring provisions for Waste Water Treatment Plants, Sector T, covered under the MSGP 2008.

12 – REVIEW OF DATA

The SWPPP is a "living" document and is required to be updated and/or modified as necessary. The SWPPP shall be reviewed and modified when:

- An authorized release or discharge (i.e. spill or leak) occurs at the facility.
- A discharge violates a numeric effluent limit.
- The Operator becomes aware, or EPA determines, that control measures are not stringent enough for the discharge to meet applicable water quality standards.
- An inspection or evaluation of the facility by EPA, or the State, determines that modifications to the control measures are necessary to meet the non-numeric effluent limits in the permit.

• The Operator determines in the routine facility inspection, quarterly visual assessment or comprehensive site inspection that control measures are not being properly operated and maintained.

13 – ANNUAL REPORT

An Annual Report shall be submitted to the EPA. The EPA provides and strongly recommends using the form provided in the September 29, 2008 Industrial MSGP Appendix I and duplicated in this SWPPP in Appendix F.

14 - TRAINING

The employee training program will be updated and modified to include education regarding storm water pollution prevention regulations and initiatives taken by this facility. The training will discuss the components of the written plan as well as BMPs and procedures to be performed in accord with NPDES Storm Water Phase II requirements. Hands-on training will include petroleum product management; process chemical management; spill prevention and controls; fueling procedures; general good housekeeping; proper procedures for using fertilizer, herbicides and pesticides; and inspection procedures.

15 – ENDANGERED SPECIES

Appendix B contains copies of letters to the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, New Hampshire Fish and Game, and NHNHI-DRED documenting the request to evaluate this facility for the presence of endangered species or critical habitat. Response letters are also included.

The United States Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service indicates there are no federally listed or proposed, threatened, or endangered species under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Fish and Game Service in the facility area. However, there are species identified by the State or other local authorities as endangered and threatened species in proximity to the site:

- Plant Species: Marsh Elder (*Iva frutescens ssp. oraria*). This species is listed on the State of NH Heritage list as threatened but not listed on the Federal list.
- Vertebrate species: Common Tern (*Sterna hirundo*). This species is listed on the State of NH Heritage list as endangered but not listed on the Federal list.

16 – HISTORIC PLACES

Appendix C are letters to the New Hampshire Division of Historical Resources documenting the request to evaluate this facility for the possibility the site is a national historic site. The Historical Resources determined "no adverse effect".

APPENDIX F:

PRE-APPLICATION MEETING MINUTES



Meeting Minutes

| Date | December 18, 2014 | December 18, 2014 | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Time | 2:00 PM | | |
| | Peirce Island WWTF Upgrade | | |
| Project | Portsmouth, NH | | |
| JN | 60301525 | | |
| Purpose | Alteration of Terrain Permit Pre-A | Alteration of Terrain Permit Pre-Application Meeting | |
| Attendees | Terry Desmarais – City Engineer | Jon Pearson – AECOM Project | |
| | Peter Britz – Environmental Planner | Manager | |
| | Ridge Mauck - NHDES | Erik Meserve – AECOM Project | |
| | | Engineer | |
| | | Jeff Clifford – Altus Engineering | |

The following is a summary of our meeting held on December 18, 2014. This summary is not a direct transcript, but rather a general summary of the discussion.

| ITEM # | DESCRIPTION | ACTION/ACTION BY |
|--------|--|------------------|
| 1. | Introductions | 1. N/A |
| 2. | Overview The City provided an overview of the history of the Peirce Island WWTF, including the 2010 Master Plan, USEPA Consent Decree, piloting, and design of the plant upgrade to date. The City also reviewed the current status of the Pease WWTF evaluation and stated that construction of the Peirce Island WWTF Upgrade would begin between September 2015 and Spring 2016 pending the results of the Pease WWTF evaluation. | 1. N/A |
| 3. | Existing Conditions Altus stated that the site is mostly ledge and fill. A soil survey has been completed for the site and the soils on-site are predominantly B and C soils where not ledge. Site constraints include height concerns from nearby neighbors and construction activities | 1. N/A |

ΑΞϹΟΜ

| ITEM # | DESCRIPTION | ACTION/ACTION BY |
|--------|--|------------------|
| | outside the fence because of the heavy recreational use of the island and archeological considerations. | |
| | The existing stormwater system divides the site and discharges stormwater to one of two outfalls. One outfall discharges on the river side of the facility while the other discharges towards Shapleigh Island through a swale and wetland. | |
| 4. | Proposed Improvements Altus stated a number of new structures would be constructed on the site, including a new Headworks Building, new BAF Facility, new Solids Building, and a new Gravity Thickener. The new BAF Facility and Solids Building are connected, which effectively divides the site from a stormwater standpoint. The proposed stormwater management upgrades include a rain garden, level spreader for the roof drainage from the Headworks Building, and a 5,000 gallon Water Quality Inlet. Additionally, the BAF Facility is several open-top tanks that will catch and treat the stormwater that falls on it. The amount of stormwater that is projected to be discharged to the river side through the WQI will increase over the existing condition. | 1. N/A |
| | The amount of stormwater discharged towards Shapleigh Island is projected to decrease. The project will result in a net decrease in stormwater. | |
| | Lastly, there are two areas that are planned for embankment stabilization. One area on the river side of the plant is eroding due to weak rock. The other area facing Shapleigh Island will be filled in order to provide access around the new BAF Facility. | |
| | NHDES stated that the goal of the state's stormwater program is to see a net | |

ΑΞϹΟΜ

| ITEM # | DESCRIPTION | ACTION/ACTION BY |
|--------|--|------------------|
| | improvement in the existing level of treatment and to meet today's criteria for treatment for any increases in impervious area. However, the state has the authority to waive these rules if the proposed improvement are "equally protective" as the current system. NHDES stated that based on the improvements described at the meeting they believe the improvements meet the criteria for being equally protective. NHDES stated that it may be beneficial to mention that the City's future plans include a stormwater treatment system at the snow dump in the permit application. | |
| 5. | Construction Phase Altus stated that while the final WWTF would remain within the existing fence line, construction activities would take place outside the fence. These include staging areas, parking, etc. The Contractor will be required to implement perimeter controls for erosion and sediment control around these areas and comply with a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). | 1. N/A |
| 6. | AoT Permit Application NHDES stated that the approach described for the AoT appears to be reasonable and that the project appears to meet the criteria necessary for an expedited permit. It was noted that a permit application may only be expedited with the signature of the head of NHDES. NHDES stated that their current backlog is roughly 50 days but expedited permits are reviewed with approximately 10 days. If the City wishes to pursue the expedited permit option, this should be requested, and justified, at the time the application is submitted to NHDES. | 1. N/A |